

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## ON SOME CHARACTERIZATION OF DARBOUX RETRACTS

RYSZARD JERZY PAWLAK\*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, a characterization of Darboux retracts is given. The paper ends with the considerations concerning sufficient conditions for Darboux retracts of given spaces to Borel sets.

The obtaining of many interesting results concerning retracts of topological spaces caused that this notion went through a number of generalizations. Among other things, since the sixties there have been studied situations where as “retractions” discontinuous maps connected with the notion of connectedness were considered ([1], [2], [5], [7]). In 1980 paper [8] appeared in which the authors considered Darboux retracts. This paper started with an example of “neither closed nor locally connected Darboux retract”. Further considerations led to formulating many interesting properties of Darboux retracts of metric spaces. This subject is important because it is closely related to the problems of extending Darboux maps. The relationship between those two questions is reflected by the following two theorems.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $X, Y$  be an arbitrary topological spaces and let  $A \subset X$ . If  $A$  is a Darboux retract of the space  $X$  and  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is a Darboux function, then  $f$  possesses a Darboux extension to the space  $X$  (i.e. there exists a Darboux function  $f^* : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f^*|_A = f$ ).*

---

\* Supported by KBN research grant PB 691/2/91.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary topological space. Then a subset  $A \subset X$  is a Darboux retract of the space  $X$  if and only if each Darboux function  $f : A \rightarrow A$  possesses a Darboux extension to the space  $X$ .*

The problems of extensions of functions connected with the notion of connectedness have lately been investigated rather intensively by many authors ([4], [9]).

In this paper we shall give a characterization of Darboux retracts. Making use of this characterization, we can show the existence of non-Borel Darboux retracts. The paper ends with considerations concerning sufficient condition for Darboux retracts of given spaces to be Borel sets.

Throughout the paper, we shall consider  $T_1$ -spaces only (i.e. topological spaces in which every one-element set is closed). The notions of Darboux function, a Darboux retract and a Darboux retraction are accepted as in [8] (that is,  $f$  is a Darboux function if the image of every connected set is connected and a subspace  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be a Darboux retract of  $X$  if there exists a Darboux function - called a retraction -  $r : X \rightarrow A$  such that  $r(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A$ ).

Also in the remaining cases we use the classical definitions and notations (as, for example, those from [3] or [6]). In particular, sets  $A$  and  $B$  of the space  $X$  are called functionally separated if there exists a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B$ . We say that a set  $A$  is a set of type  $F_\sigma^*$  (which is written down as  $A \in F_\sigma^*$ ) if  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  where  $F_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are closed sets functionally separated from each closed set disjoint from  $F_n$ . We say that a set  $A$  is of type  $G_\delta^*$  (written down as  $A \in G_\delta^*$ ) if  $X \setminus A \in F_\sigma^*$ .

If  $A$  is a Darboux retract of the space  $X$ , then it is easily noticed that each component of  $X$  can contain at most one component of the set  $A$ . This last property will be written down in short as  $A \in X$ .

If  $A$  is a subset of the topological space  $X$ , then the nota-

tion  $c(A) \leq \mathfrak{c}$  means that each component of the set  $A$  has cardinality not greater than the continuum. Let  $x_0 \in X$ . By  $S(X, x_0)$  we denote the component of  $X$  to which  $x_0$  belongs.

At last, for the set  $A \subset X$  and for  $x_0 \in \bar{A}$ , let us adopt the notation:

$E_A(x_0)$  is the set of all those  $a \in A$  for which:

- 1<sup>o</sup> if  $x_0 \in \bar{C} \setminus C$ , then  $a \in \bar{C}$  for each connected set  $C \subset A$ ;
- 2<sup>o</sup> if  $A \cap S(X, x_0) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $a \in S(X, x_0)$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $r : X \rightarrow A$  be a Darboux retraction. Then if  $x \in \bar{C}$  where  $C$  is a connected subset of  $A$ , then  $r(x) \in \bar{C}$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose to the contrary that  $r(x) \notin \bar{C}$ . Since  $r$  is a Darboux map, therefore  $r(C \cup \{x\})$  is a connected set. On the other hand, however,  $r(C) = C$ , which, in view of the closedness of  $\{x\}$  and our supposition, means the disconnectedness of  $r(C \cup \{x\})$ . The contradiction obtained ends the proof of the lemma.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be a subset of a space  $X$ , such that  $c(A) \leq \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\bar{A} \in G_\delta^*$ . Then  $A$  is a Darboux retract of the space  $X$  if and only if  $A \in X$  and, to any  $x \in \bar{A} \setminus A$ , we may assign an element  $z_x \in E_A(x)$  in the way that  $(C \cap A) \cup \{z_x : x \in C \setminus A\}$  is a connected set for any connected set  $C \subset \bar{A}$ .*

