

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## ON THE HOMOGENEITY OF INFINITE PRODUCTS

FONS van ENGELEN

**ABSTRACT.** We show that if  $X$  is a zero-dimensional metric space that is either first category (in itself) or contains a dense complete subspace, then the countable infinite product  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Question 387 of *Open Problems in Topology* [6] asks for which zero-dimensional subsets  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  it is true that  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous. The main purpose of this note is to provide a proof that  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous if either  $X$  is first category in itself (i.e.  $X = \bigcup_{i < \omega} X_i$  with  $X_i$  closed and nowhere dense in  $X$ ), or  $X$  contains a dense complete subspace. In fact it will be shown that for arbitrary metrizable  $X$  of covering dimension zero (so no separability required)  $X^\omega$  is even strongly homogeneous in these cases, i.e. every non-empty clopen subset of  $X^\omega$  is homeomorphic to  $X^\omega$ .

After I obtained the above theorem, I learned that similar results had been announced by S. V. Medvedev in [4]. As far as I know his proofs have never been published; therefore I have organized this note in such a way that some of the other results announced in [4] follow as well.

Whether or not  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous for *all* zero-dimensional (separable) metric spaces  $X$  remains an interesting open problem.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

*All spaces are zero-dimensional (in the sense of dim) and metrizable.*

The choice of metric will always be irrelevant, obvious, or indicated; all metrics are assumed to be bounded by 1.

For standard definitions and terminology, we refer the reader to [2], [3], and [5]. A space is called *nowhere compact* if no non-empty clopen subset is compact. We call a space *weight-homogeneous* if all non-empty open subspaces have the same weight. We write  $X \approx Y$  (resp.  $h : X \approx Y$ ) if  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic (resp. if  $h$  is a homeomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$ ). If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of subsets of a space, then  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}) = \sup\{\text{diam}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

In our proofs, we will need a homeomorphism extension theorem (Proposition 2.3) which is a generalization of Lemma 3.2.2 of [1] to the non-separable situation.

**2.1 Lemma.** *Suppose  $X$  is a non-compact weight-homogeneous space of weight  $\kappa$ . Then  $X$  can be written as the disjoint union of  $\kappa$  non-empty clopen subsets.*

*Proof:* Since  $X$  is non-compact we can write  $X$  as a disjoint union  $\bigcup_{j < \omega} X_j$  with  $X_j$  clopen and non-empty. Now if  $\kappa = \omega$  we are done, so assume that  $\kappa > \omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be a disjoint covering of  $X$  by clopen sets of diameter less than  $1/i$ . Then  $|\bigcup_i \mathcal{U}_i| = \kappa$ . If some  $\mathcal{U}_i$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ , then we are done, so assume  $|\mathcal{U}_i| < \kappa$  for each  $i$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_k^j$  be a disjoint covering of  $X_j$  by clopen sets of diameter less than  $1/k$ ; since  $w(X_j) = \kappa > \omega$  we must have  $|\mathcal{V}_{k_j}^j| \geq |\mathcal{U}_j|$  for some  $k_j$ . Then  $\bigcup_{j < \omega} \mathcal{V}_{k_j}^j$  is the required covering of  $X$ .  $\square$

**2.2 Definition:** *Let  $A$  be a closed nowhere dense subset of the space  $X$ , and let  $B$  be a closed nowhere dense subset of the space  $Y$ . Suppose that  $h : A \rightarrow B$  is a homeomorphism,  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a covering of  $X - A$  by disjoint non-empty clopen subsets of  $X$ , and  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a covering of  $Y - B$  by disjoint non-empty clopen subsets of  $Y$ . Then  $\{(U_\alpha, V_\alpha) : \alpha <$*

$\kappa\}$  is a *KR-covering* for  $(X - A, Y - B, h)$  if, whenever  $D_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ ,  $R_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ , and  $h_\alpha : D_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha$  is a bijection for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , the combination mapping  $\tilde{h} = h \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} h_\alpha$  is continuous in points of  $A$ , and  $\tilde{h}^{-1}$  is continuous in points of  $B$ .

