

Topology Proceedings



Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>
Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

BASES, π -BASES AND QUASI-DEVELOPMENTS

CAMILLO COSTANTINI, ALESSANDRO FEDELI* AND JAN PELANT

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to give a characterization of those spaces having a σ -disjoint base or a σ -disjoint π -base by means of some quasi-development-like properties. Moreover we show that a space is almost countably subcompact iff it has a countably complete π -base.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t : t \in T\}$ be a collection of subsets of a set X , the star of a set $M \subset X$ with respect to \mathcal{A} is the set $St(M, \mathcal{A}) = \bigcup \{A_t : M \cap A_t \neq \emptyset\}$. The star of a one-point set $\{x\}$ is denoted $St(x, \mathcal{A})$. A sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in N\}$ of open covers of a space X is called a development for X if for every $x \in X$ and any open neighbourhood U of x there exists a natural number i such that $St(x, \mathcal{U}_i) \subset U$.

A sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ of families of open sets of a space X is called a :

1) quasi-development for X if for every $x \in X$ and any open neighbourhood U of x there is a natural number i such that $x \in St(x, \mathcal{U}_i) \subset U$ [3]. A space is called quasi-developable if it has a quasi-development;

2) strong quasi-development for X if for every $x \in X$ and any open neighbourhood U of x there exist an open neighbourhood V of x and a natural number i such that $x \in \bigcup \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}_i\}$ and $x \in St(V, \mathcal{G}_i) \subset U$;

* Supported by the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy.

3) quasi-development of order 2 for X if for every $x \in X$ and any open neighbourhood U of x there exists a natural number i such that $x \in \bigcup\{G : G \in \mathcal{G}_i\}$ and $St^2(x, \mathcal{G}_i) \subset U$ (where $St^2(x, \mathcal{G}_i) = St(St(x, \mathcal{G}_i), \mathcal{G}_i)$).

A space X is called screenable [4] if every open cover of X has a σ -disjoint open refinement. X is called hereditarily screenable if every subspace of X is screenable.

Theorem 1. *For every space X the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (i) X has a σ -disjoint base.
- (ii) X has a strong quasi-development.
- (iii) X has a quasi-development of order 2.
- (iv) X is quasi-developable and hereditarily screenable.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii) If $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{B}_n$ is a σ -disjoint base for X (where each \mathcal{B}_n is a disjoint family) then $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in N\}$ is a strong quasi-development for X .

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) Let $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ be a strong quasi-development for X . For every $m, n \in N$ set $\mathcal{V}_{m,n} = \{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{G}_m, B \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$. Obviously $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{G}_m and \mathcal{G}_n . We claim that $\{\mathcal{V}_{m,n} : m, n \in N\}$ is a quasi-development of order 2 for X . Let $x \in X$ and let G be an open neighbourhood of x . Then there exist an open neighbourhood V of x and a natural number n such that $x \in \bigcup\{U : U \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$ and $St(V, \mathcal{G}_n) \subset G$. Moreover there exist an open neighbourhood W of x and a natural number m such that $x \in \bigcup\{U : U \in \mathcal{G}_m\}$ and $St(W, \mathcal{G}_m) \subset V$, so $St(x, \mathcal{G}_m) \subset V$. Obviously $x \in \bigcup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}\}$; moreover $St^2(x, \mathcal{V}_{m,n}) = St(St(x, \mathcal{V}_{m,n}), \mathcal{V}_{m,n}) \subset St(St(x, \mathcal{G}_m), \mathcal{V}_{m,n}) \subset St(V, \mathcal{V}_{m,n}) \subset St(V, \mathcal{G}_n) \subset G$.

(iii) \rightarrow (iv) Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in N\}$ be a quasi-development of order 2 for X . Obviously $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in N\}$ is a quasi-development for X . To see that X is hereditarily screenable it is enough to show that every open subspace of X is screenable. Let Y be an open subspace of X and let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of Y . Let us consider a well-ordering \preceq on Y and let us associate inductively an open subset A_x of X to each $x \in Y$. Given

$x \in Y$, if there is a $y \prec x$ such that $x \in A_y$ then we set $A_x = A_{\bar{x}}$, where $\bar{x} = \min\{y \in Y : x \in A_y\}$. If $x \notin A_y$ for each $y \prec x$ then choose as A_x a member of \mathcal{V} containing x . Then $\mathcal{A} = \{A_x : x \in Y\}$ is a subset of \mathcal{V} . Now let us show the following property :

$$\forall x, y \in Y \text{ if } y \in A_x \text{ and } x \in A_y \text{ then } A_x = A_y \quad (*).$$