*Proof:* Necessity. Of course,  $A \in X$ . Let  $r : X \rightarrow A$  be a Darboux retraction. For any  $x \in \bar{A} \setminus A$ , let us adopt  $z_x = r(x)$ . Then, in virtue of the Lemma,  $z_x \in E_A(x)$ . Let further  $C$  be any connected subset of  $\bar{A}$ . Then

$$(C \cap A) \cup \{z_x : x \in C \setminus A\} = r(C),$$

which proves that  $(C \cap A) \cup \{z_x : x \in C \setminus A\}$  is really a connected set.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$  be a family of all components of the set  $A$  and let, for any  $t \in T$ ,  $X_t$  be the component of the space  $X$ , containing  $A_t$ . Besides, let  $\hat{X} = X \setminus \bigcup_{t \in T} X_t$  and let us adopt the notation  $A_t^* = \bar{A} \cap X_t$ .

Fix  $t \in T$ . Define first the map  $r_t : X_t \rightarrow A_t$ . For  $x \in A_t^*$  let

$$r_t(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{when } x \in A_t, \\ z_x & \text{when } x \in A_t^* \setminus A_t. \end{cases}$$

If  $A_t^* = X_t$ , the defining of  $r_t$  would be finished. So, let us consider the case when  $A_t^*$  is a proper subset of  $X_t$ .

Of course  $X \setminus \bar{A} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , where  $F_n = \bar{F}_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and, for any  $n$ , there exists a continuous function  $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n(\bar{A}) = \{0\}$  and  $f_n(F_n) = \{1\}$ . Put  $K_n^t = F_n \cap X_t$ . Since  $X_t \setminus A_t^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^t$ , therefore, for infinitely many  $n$ ,  $K_n^t \neq \emptyset$  (if  $\{n : K_n^t \neq \emptyset\}$  were finite,  $X_t \setminus A_t^*$  would be a closed set and, in consequence,  $X_t = A_t^* \cup (X_t \setminus A_t^*)$  would be a disconnected set). So, for simplicity of notation, assume that, for all  $n$ ,  $K_n^t \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $f_n^t = f_n|_{X_t} : X_t \rightarrow [0, 1]$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $f_n^t$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is a continuous function with the property that  $f_n^t(A_t^*) = \{0\}$  and  $f_n^t(K_n^t) = \{1\}$ . Let us adopt  $g_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f_n^t : X_t \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Then  $g_t$  is a continuous function such that  $g_t(A_t^*) = \{0\}$  and  $g_t(x) > 0$  for  $x \notin A_t^*$ .

Consequently, define in  $X_t \setminus A_t^*$  the equivalence relation  $\vdash$  in the following way:

$$x \vdash y \text{ if and only if } g_t(x) - g_t(y) \in Q$$

where  $Q$  denotes the set of rational numbers. This relation partitions the set  $X_t \setminus A_t^*$  into disjoint equivalence classes. In view of the connectedness of  $X_t$ , we may infer that the number of these classes is continuum. Let  $P_t$  be the family of all those equivalence classes and let  $\varphi_t : P_t \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} A_t$ . Let then

$$r_t(x) = \varphi_t([x]) \quad \text{for } x \in X_t \setminus A_t^*,$$

where  $[x]$  stands for the equivalence class of the relation  $\vdash$  to which  $x$  belongs. Then  $r_t$  is defined on the entire set  $X_t$ .

Moreover, let  $a_0$  be any fixed element of the set  $A$ . Then, for  $x \in \hat{X}$ , let us adopt  $\hat{r}(x) = a_0$ . Now, define  $r : X \rightarrow A$  in the following manner:  $r = \hat{r} \nabla_{t \in T} r_t$  (where  $\nabla$  denote a combination of maps, see [3], p.99).