**2.3 Theorem:** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nowhere compact weight-homogeneous spaces of weight  $\kappa$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be closed nowhere dense subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, and suppose that  $h : A \rightarrow B$  is a homeomorphism. then there exists a *KR-covering*  $\{(U_\alpha, V_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  for  $(X - A, Y - B, h)$ .*

*Proof:* The case where  $A$  and  $B$  are empty is trivial so assume  $A \neq \emptyset \neq B$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a disjoint covering of  $X - A$  by clopen subsets of  $X$  such that, for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\text{diam}(P) < d(P, A)$ ; then  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \mathcal{P}_i$  where

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : d(P, A) \in (2^{-i-1}, 2^{-i}]\}.$$

Similarly, we let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a disjoint covering  $Y - B$  by clopen subsets of  $Y$  such that, for each  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\text{diam}(Q) < d(Q, B)$ ; also define

$$\mathcal{Q}_i = \{Q \in \mathcal{Q} : d(Q, B) \in (2^{-i-1}, 2^{-i}]\}.$$

With each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  we will associate  $Q_P \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $a_P \in A$ , and with each  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$  we will associate  $P_Q \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $b_Q \in B$ , such that, putting  $b_P = h(a_P)$  and  $a_Q = h^{-1}(b_Q)$ , the following hold:

- (i)  $d(P, a_P) < 2d(P, A)$  and  $Q_P \subset B(b_P, d(P, A))$ ;
- (ii)  $d(Q, b_Q) < 2d(Q, B)$  and  $P_Q \subset B(a_Q, d(Q, B))$ ;
- (iii) for each  $i \in \omega$ , the families  $\{Q_P : P \in \mathcal{P}_i\}$  and  $\{P_Q : Q \in \mathcal{Q}_i\}$  are discrete.

We will describe the construction of the sets  $Q_P$  and the points  $a_P$ ; the construction of the  $P_Q$  and  $b_Q$  is analogous.

Fix  $i \in \omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a disjoint clopen covering of  $Y$  with  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{W}) < 2^{-i-2}$ . With each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $W \cap B \neq \emptyset$  we associate  $Q_W \in \mathcal{Q}$  as follows. Take  $b \in B$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(b, \delta) \subset W$ . Since  $B$  is nowhere dense in  $Y$  there exists  $Q_W \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $Q_W \cap B(b, \frac{1}{2}\delta) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\text{diam}$

$(Q_W) < d(Q_W, B) < \frac{1}{2}\delta$  we have  $Q_W \subset B(b, \delta) \subset W$ . Now take  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$ . Find  $a_P \in A$  such that  $d(P, a_P) < 2d(P, A)$  (so the first part of (i) is satisfied) and let  $b_P = h(a_P)$ . Then  $b_P \in W$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , and we can define  $Q_P = Q_W$ . To verify the second part of (i), note that  $d(b_P, Q_W) < \text{diam}(W) < 2^{-i-2}$  and  $\text{diam}(Q_W) < \text{diam}(W) < 2^{-i-2}$  since  $Q_W \subset W$ , hence  $Q_P = Q_W \subset B(b_P, 2^{-i-1}) \subset B(b_P, d(P, A))$  since  $d(P, A) > 2^{-i-1}$ . Clearly, (iii) is satisfied because each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  contains at most one element of  $\{Q_P : P \in \mathcal{P}_i\}$  (although this element  $Q_W$  can be  $Q_P$  for many different  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$ ).

Since each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$  is non-compact and weight-homogeneous, we can find, using Lemma 2.1, disjoint clopen families  $\mathcal{U}_P$  and  $\mathcal{V}_Q$  of size  $\kappa$  such that  $P = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_P$  and  $Q = \bigcup \mathcal{V}_Q$ . Put

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_Q : Q \in \mathcal{Q}\},$$

and well-order  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  in type  $\kappa$ . Inductively, we will define bijections  $\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ , with the image of  $\alpha$  denoted by  $U_\alpha$  resp  $V_\alpha$ , together with points  $a_\alpha \in A$  and  $b_\alpha = h(a_\alpha) \in B$ .