Let us suppose that there exist $x, y \in Y$ such that $y \in A_x$ and $x \in A_y$ and $A_x \neq A_y$. Then $x \neq y$ and we may assume $x \prec y$. Since $\{z : z \prec y, y \in A_z\} \neq \emptyset$ we have $A_y = A_{\bar{y}}$ where $\bar{y} = \min\{z : z \prec y, y \in A_z\}$ and obviously $\bar{y} \prec x$. Hence $\{z : z \prec x, x \in A_z\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $A_x = A_{\bar{x}}$ where $\bar{x} = \min\{z : z \prec x, x \in A_z\}$ and $\bar{x} \prec \bar{y} \prec x \prec y$. Since $y \in A_x = A_{\bar{x}}$ we have $\bar{y} \preceq \bar{x}$, a contradiction. Now for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and for any natural number n define $M_{A,n} = \{x \in \bigcup\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\} : A_x = A, St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subset A\}$, and $S_{A,n} = \bigcup\{St(x, \mathcal{U}_n) : x \in M_{A,n}\}$. Let us show that for every fixed n we have :

$$\forall A, B \in \mathcal{A} \quad S_{A,n} \cap S_{B,n} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A = B.$$

If $S_{A,n} \cap S_{B,n} \neq \emptyset$ then there are $x \in M_{A,n}$ and $y \in M_{B,n}$ such that $St(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap St(y, \mathcal{U}_n) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. there are $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. So

$$St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_n) = St(St(x, \mathcal{U}_n), \mathcal{U}_n) \supset St(U, \mathcal{U}_n) \supset V \ni y$$

and

$$St^2(y, \mathcal{U}_n) = St(St(y, \mathcal{U}_n), \mathcal{U}_n) \supset St(V, \mathcal{U}_n) \supset U \ni x.$$

Since $St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subset A_x$ and $St^2(y, \mathcal{U}_n) \subset A_y$ ($x \in M_{A,n}$ and $y \in M_{B,n}$), $y \in A_x$ and $x \in A_y$. Hence by (*) we have $A_x = A_y$, so $A = B$. Therefore the family $\mathcal{S}_n = \{S_{A,n} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a disjoint family for any natural number n . Moreover, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and for any n we have $S_{A,n} \subset A$. We claim that $\bigcup_n \mathcal{S}_n$ is a σ -disjoint open refinement of \mathcal{V} . It remains to show only that $\bigcup\{S : S \in \mathcal{S}_n \text{ for some } n\} = Y$. If $x \in Y$, then A_x is an open neighbourhood of x and there is a natural number n such that $x \in \bigcup\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ and $St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subset A_x$. Hence $x \in M_{A_x,n} \subset S_{A_x,n}$ and $x \in \bigcup\{S : S \in \mathcal{S}_n \text{ for some } n\}$.

(iv) \rightarrow (i) was observed by Aull [2]. We give a proof for the sake of completeness. Let $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ be a quasi-development for X . X is hereditarily screenable so for any $n \in N$ there exists a family $\mathcal{B}_n = \bigcup_k \mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ of open sets of $\bigcup\{G : G \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$ such that \mathcal{B}_n is a refinement of \mathcal{G}_n and every $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ is disjoint. Thus $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n,k} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ is a σ -disjoint family of open sets of X . Let us show that \mathcal{B} is a base for X . Let $x \in X$ and let U be an open neighbourhood of x . Since $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ is a quasi-development for X there exists a natural number n such that $x \in St(x, \mathcal{G}_n) \subset U$. Since $x \in \bigcup\{G : G \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$ and \mathcal{B}_n refines \mathcal{G}_n there exist a $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and a $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ such that $x \in B \subset G \subset St(x, \mathcal{G}_n) \subset U$. \square

Remark 2. Let \mathcal{A} be an infinite maximal family of infinite subsets of N such that $A \cap B$ is finite whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \neq B$. Let $\psi(N) = \mathcal{A} \cup N$ and describe a topology on $\psi(N)$ as follows : the points of N are isolated; basic neighborhoods of a point $A \in \mathcal{A}$ are sets of the form $\{A\} \cup (A - F)$ where F is a finite subset of N (this is the space ψ described in [6]). $\psi(N)$ is an example of a developable space which is not screenable (it is not even meta-Lindelöf [5]).

A π -base for a space X is a family \mathcal{B} of non-empty open subsets of X such that for every non-empty open set U of X there exists a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset U$.

A sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ of families of open subsets of a space X is called a :

i) π -quasi-development for X if for every non-empty open subset U of X there is an $x \in X$ and a natural number i such that $\emptyset \neq st(x, \mathcal{G}_i) \subset U$;

ii) strong π -quasi-development for X if for every non-empty open subset U of X there is an open set V and a natural number i such that $\emptyset \neq St(V, \mathcal{G}_i) \subset U$. A space is called strongly π -quasi-developable if it has a strong π -quasi-development.

$\beta\omega$ is an example of a strongly π -quasi-developable space (it has a countable π -base) which is not quasi-developable (it is not even first countable).