Of course, then  $r : X \rightarrow A$  and  $r(x) = x$  for any  $x \in A$ . Consequently, in order to finish the proof of this theorem, it suffices to demonstrate that  $r$  is a Darboux function.

So, let  $C$  be any connected set in  $X$ . Then  $C$  is contained in some component  $L$  of the space  $X$ . If  $L \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $r(C) = \{a_0\}$ . Thus we may assume that there exists  $t_0 \in T$  such that  $L = X_{t_0}$ . Evidently, if  $C \subset A_{t_0}$ , then  $r(C) = C$ . Whereas if  $C \subset A_{t_0}^*$ , then  $r(C) = (C \cap A_{t_0}) \cup \{z_x : x \in C \setminus A_{t_0}\}$ ; therefore, also in this case,  $r(C)$  is a connected set.

So, suppose that  $C \setminus A_{t_0}^* \neq \emptyset$ . Then there may occur the cases:

- 1<sup>o</sup>  $C \subset p \in P_{t_0}$ . Then  $r(C) = r_{t_0}(C)$  is a one- element set.
- 2<sup>o</sup>  $C$  cuts at least two equivalence classes belonging to  $P_{t_0}$  (this situation comprises the case when  $C \setminus A_{t_0}^* \neq \emptyset \neq C \cap A_{t_0}^*$ ).

We shall show that

$$(1) \quad \forall q \in P_{t_0}, q \cap C \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed, let  $q \in P_{t_0}$ . Fix  $x_1 \in q$  and let  $g_{t_0}(x_1) = \beta$ . In view of the assumption on  $C$ , there exist two distinct real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in g_{t_0}(C)$ . Let  $\xi$  be a rational number such that  $\beta + \xi \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ . In view of the continuity of  $g_{t_0}$  and connectedness of  $C$ , we may infer that there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $g_{t_0}(c) = \beta + \xi$ . It is easy to see that  $c \in q$ , which ends the proof of (1).

In virtue of (1), we may deduce that  $r(C) = r_{t_0}(C) = A_{t_0}$ , which concludes the proof of the theorem.

By using the above theorem, it is not difficult to construct an example of a non-Borel Darboux retract even if we shall consider normal connected spaces. On the other hand, there exist spaces which possess only Darboux retracts being Borel sets, although they need not be closed sets. An example of a space with this property can be  $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = 0 \wedge -1 \leq y \leq 1\} \cup \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x \leq 1 \wedge y = \sin \frac{1}{x}\}$  with the topology generated by the natural topology of the plane. This fact follows, among other things, from the theorem below

which will be preceded by the notation:

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any subsets of  $X$ . We shall write  $A \in \mathbb{R}_B$  if, for any distinct points  $x, y \in \bar{A}$  of which at least one belongs to  $B$ , there exists a connected set  $C \subset A$  such that  $x \in \bar{C}$  and  $y \notin \bar{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a connected topological space which possesses an open and dense set  $V$  such that  $X \setminus V$  is a connected set. Moreover, let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- (i)  $C \cap V \in \mathbb{R}_V$  for any connected set  $C \subset X$ ;
- (ii)  $C \in \mathbb{R}_{X \setminus V}$  for any connected set  $C \subset X \setminus V$ ;
- (iii) if  $C \cap V \neq \emptyset \neq C \setminus V$ , then  $X \setminus V \subset \overline{C \cap V}$  for any connected set  $C \subset X$ .

*Then each Darboux retract of the space  $X$  is a Borel set. If we additionally assume that  $X$  is perfectly normal ([3], p. 68), then each of its Darboux retracts is a set of types  $F_\sigma$  and  $G_\delta$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose that  $A$  is a Darboux retract of the space  $X$ , and  $r : X \rightarrow A$  is a Darboux retraction. Of course,  $A$  is a connected set. First, note that

(2)  $A \cap V$  is a closed subset of the subspace  $V$ .