First assume that  $\alpha$  is even. Put  $U_\alpha = \min(\mathcal{U} - \{U_\beta : \beta < \alpha\})$ , and take  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  containing  $U_\alpha$ . Since  $Q_P$  contains  $\kappa$  elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  we can choose  $V_\alpha \subset Q_P$  such that  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V} - \{V_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . Define  $a_\alpha = a_P$  and  $b_\alpha = h(a_\alpha) = b_P$ . If  $\alpha$  is odd we similarly put  $V_\alpha = \min(\mathcal{V} - \{V_\beta : \beta < \alpha\})$ , take  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$  containing  $V_\alpha$ , and choose  $U_\alpha \subset P_Q$  such that  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U} - \{U_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ ; also  $b_\alpha = b_Q$  and  $a_\alpha = h^{-1}(b_\alpha) = a_Q$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  are coverings of  $X - A$  and  $Y - B$  by non-empty disjoint clopen subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. We claim that  $\{(U_\alpha, V_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is the required *KR*-covering.

First we note that

- (iv) if  $\alpha$  is even then  $d(U_\alpha, a_\alpha) < 3d(U_\alpha, A)$  and  $d(V_\alpha, b_\alpha) < d(U_\alpha, A)$ ;
- (v) if  $\alpha$  is odd then  $d(V_\alpha, b_\alpha) < 3d(V_\alpha, B)$  and  $d(U_\alpha, a_\alpha) < d(V_\alpha, B)$ .

Indeed, if  $\alpha$  is even and  $U_\alpha \subset P$  then

$$d(U_\alpha, a_\alpha) = d(U_\alpha, a_P) \leq d(P, a_P) + \text{diam}(P).$$

But  $d(P, a_P) < 2d(P, A)$  by (i) and  $\text{diam}(P) < d(P, A)$ , so

$$d(U_\alpha, a_\alpha) < 3d(P, A) \leq 3d(U_\alpha, A).$$

Since  $V_\alpha \subset Q_P$  we have, again by (i), that  $V_\alpha \subset B(b_P, d(P, A))$  so

$$d(V_\alpha, b_\alpha) = d(V_\alpha, b_P) \leq d(P, A) \leq d(U_\alpha, A),$$

establishing (iv). The proof of (v) is similar.

Now assume that, for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $D_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ ,  $R_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ , and  $h_\alpha : D_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha$  is a bijection, and put  $\tilde{h} = h \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} h_\alpha$ . Let  $a \in A$ , and take a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  converging to  $a$ . Since  $\tilde{h}|A : A \approx B$  we may assume that  $x_n \in U_{\alpha_n}$  for some  $\alpha_n < \kappa$ , for all  $n < \omega$ . Let  $P_n \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $Q_n \in \mathcal{Q}$  be such that  $U_{\alpha_n} \subset P_n$  and  $V_{\alpha_n} \subset Q_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(vi)} \quad & \text{diam}(U_{\alpha_n}) < d(U_{\alpha_n}, A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ & \text{diam}(V_{\alpha_n}) < d(V_{\alpha_n}, B) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $\text{diam}(U_{\alpha_n}) < \text{diam}(P_n) < d(P_n, A) \leq d(U_{\alpha_n}, A) \leq d(x_n, a) \rightarrow 0$ , and similarly  $\text{diam}(V_{\alpha_n}) < d(V_{\alpha_n}, B)$ . Furthermore,  $d(V_{\alpha_n}, B) \leq d(Q_n, B) + \text{diam}(Q_n)$  so since  $\text{diam}(Q_n) < d(Q_n, B)$  it suffices to show that  $d(Q_n, B) \rightarrow 0$ . Assume towards a contradiction that for some  $i$  the set  $I = \{n < \omega : d(Q_n, B) \in (2^{-i-1}, 2^{-i}]\} = \{n < \omega : Q_n \in \mathcal{Q}_i\}$  is infinite. Now if  $\alpha_n$  is even the  $Q_n = Q_{P_n} \subset B(b_{P_n}, d(P_n, A))$  by (i), whence  $d(Q_n, B) < d(P_n, A)$ . But  $d(P_n, A) \rightarrow 0$  so it must be the case that  $\alpha_n$  is odd for infinitely many  $n \in I$ . For these  $n$  we have that  $P_n = P_{Q_n}$ , and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a & \in (\bigcup \{P_n : n \in I, \alpha_n \text{ odd}\})^- \subset (\bigcup \{P_Q : Q \in \mathcal{Q}_i\})^- \\ & = \bigcup \{P_Q : Q \in \mathcal{Q}_i\} \subset X - A, \end{aligned}$$

with closures being taken in  $X$ , and the equality being true because of (iii). We have a clear contradiction, and (vi) has been proved.