Theorem 3. *A space X has a σ -disjoint π -base if and only if it is strong π -quasi-developable.*

Proof: If $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$ is a σ -disjoint π -base for X then $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in N\}$ is a strong π -quasi-development for X . Now let $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ be a strong π -quasi-development for X . For every $n \in N$ let \mathcal{B}_n be a maximal disjoint family of non-empty open subsets of X such that for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ there exists a $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $B \subset G$. We claim that $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$ is a σ -disjoint π -base for X . Let U be a non-empty open set of X . By hypothesis there are an open set V of X and a natural number i such that $\emptyset \neq St(V, \mathcal{G}_i) \subset U$. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_i$ be such that $G \cap V \neq \emptyset$; obviously $G \subset U$. Now let us consider the open set $H = G \cap V$. By the maximality of \mathcal{B}_i it follows that there is a $B \in \mathcal{B}_i$ such that $H \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\emptyset \neq G \cap V \cap B \subset B \cap V$. Let $A \in \mathcal{G}_i$ be such that $B \subset A$. Then $\emptyset \neq B \cap V \subset A \cap V$ and $\emptyset \neq B \subset A \subset St(V, \mathcal{G}_i) \subset U$. \square

Remark 4. H.E. White, Jr. showed that a first countable Hausdorff space has a σ -disjoint π -base if and only if it has a dense metrizable subspace [9]. From this result it easily follows that a Hausdorff first countable space is strongly π -quasi-developable iff it has a dense metrizable subspace.

A collection \mathcal{F} of non-empty subsets of a space X is called a regular filterbase if, whenever $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, some $F_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ has $\overline{F_3} \subset F_1 \cap F_2$ [7]. Let \mathcal{B} be a π -base for a space X . A sequence $\{U_n \in \mathcal{B} : n \in N\}$ is called a regular \mathcal{B} -sequence if $\overline{U_{n+1}} \subset U_n$ for any n . A space X is called almost countably subcompact if there is a π -base \mathcal{B} for X such that if $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a countable regular filterbase, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ [1]. A π -base \mathcal{B} for a space X is said to be countably complete if every regular \mathcal{B} -sequence has non-empty intersection.

Proposition 5. *X is almost countably subcompact iff it has a countably complete π -base.*

Proof: The necessity is obvious. Now let \mathcal{B} be a countably complete π -base for a space X . We claim that X is almost

countably subcompact (cf. lemma 1.1 in [8]). Let us consider a countable regular filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n \in N\} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Define inductively a sequence $\{G_n : n \in N\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ in this way: $G_1 = F_1$ and $G_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\overline{G_{n+1}} \subset G_n \cap F_{n+1}$. Then $\{G_n : n \in N\}$ is a regular \mathcal{B} -sequence so $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_n G_n \subset \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. \square

Remark 6. If X has a σ -disjoint π -base and a countably complete π -base then X has a σ -disjoint countably complete π -base. In fact let \mathcal{B} be a countably complete π -base and $\bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$ be a σ -disjoint π -base for X . For every natural number n and every $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ choose a $B_G \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_G \subset G$ and let $\mathcal{A}_n = \{B_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_n\}$. $\bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n$ is a σ -disjoint family of non-empty open subsets of X . We claim that $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n$ is also a countably complete π -base for X . Let U be a non-empty open subset of X , let $n \in N$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ be such that $G \subset U$. Then $B_G \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B_G \subset U$. If \mathcal{F} is a regular \mathcal{A} -sequence then it is a regular \mathcal{B} -sequence, hence $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{A} is a countably complete π -base.

The authors wish to thank the referee for some helpful comments which improved the exposition of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. Aarts J.M., Lutzer D.J., *Completeness properties designed for recognizing Baire spaces*, Dissertationes Math., **116** (1974), 1-43.
2. Aull C.E., *Quasi-developments and $\delta\theta$ -bases*, J. London Math. Soc., (2), **9** (1974), 197-204.
3. Bennett H.R., *On quasi-developable spaces*, Gen. Top. and Appl., **1** (1971), 253-262.
4. Bing R.H., *Metriization of topological spaces*, Canad. J. Math., **3** (1951), 175-186.
5. Burke D.K., *Covering Properties*, in "Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology" (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.) Elsevier Science Publishers, B.V., North Holland 1984, 347-422.
6. Gillman L., Jerison M. "Rings of Continuous Functions" D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J. 1960.
7. de Groot J., *Subcompactness and the Baire category theorem*, Indag. Math., **25** (1963), 761-767.
8. Ikeda Y., *Čech-completeness and countably subcompactness*, Topology Proceedings **14** (1989), 75-87.

9. White H.E., Jr., *First countable spaces that have special pseudo-bases*, Jour Canad. Math. Bull., **21** (1978) 103–112.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università,
20133 Milano, Italy

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata,
Università, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy

Mathematical Institute of ČSAV, Žitná
25, 115 64 Praha 1, Czech Republic