Indeed, let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of the closure of the set  $A \cap V$  in the subspace  $V$ . Then  $x \in \overline{A \cap V}$  (that is,  $x$  belongs to the closure of the set  $A \cap V$  in the space  $X$ ). Suppose that  $x \notin A \cap V$ . Then  $r(x) \neq x$ . On the basis of condition (iii), we may infer that  $r(x) \in \overline{A \cap V}$ , which, in view of (i) means that there exists a connected set  $C \subset A \cap V$  such that  $x \in \bar{C}$  and  $r(x) \notin \bar{C}$ . However, this fact contradicts our Lemma. The contradiction obtained proves that  $x \in A \cap V$ , which completes the proof of (2).

Now, we shall show that

(3)  $A \setminus V$  is a closed subset of the subspace  $X \setminus V$ .

This fact is obvious when  $A \setminus V = \emptyset$ . So, we shall further assume that  $A \setminus V \neq \emptyset$ . Let us consider the cases:

1<sup>o</sup>  $A \subset X \setminus V$ . Let  $x \in \bar{A}$  and suppose that  $x \notin A$ . Then

$r(x) \neq x$ , thus, by condition (ii) there exists a connected set  $C \subset A$  such that  $x \in \bar{C}$  and  $r(x) \notin \bar{C}$ , which again leads to a contradiction with the Lemma.

$2^\circ A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Note that, in this case

$$(4) \quad r(X \setminus V) \subset X \setminus V.$$

Indeed, in view of (iii), we have  $X \setminus V \subset \overline{A \cap V}$ . So, suppose that inclusion (4) is not true. Consequently, there exists an  $x \in X \setminus V$  such that  $r(x) \in V$ . Evidently,  $r(x) \neq x$ . In virtue of (i), we may deduce that there exists a connected set  $C \subset A \cap V$  such that  $x \in \bar{C}$  and  $r(x) \notin \bar{C}$ , which leads to a contradiction with the Lemma. The contradiction obtained proves (4).

In view of (4) we may conclude that  $r(X \setminus V) = A \setminus V$ , thus  $A \setminus V$  is a connected set. So, let  $x$  be any element of the closure of the set  $A \setminus V$  in the subspace  $X \setminus V$ . Then  $x \in \overline{A \setminus V}$ . Suppose that  $x \notin A \setminus V$ . Proceeding further similarly as above, we come (on the basis of assumption (ii)) to a contradiction with the Lemma. The contradiction obtained ends the proof of (3).

From (2) i (3) one can easily deduce the assertion of the theorem.

*PROBLEM.* It remains open to characterize those spaces which possess Borel Darboux retracts. However, this question seems rather difficult, therefore it would be interesting to give partial solutions, for instance, sufficient conditions (other than those in the above Theorem) for Darboux retracts to be Borel sets. In connection with this, let us finally note down a simple proposition being a corollary from Theorem 1 and methods applied in the proof of Theorem 2.

*PROPOSITION.* Let  $X$  be a connected  $T_6$ -space and let  $A$  be a set of cardinality continuum, such that  $A \in \mathbb{R}_X$ . Then  $A$  is Darboux retract of the space  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is a closed set.



## REFERENCES

1. J.L. Cornette *Connectivity functions and images of Peano continuum*, Fund. Math. **75** (1966), 184–192.
2. J.L. Cornette, J.E. Girollo, *Connectivity retracts of finitely coherent Peano continua*, Fund. Math. **61** (1967), 177–182.
3. R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa, 1977.
4. R.G. Gibson, F. Roush *Concerning the extension of connectivity functions*, Topol. Proc. **10** (1985), 75–82.
5. K.R. Kellum *Non-continuous retracts*, Studies in Topol. (Edited by N. Stavrakas and K. Allen), Acad. Press. New York (1975), 225–261.
6. K. Kuratowski, *Topology I, II* New York 1966.
7. P.E. Long, W.D. Hammers *Certain non-continuous retract functions*, Math. Chronicle **4** (1976), 122–140.
8. F. Roush, R.G. Gibson, K.R. Kellum *Darboux retracts* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **79** (1980), 491–494.
9. R. Pawlak, *Extensions of Darboux functions*, Real Anal. Exch., **15** (1989–1990), 511–547.

Institute of Mathematics  
Łódź University  
Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland  
e-mail RPAWLAK@Plunlo51