Put  $a_n = a_{\alpha_n}$  and  $b_n = b_{\alpha_n} = h(a_n)$ . We find that

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_n, a) &\leq d(a_n, x_n) + d(x_n, a) \\ &\leq d(a_n, U_{\alpha_n}) + \text{diam}(U_{\alpha_n}) + d(x_n, a), \end{aligned}$$

and by (iv) and (v)

$$d(a_n, U_{\alpha_n}) < \max\{3d(U_{\alpha_n}, A), d(V_{\alpha_n}, B)\},$$

so  $d(a_n, a) \rightarrow 0$  by (vi). Then also  $b_n = h(a_n) \rightarrow h(a) = b$ , whence

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tilde{h}(x_n), \tilde{h}(a)) &= d(\tilde{h}(x_n), b) \leq d(\tilde{h}(x_n), b_n) + d(b_n, b) \\ &\leq d(V_{\alpha_n}, b_n) + \text{diam}(V_{\alpha_n}) + d(b_n, b) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

using (vi) and the fact that by (iv) and (v),

$$d(V_{\alpha_n}, b_n) < \max\{3d(V_{\alpha_n}, B), d(U_{\alpha_n}, A)\}.$$

Thus,  $\tilde{h}(x_n) \rightarrow \tilde{h}(a)$  proving that  $\tilde{h}$  is continuous in points of  $A$ . The proof that  $\tilde{h}^{-1}$  is continuous in points of  $B$  is completely analogous.  $\square$

**2.4 Definition:** An associated decomposition of the space  $X$  is a pair  $(G, (X_i)_i)$  consisting of a dense complete subspace  $G$  of  $X$  (with complete metric  $\rho$ ) and a sequence  $\{X_i : i < \omega\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that

- (i)  $X - G = \bigcup_{i < \omega} X_i$  and
- (ii)  $X - X_i = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is a disjoint family of clopen subsets of  $X$  such that  $\rho\text{-mesh}(\mathcal{U}_i|G) \rightarrow 0$

Note that the sets  $X_i$  in the above definition will automatically be nowhere dense.

**2.5 Proposition:** If  $X$  has a dense complete subspace  $G$ , then  $X$  has an associated decomposition  $(G, (X_i)_i)$ .

*Proof:* For each  $i$  let  $\mathcal{V}_i$  be a disjoint covering of  $G$  by (relatively) open sets of  $\rho$ -diameter less than  $1/i$ . It is well-known that  $\mathcal{V}_i$  can be extended to a disjoint open family (but not necessarily covering)  $\mathcal{U}_i$  in  $X$ . Since  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$  in  $X$  we can write  $X - G = \bigcup_{i < \omega} Y_i$  with  $Y_i$  closed in  $X$ . Now put  $X_i =$

$Y_i \cup (X - \bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_i)$ , and define  $\mathcal{U}_i$  to be a refinement of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_i|(X - X_i)$  by disjoint clopen subsets of  $X$ .  $\square$

### 3. MAIN LEMMAS

**3.1 Lemma:** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be weight-homogeneous spaces of weight  $\kappa$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i < \omega} X_i$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{i < \omega} Y_i$  with  $X_i$  (resp.  $Y_i$ ) closed and nowhere dense in  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ). Suppose furthermore that every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) contains a closed nowhere dense copy of each  $X_i$  (resp.  $Y_i$ ). Then  $X \approx Y$ .*

*Proof:* Since we are going to use Theorem 2.3, first note that  $X$  and  $Y$  (and hence all clopen subspaces) are nowhere compact. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $X_i = \emptyset$  (resp.  $Y_i = \emptyset$ ) if  $i$  is odd (resp. even).

We will define, for each  $n < \omega$ , closed nowhere dense subsets  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ , a homeomorphism  $h_n : A_n \approx B_n$ , and  $KR$ -coverings  $\{(U_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  for  $(X - A_n, Y - B_n, h_n)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied for all  $n < \omega$ .

- (i)  $X_n \subset A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  and  $Y_n \subset B_n \subset B_{n+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $h_{n+1}|_{A_n} = h_n$ ;
- (iii) for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $h_{n+1}[U_\alpha^n \cap A_{n+1}] = V_\alpha^n \cap B_{n+1}$ ;
- (iv) for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , there exists  $\beta < \kappa$  such that  $U_\alpha^{n+1} \subset U_\beta^n$  and  $V_\alpha^{n+1} \subset V_\beta^n$ .

Supposing this can be done, it follows from (i) and (ii) that  $h = \bigcup_{n < \omega} h_n$  is a well-defined bijection from  $X$  to  $Y$ . To show that  $h$  is a homeomorphism it suffices to show, by the definition of  $KR$ -covering, that for each  $n < \omega$ ,  $h[U_\alpha^n] = V_\alpha^n$ . We only show that  $h[U_\alpha^n] \subset V_\alpha^n$ . Take  $x \in U_\alpha^n$ , then for some  $k \geq n$ ,  $x \in A_{k+1} - A_k$  and hence  $x \in U_\beta^k$  for some  $\beta < \kappa$ . By (iv) we must have  $U_\beta^k \subset U_\alpha^n$ , whence also  $V_\beta^k \subset V_\alpha^n$ . Thus, by (iii),  $h(x) = h_{k+1}(x) \in h_{k+1}[U_\beta^k \cap A_{k+1}] \subset V_\beta^k \subset V_\alpha^n$ , and we are done.

For the construction it will be convenient to take  $h_{-1} = A_{-1} = B_{-1} = \emptyset$ , and to let  $\{(U_\alpha^{-1}, V_\alpha^{-1}) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  be a  $KR$ -covering for  $(X, Y, \emptyset)$ . Now let  $n = -1$  or  $n < \omega$  and assume



that  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $h_n$  and  $\{(U_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  have been constructed. For each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , if  $n$  is odd put  $A_\alpha^n = U_\alpha^n \cap X_{n+1}$  and let  $B_\alpha^n$  be a closed nowhere dense copy of  $A_\alpha^n$  in  $V_\alpha^n$  (note that  $V_\alpha^n$  is non-empty by the definition of  $KR$ -covering!); and if  $n$  is even put  $B_\alpha^n = V_\alpha^n \cap Y_{n+1}$  and let  $A_\alpha^n$  be a closed nowhere dense copy of  $B_\alpha^n$  in  $U_\alpha^n$ . In both cases put  $h_\alpha^n : A_\alpha^n \approx B_\alpha^n$ . Apply Theorem 2.3 to obtain  $KR$ -coverings  $\{(U_{\alpha,\beta}^n, V_{\alpha,\beta}^n) : \beta < \kappa\}$  for  $(U_\alpha^n - A_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n - B_\alpha^n, h_\alpha^n)$ . Define

$$A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} A_\alpha^n, \quad B_{n+1} = B_n \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} B_\alpha^n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$h_{n+1} = h_n \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} h_\alpha^n.$$

It is clear that  $A_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1}$  are closed and nowhere dense sets containing  $A_n$  and  $X_{n+1}$  resp.  $B_n$  and  $Y_{n+1}$ , and using the fact that  $\{(U_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a  $KR$ -covering for  $(X - A_n, Y - B_n, h_n)$  it is easily verified that  $h_{n+1}$  is a homeomorphism. Furthermore,  $h_{n+1}[U_\alpha^n \cap A_{n+1}] = h_{n+1}[A_\alpha^n] = h_\alpha^n[A_\alpha^n] = B_\alpha^n = V_\alpha^n \cap B_{n+1}$  proving (iii), and (iv) is also readily obtained. Since  $\{(U_{\alpha,\beta}^n, V_{\alpha,\beta}^n) : \alpha, \beta < \kappa\}$  can be reindexed as  $\{(U_\alpha^{n+1}, V_\alpha^{n+1}) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ , it remains to show that the former family is a  $KR$ -covering for  $(X - A_{n+1}, Y - B_{n+1}, h_{n+1})$ . So suppose that  $D_{\alpha,\beta} \subset U_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ ,  $R_{\alpha,\beta} \subset V_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ , and  $h_{\alpha,\beta} : D_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow R_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a bijection for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ; put  $\tilde{h}_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \kappa} h_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\tilde{h} = h_{n+1} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \tilde{h}_\alpha$ . Since  $\{(U_{\alpha,\beta}^n, V_{\alpha,\beta}^n) : \beta < \kappa\}$  is a  $KR$ -covering for  $(U_\alpha^n - A_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n - B_\alpha^n, h_\alpha^n)$  and  $\tilde{h}$  is  $h_\alpha^n \cup \tilde{h}_\alpha$  on  $U_\alpha^n$ ,  $\tilde{h}$  (resp.  $\tilde{h}^{-1}$ ) is continuous in points of  $A_\alpha^n$  (resp.  $B_\alpha^n$ ). Finally note that  $\tilde{h} = h_n \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} (h_\alpha^n \cup \tilde{h}_\alpha)$ , so since  $h_\alpha^n \cup \tilde{h}_\alpha$  is a bijection between subsets of  $U_\alpha^n$  and  $V_\alpha^n$ , and  $\{(U_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a  $KR$ -covering for  $(X - A_n, Y - B_n, h_n)$  we find that  $\tilde{h}$  is continuous in points of  $A_n$ , and  $\tilde{h}^{-1}$  is continuous in points of  $B_n$ .  $\square$

**3.2 Lemma:** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nowhere compact spaces with associated decompositions  $(G, (X_i)_i)$  and  $(H, (Y_i)_i)$ . Suppose furthermore that every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  (resp.*

$Y$ ) contains a closed nowhere dense copy of each  $X_i$  (resp.  $Y_i$ ). Then  $X \approx Y$ .

*Proof:* Fix complete metrics  $\rho_1$  on  $G$  and  $\rho_2$  on  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  (resp.  $\mathcal{V}_i$ ) be a disjoint family of clopen subsets of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) as in Definition 2.4, such that  $\rho_1\text{-mesh}(\mathcal{U}_i|G) \rightarrow 0$  (resp.  $\rho_2\text{-mesh}(\mathcal{V}_i|H) \rightarrow 0$ ). Without loss of generality we may assume that for each  $n < \omega$ ,  $X_{2n} = X_{2n+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{2n} = \mathcal{U}_{2n+1}$ ,  $Y_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{Y\}$ ,  $Y_{2n+1} = Y_{2n+2}$ , and  $\mathcal{V}_{2n+1} = \mathcal{V}_{2n+2}$ . Also put  $\mathcal{V}_{-1} = \mathcal{V}_0$ . Now construct  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $h_n$  and  $\{(U_\alpha, V_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, but subject to the further condition

- (\*) for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $\{U_\alpha^n : \alpha < \kappa\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and  $\{V_\alpha^n : \alpha < \kappa\}$  refines  $\mathcal{V}_n$ .

This is accomplished as follows. If the construction has just been carried out for  $n+1$  as above, and  $n$  is odd, then  $X_{n+1} \subset A_{n+1}$  so  $X - A_{n+1} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ , and we can replace each  $U_\alpha^{n+1}$  by a family  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha^{n+1}$  of non-empty disjoint clopen subsets of  $X$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ . Because of nowhere compactness and weight-homogeneity we can apply Lemma 2.1 and replace the corresponding  $V_\alpha^{n+1}$  by a family  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha^{n+1}$  of non-empty disjoint clopen subsets of  $Y$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha^{n+1}| = |\mathcal{V}_\alpha^{n+1}|$  (in fact, we could choose this cardinality to be  $\kappa$ ). Reindexing  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{U}_\alpha^{n+1}$  and  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^{n+1}$ , the new  $\{(U_\alpha^{n+1}, V_\alpha^{n+1}) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  still satisfies the "old" requirements. But also, by definition  $\{U_\alpha^{n+1} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ , and by (iv) and the inductive hypothesis  $\{V_\alpha^{n+1} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  refines  $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ . If  $n$  is even a similar argument applies.

Define  $M = \{\sigma \in \kappa^\omega : \text{for all } n < \omega, U_{\sigma(n)}^{n+1} \subset U_{\sigma(n)}^n\}$ . If  $\sigma \in M$  then there exists a unique point  $x_\sigma \in \bigcap_{n < \omega} U_{\sigma(n)} \cap G$ : indeed,  $\rho_1$  is a complete metric on  $G$  and, by (\*),  $\rho_1\text{-diam}(U_{\sigma(n)}^n \cap G) \leq \rho_1\text{-mesh}(\mathcal{U}_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Since each  $\{U_\alpha^n : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is disjoint, different  $\sigma$  yield different  $x_\sigma$ . Also,  $x_\sigma \in X - A$ , and every  $x \in X - A$  is some  $x_\sigma$  because of (iv) and the fact that  $X - A = \bigcap_{n < \omega} \bigcup \{U_\alpha^n : \alpha < \kappa\}$ . Again by (iv),  $M = \{\sigma \in \kappa^\omega : \text{for all } n < \omega, V_{\sigma(n)}^{n+1} \subset V_{\sigma(n)}^n\}$  so there is a similar one-to-one correspondence  $\sigma \rightarrow y_\sigma$  between  $M$  and

$Y - B$ . If we define  $g : X - A \rightarrow Y - B$  by  $g(x_\sigma) = y_\sigma$  then clearly  $g[U_\alpha^n \cap (X - A)] = V_\alpha^n \cap (Y - B)$ . Endowing  $X - A \subset G$  with  $\rho_1$  and  $Y - B \subset H$  with  $\rho_2$ , we see from  $\rho_1$ -diam( $U_\alpha^n \cap G$ )  $\leq \rho_1$ -mesh( $\mathcal{U}_n$ )  $\rightarrow 0$  and  $\rho_2$ -diam( $V_\alpha^n \cap G$ )  $\leq \rho_2$ -mesh( $\mathcal{V}_n$ )  $\rightarrow 0$  that  $\{U_\alpha^n \cap (X - A) : n < \omega, \alpha < \kappa\}$  and  $\{V_\alpha^n \cap (Y - B) : n < \omega, \alpha < \kappa\}$  are bases for  $X - A$  and  $Y - B$ , whence  $g$  is a homeomorphism.

Finally, define  $h = g \cup \bigcup_{n < \omega} h_n$ . Since  $\{(U_\alpha^n, V_\alpha^n) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a  $KR$ -covering for  $(X - A_n, Y - B_n, h_n)$  and  $g : U_\alpha^n \cap (X - A) \approx V_\alpha^n \cap (Y - B)$ , the map  $g \cup h_n$  is a homeomorphism. This implies that  $h$  is a homeomorphism since  $X - A$  and  $Y - B$  are dense in  $X$  and  $Y$   $\square$ .

#### 4. MAIN THEOREMS

**4.1 Theorem:** *Let  $X$  be first category (in itself), such that every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  contains a closed copy of  $X$ . Then*

- (a)  *$X$  is strongly homogeneous;*
- (b)  *$X \approx \mathbb{Q} \times X \approx (\omega + 1) \times X$ ; in particular,  $X$  contains a closed nowhere dense copy of  $X$ .*

*Proof:* Put  $X = \bigcup_{i < \omega} X_i$  with  $X_i$  closed and nowhere dense in  $X$ . (a) Let  $U$  be a non-empty clopen subset of  $X$ . Then  $U = \bigcup_{i < \omega} U_i$  where  $U_i = U \cap X_i$ , and  $U_i$  is closed and nowhere dense in  $X$ . Now every non-empty clopen subset of  $U$ , being also clopen in  $X$ , contains a closed copy of  $X$ , and hence closed nowhere dense copies of each  $X_i$ ; and similarly each non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  contains closed nowhere dense copies of the  $U_i$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $U \approx X$ .

(b) Write  $\mathbb{Q} \times X = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{q\} \times X_i)$ . Then each non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  contains closed nowhere dense copies of each  $\{q\} \times X_i \approx X_i$ , and each non-empty clopen subset of  $\mathbb{Q} \times X$  contains a clopen subset of  $X$  as a closed subset and thus contains closed nowhere dense copies of each  $X_i$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\mathbb{Q} \times X \approx X$ . The proof for  $(\omega + 1) \times X$  is similar.  $\square$ .

**4.2 Theorem:** *Let  $X$  be first category (in itself). Then  $X^\omega$  is strongly homogeneous.*

*Proof:* Note that  $X^\omega$  is first category, and that every non-empty clopen subset of  $X^\omega$  contains a closed copy of  $X^\omega$ . Now apply Theorem 4.1(a).  $\square$

**4.3 Theorem:** *Let  $X$  contain a dense complete subspace, and suppose that every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  contains a closed copy of  $X$ . Then*

(a)  *$X$  is strongly homogeneous;*

(b) *if  $X$  contains more than one point then  $X \approx (\omega + 1) \times X$ ; in particular,  $X$  contains a closed nowhere dense copy of  $X$ .*

*Proof:* If some non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  is compact, then  $X$  itself is compact and it easily follows that either  $X$  has one point or  $X \approx 2^\omega$ , in which cases the theorem holds. So assume that  $X$  is nowhere compact. Applying Proposition 2.5, fix an associated decomposition  $(G, (X_i)_i)$  for  $X$ , and let  $\rho$  and  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be as in Definition 2.4.

(a) Let  $U$  be a non-empty clopen subset of  $X$ . It is easily verified that  $(G \cap U, (X_i \cap U)_i)$  is an associated decomposition for  $U$  (endow  $G \cap U$  with the restriction of  $\rho$ ). Put  $U_i = X_i \cap U$ . Since each every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  contains a closed copy of  $X$ , it also contains closed nowhere dense copies of all  $U_i$ ; and similarly every non-empty clopen subset of  $U$  contains closed nowhere dense copies of all  $X_i$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $X \approx U$ .

(b) It is easily verified that  $((\omega + 1) \times G, ((\omega + 1) \times X_i)_i)$  is an associated decomposition for  $(\omega + 1) \times X$  (take e.g. the max-metric on  $(\omega + 1) \times G$ ). For an application of Lemma 3.2 it suffices to show that  $X$  contains a closed nowhere dense copy of each  $(\omega + 1) \times X_i$ . Let  $Y$  be the subspace  $(\omega \times X) \cup (\{\omega\} \times X_i)$  of  $(\omega + 1) \times X$ . Since  $Y$  clearly contains a closed nowhere dense copy of  $(\omega + 1) \times X_i$ , it suffices to prove that  $X \approx Y$ . Since every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  or  $Y$  contains a closed copy of  $X$ , both  $X$  and  $Y$  are weight-homogeneous, of the same weight. Put  $A = X_i$ ,  $B = \{\omega\} \times X_i$ , and let  $h : A \rightarrow B$  be any

homeomorphism. Then by Proposition 2.3 there exists a  $KR$ -covering  $\{(U_\alpha, V_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  for  $(X - A, Y - B, h)$ . However, for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $U_\alpha \approx X$  since  $X$  is strongly homogeneous by (a), and  $V_\alpha \approx \omega \times X \approx X$  since  $X$  is in addition non-compact. Thus, there exist homeomorphisms  $h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$ , and by the definition of  $KR$ -covering,  $\tilde{h} = h \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} h_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

**4.4 Theorem:** *Let  $X$  contain a dense complete subspace. Then  $X^\omega$  is strongly homogeneous.*

*Proof:* Note that  $X^\omega$  contains a dense complete subspace and that every non-empty clopen subspace of  $X^\omega$  contains a closed copy of  $X^\omega$ . Now apply Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

**4.5 Corollary:** *Let  $\Gamma$  be one of the classes  $\Delta_n^1$ ,  $\Pi_n^1$ , or  $\Sigma_n^1$ . If  $Det(\Gamma)$  and  $X \in \Gamma$  then  $X^\omega$  is strongly homogeneous.*

*Proof:* By Moschovakis [7],  $X$  has the Baire property. Thus, either  $X$  contains a dense complete subset or some non-empty open subset of  $X$  is first-category (see Kuratowski [3], §11.IV). In the former case, Theorem 4.4 applies, and in the latter case  $X^\omega$  is first category whence  $X^\omega \approx (X^\omega)^\omega$  is strongly homogeneous by Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

It follows from Corollary 4.5 that  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous if  $X$  is a (separable) absolute Borel set. This has been proved independently by Gruenhage and Zhou (and possibly others). They also proved corollary 4.5 for  $\Sigma_1^1$ , and the following corollary to Theorem 4.2:  $(MA + \neg CH)$  if  $|X| \leq \omega_1$  then  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous. Furthermore, they showed that  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous if  $X$  is zero-dimensional and first-countable and contains a dense set of isolated points.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. van Engelen, *Homogeneous zero-dimensional absolute Borel sets*, CWI Tract 27, 1986.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [3] K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

- [4] S. V. Medvedev, *Characterization of  $h$ -homogeneous spaces*, Interim Report of the Prague Top. Symp. 2, 1987.
- [5] J. van Mill, *Infinite-dimensional topology: prerequisites and introduction*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [6] J. van Mill and G. M. Reed (eds.), *Open problems in topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.

Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam  
 Econometrisch Instituut  
 Postbus 1738  
 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands