

Topology Proceedings



Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>
Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

THE SAMUEL COMPACTIFICATION OF A QUASI-UNIFORM FRAME

J. Frith, W. Hunsaker and J. Walters-Wayland

Abstract

This paper presents a new description of the completion of a quasi-uniform frame. The completion is used to extend the notion of the Samuel compactification to quasi-uniform frames.

1. Introduction

In [10, Theorem 3.4] it was proved that each quasi-uniform frame has a unique completion. The proof of that Theorem used the fact that each uniform frame has a unique completion. In this note we construct the completion of a quasi-uniform frame without assuming the existence of the completion of a uniform frame. As a consequence, we obtain the completion of a uniform frame as a special case of the completion of a quasi-uniform frame. This is analogous to the presentation of the bicompletion of a quasi-uniform space given in [8, p. 60, ff]. We utilize a frame constructed by B. Banaschewski and A. Pultr [3] to carry the completion of the given quasi-uniform frame.

In the final section we show that the category of totally bounded quasi-uniform frames forms a coreflective subcategory of the category of quasi-uniform frames. We extend the notion of Samuel compactification to quasi-uniform frames.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06D20, 54E15, 54D35

Key words: Quasi-Uniform frame, complete, completion, uniform frame, totally bounded, quasi-proximity inclusion, coreflection

2. Preliminaries

A frame (L, \leq) is a complete lattice that satisfies the frame distributive law: for any $x \in L$ and any $A \subset L$,

$$x \wedge \bigvee A = \bigvee \{x \wedge a : a \in A\}.$$

A function between frames is a join homomorphism provided that it preserves arbitrary joins. A join homomorphism that preserves finite meets is called a frame homomorphism. Let f and g be order preserving functions from L to L . We write $f \leq g$ provided that $f(a) \leq g(a)$ for each $a \in L$. This obviously defines a partial order on the set of all order preserving functions from L to L . In any frame L , we use 0 to denote $\bigvee \emptyset$ and e to denote $\bigvee L$. A function f between frames with the property that $f(a) = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ is said to be dense. Let L and M be frames and let $h : L \rightarrow M$ be a frame homomorphism. The function $h_* : M \rightarrow L$ defined by $h_*(m) = \bigvee \{l \in L : h(l) \leq m\}$, $m \in M$, is the right adjoint of h . If h maps onto M , then $hh_* = id_M$. For further information on frames the reader is referred to [11].

Let L be a frame and let $a, b \in L$. The function $a \# b : L \rightarrow L$ is defined in [7] by:

$$a \# b(x) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } a \wedge x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following proposition is proved in [10] and will be used in section 3.

Proposition 2.1. *Let L and M be frames and let $h : L \rightarrow M$ be a dense frame homomorphism from L onto M . If $a \# b \leq \bigvee \{x_\alpha \# y_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ then $h(a) \# h(b) \leq \bigvee \{h(x_\alpha) \# h(y_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$.*

The following definitions and notations are taken from [4], [5], [6], [7], and [10]. Let L be a frame, let $x \in L$ and let $u : L \rightarrow L$. Then x is u -small provided that $x \# x \leq u$. The set of all

u -small elements of L is denoted by S_u . If u is an order preserving function and $\bigvee S_u = e$, then u is said to be a Δ -map. A quasi-uniform base on L is a nonempty collection \mathbf{B} of Δ -maps that satisfy:

- (1) For each $u \in \mathbf{B}$ there exists $v \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $v \circ v \leq u$, and
- (2) For $u, v \in \mathbf{B}$ there is a join homomorphism w and a $z \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $z \leq w \leq u \wedge v$.

The frame quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} for which \mathbf{B} is a base is the collection of all order preserving functions $w : L \rightarrow L$ for which there exists a $u \in \mathbf{B}$ with $u \leq w$. The frame of \mathbf{U} , $Fr(\mathbf{U})$, is the subframe of L to which a belongs provided that $a = \bigvee \{b \in L : u(b) \leq a \text{ for some } u \in \mathbf{U}\}$. Let $u : L \rightarrow L$ be a function. Then u is symmetric provided that for each $x, y \in L$, $u(x) \wedge y = 0$ if and only if $u(y) \wedge x = 0$. A quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} on a frame L is a uniformity [4] provided that \mathbf{U} has a base of symmetric entourages and $Fr(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{L}$. The pair (L, \mathbf{U}) is called a uniform frame. If (L, \mathbf{U}) is a uniform frame, then $\{S_u : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for a covering uniformity which is denoted by $\mu_{\mathbf{U}}$ [4].

Let \mathbf{U} be a quasi-uniformity on a frame L and let $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Then u^* is defined by $u^*(x) = \bigvee \{c \in S_u : c \wedge x \neq 0\} = S_u x$. The uniformity $\mathbf{U}^* = \{u^* : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is the coarsest frame uniformity containing \mathbf{U} . Equivalently, [4] $\{S_u : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for \mathbf{U}^* when considered as a covering uniformity. We shall use the fact that for any $x \in L$, and any $u \in \mathbf{U}$, x is u^* -small if and only if x is u -small [7, Proposition 2.1(1)]. If \mathbf{U} is a quasi-uniformity on L and $Fr(\mathbf{U}^*) = L$, then (L, \mathbf{U}) is said to be a quasi-uniform frame [5]. Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame and let $a, b \in L$. We write $a \triangleleft b$ if $u^*(a) \leq b$ for some $u^* \in \mathbf{U}^*$.

Let L and M be frames and let \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} be (quasi-) uniformities on L and M respectively. Let $f : L \rightarrow M$ be a frame homomorphism, and for $u \in \mathbf{U}$, let $u_f = \bigvee \{f(x) \# f(y) : x \# y \leq u\}$. Then f is a (quasi-) uniform frame homomorphism if and only if

$u_f \in \mathbf{V}$ whenever $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Let (L, \mathbf{U}) and (M, \mathbf{V}) be (quasi-) uniform frames, and let f be a (quasi-) uniform frame homomorphism of L onto M . Then f is a (quasi-) uniform surjection provided that $\{u_f : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for \mathbf{V} . There is an equivalent formulation of the concept of a quasi-uniform frame homomorphism that will be used in §4: for each $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there exists $v \in \mathbf{V}$ such that $v \circ f \leq f \circ u$.

The following proposition is proved in [10] and will be used in describing the completion of a quasi-uniform frame in §3. Let L be a frame and let $u : L \rightarrow L$. Then $u^- = \bigvee \{a \sharp b : a \sharp b \leq u\}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame. If $u, v \in \mathbf{U}$ and $v^3 \leq u$ then $v \leq u^- \leq u$. Consequently, $\{u^- : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for \mathbf{U} .*

Proposition 2.3. [10, Proposition 2.6] *Let $g : L \rightarrow M$ be a dense frame homomorphism of L onto M . Let \mathbf{U} be a quasi-uniformity on M . Then $(u_{g*})_g = u^-$ for $u \in \mathbf{U}$.*

Proposition 2.4. [10, Proposition 2.3] *Let $f : (M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U})$ be a quasi-uniform surjection. Then $f^* : (M, \mathbf{V}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U}^*)$ is a uniform surjection where $f^*(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in M$.*

The reader is invited to compare the following proposition with Proposition 2.2 of [10].

Proposition 2.5. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) and (M, \mathbf{V}) be uniform frames and let $f : (L, \mathbf{U}) \rightarrow (M, \mathbf{V})$ be a uniform surjection. Then $f : (L, \mu_{\mathbf{U}}) \rightarrow (M, \mu_{\mathbf{V}})$ is a uniform surjection, where $\mu_{\mathbf{U}}(\mu_{\mathbf{V}})$ is the covering uniformity associated with $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{V})$.*

Definition. A quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) is *complete* if every dense quasi-uniform surjection $(M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U})$ is an isomorphism.

This is equivalent to the statement that (L, \mathbf{U}^*) is a complete uniform frame [10, Proposition 3.3].

Definition. Let (M, \mathbf{V}) and (L, \mathbf{U}) be quasi-uniform frames with (M, \mathbf{V}) complete. Then (M, \mathbf{V}) is a completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) provided that there is a dense quasi-uniform surjection $(M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$.

In [10, Theorem 3.4] it was proved that each quasi-uniform frame has a unique completion.

3. The Completion of a Quasi-Uniform Frame

Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame. The frame CL described below will carry the completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) . The description is due to B. Banaschewski and A. Pultr [3, §4]. Recall that a nonempty subset A of L is called a downset provided that if $x \leq y$ and $y \in A$ then $x \in A$. Let DL be the frame of all downsets of L ordered by inclusion. For any $a \in L$, let $k(a) = \{x \in L : x \triangleleft a\}$.

Banaschewski and Pultr utilized the quotient of DL determined by the prenucleus $l_0 : DL \rightarrow DL$, where

$$l_0(A) = \{a \in L : k(a) \subseteq A\} \bigcup \{a \in L : a \wedge S_u \subseteq A \text{ for some } u \in \mathbf{U}\}.$$

This quotient is denoted by CL . (Recall that $A \in CL$ provided that $A = l_0(A)$). B. Banaschewski and A. Pultr [2] show that CL , with set inclusion as the partial order, is a frame.

In CL , meet is intersection and for $C_\alpha \in CL$,

$$\bigvee_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} = l\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}\right),$$

where l is the nucleus on DL defined by $l(A) = \bigwedge\{B \in DL : l_0(B) = B, A \subseteq B\}$ for $A \in DL$ [3].

Let $CL \rightarrow L$ be the join map. Then the right adjoint $r : L \rightarrow CL$ of the join is given by $r(a) = \downarrow a$, where $\downarrow a = \{x \in L : x \leq a\}$ for $a \in L$. We shall make use of the following formulas which are proved in [3]. For any $a \in L$ and any $V \in CL$,

$$r(a) = \bigvee \{r(x) : x \triangleleft a\}$$

and

$$V = \bigvee \{r(a) : a \in V\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame, and let r be the right adjoint of the frame homomorphism $CL \rightarrow L$ given by the join map. Then $\{u_r : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for a quasi-uniformity $C\mathbf{U}$ on CL and $(CL, C\mathbf{U})$ is a quasi-uniform frame.*

Proof. Clearly each u_r for $u \in \mathbf{U}$ is nondecreasing. To show that u_r is a Δ -map we must show that the u_r -smalls cover CL . We show that $\bigvee S_{u_r} = \downarrow e$. By definition, $\bigvee S_{u_r} = l\left(\bigcup\{c : c \in S_{u_r}\}\right)$. It follows from the definition of u_r that if x is u -small, then $r(x)$ is u_r -small. Since $r(x) = \downarrow x$, it suffices to show that $\bigvee_{x \in S_u} \downarrow x = \downarrow e$. Note that $e \wedge S_u = S_u \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in S_u} \downarrow x$. By the definition of l_0 , we have $e \in l_0\left(\bigcup_{x \in S_u} \downarrow x\right)$. But $l_0\left(\bigcup_{x \in S_u} \downarrow x\right) \subseteq l\left(\bigcup_{x \in S_u} \downarrow x\right)$. Consequently $\downarrow e = l\left(\bigcup_{x \in S_u} (\downarrow x)\right) = \bigvee_{x \in S_u} \downarrow x$. This shows that each u_r is a Δ -map. Let $v \in \mathbf{U}$ and choose $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $u^2 \leq v$. It can be verified that $u_r^2 \leq v_r$. Also, if $u, v, w \in \mathbf{U}$ are such that $u \leq v \wedge w$, then $u_r \leq v_r \wedge w_r$. This proves that $\{u_r : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for a frame quasi-uniformity which we denote by $C\mathbf{U}$.

For $V, W \in CL$, we write $W \triangleleft V$ whenever $S_{u_r}W \subseteq V$ for some $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Let $V \in CL$. We show that $V = \bigvee\{W \in CL : W \triangleleft V\}$. We have previously commented that $V = \bigvee\{r(a) : a \in V\}$. Consequently, $V = \bigvee\{r(x) : x \triangleleft a, a \in V\} \leq \bigvee\{r(x) : r(x) \triangleleft r(a) \leq V, a \in V\}$. Since each $r(x) \in CL$, we have that $V \leq \bigvee\{W \in CL : W \triangleleft V\} \leq V$. Therefore $(CL, C\mathbf{U})$ is a quasi-uniform frame. \square

The following lemma is based upon the proof of [3, Proposition 8].

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, \mathbf{V}) and (L, \mathbf{U}) be quasi-uniform frames. Let $h : (M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$ be a dense quasi-uniform surjection. Then there exists a dense quasi-uniform surjection $g : (CL, C\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow (M, \mathbf{V})$ such that $hg : CL \rightarrow L$ is the join map.*

Proof. Let $h : (M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$ be a dense quasi-uniform surjection. Define $f : DL \rightarrow M$ by $f(A) = \bigvee h_*(A)$. In the proof of Proposition 8 [3], it is established that: (1) f is a frame homomorphism, (2) f determines a frame homomorphism $g : CL \rightarrow M$ such that $f = gl$, (3) $hg : CL \rightarrow L$ is the join map, (4) g is dense and onto, (5) $h_*(x) = f(\downarrow x)$, and (6) $l(\downarrow x) = \downarrow x$.

We now show that g is a quasi-uniform frame homomorphism. Let $u_r \in C\mathbf{U}$, where $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Since h is a quasi-uniform surjection, we may assume that $u = v_h$ for some $v \in \mathbf{V}$. We show that $v^- \leq (u_r)_g$. Suppose that $a \# b \leq v$. Then $h(a) \# h(b) \leq u$ and consequently $rh(a) \# rh(b) \leq u_r$. From this we have that $grh(a) \# grh(b) \leq (u_r)_g$. In the proof of Proposition 8 [3] it is established that $gr = h_*$. Let $x \in M$. Then $x \leq h_*h(x) = grh(x)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} v^- &= \bigvee \{a \# b : a \# b \leq v\} \leq \bigvee \{grh(a) \# grh(b) : a \# b \leq v\} \\ &\leq (u_r)_g. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that $g : (CL, C\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow (M, \mathbf{V})$ is a quasi-uniform surjection. By [10, Proposition 3.1] it suffices to show that $\{v_{g_*} : v \in \mathbf{V}\}$ is a base for $C\mathbf{U}$. Let $v \in \mathbf{V}$. By [10, Proposition 3.1], we may assume that $v = u_{h_*}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{g_*} &= (u_{h_*})_{g_*} = \bigvee \{g_*(a) \# g_*(b) : a \# b \leq u_{h_*}\} \\ &\geq \bigvee \{g_*h_*(x) \# g_*h_*(y) : x \# y \leq u\} \\ &= \bigvee \{g_*f(\downarrow x) \# g_*f(\downarrow y) : x \# y \leq u\} \\ &= \bigvee \{g_*gl(\downarrow x) \# g_*gl(\downarrow y) : x \# y \leq u\} \\ &= \bigvee \{g_*g(\downarrow x) \# g_*g(\downarrow y) : x \# y \leq u\} \\ &\geq \bigvee \{\downarrow x \# \downarrow y : x \# y \leq u\} \\ &= \bigvee \{r(x) \# r(y) : x \# y \leq u\} = u_r \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{g_*} \in C\mathbf{U}$. It remains to show that $\{v_{g_*} : v \in \mathbf{V}\}$ is a

base for $C\mathbf{U}$. Let $A \in CL$, and let $v \in \mathbf{V}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{g_*}(A) &= \bigvee \{g_*(b) : a \sharp b \leq v, A \wedge g_*(a) \neq 0\} \\ &= \bigvee \{g_*(b) : a \sharp b \leq v, a \wedge g(A) \neq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

If $a \wedge g(A) \neq 0$ and $a \sharp b \leq v$, then $b \leq v(g(A))$ and hence $g_*(b) \leq g_*v(g(A))$. Consequently $v_{g_*}(A) \leq g_*v(g(A))$, or $v_{g_*} \leq g_*v$. The proof will be completed by showing that $\{g_*v : v \in \mathbf{V}\}$ is a base for $C\mathbf{U}$. Let $u, w \in C\mathbf{U}$ be such that $w^2 \leq u$. In the proof of Theorem 2.7 [10] it is proved that $g_*w_g \leq u$. Since $w_g \in \mathbf{V}$, this completes the proof. \square

It follows from the definition of a complete quasi-uniform frame that the function g in the statement of Lemma 3.2 is an isomorphism whenever M is complete. It also follows from Lemma 3.2 that if (L, \mathbf{U}) has a completion, then the completion is unique up to isomorphism.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame. Then $(CL, C\mathbf{U})$ is the completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) .*

Proof. Let (M, \mathbf{V}) be a quasi-uniform frame, and let $f : (M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (CL, C\mathbf{U})$ be a dense quasi-uniform surjection. Then $\bigvee \circ f : (M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$ is a dense surjection. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a dense surjection $g : (CL, C\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow (M, \mathbf{V})$ such that $\bigvee \circ f \circ g = \bigvee$. Dense maps are monic for maps between regular frames. Consequently $f \circ g = id_{CL}$. Also $(\bigvee \circ f) \circ g \circ f = \bigvee \circ f$. But $\bigvee \circ f$ is dense and hence monic. Therefore $g \circ f = id_M$. We have that f is an isomorphism and consequently $(CL, C\mathbf{U})$ is complete. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $(CL, C\mathbf{U})$ is a completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) . By the remarks following Lemma 3.2 we have that each quasi-uniform frame has a unique completion. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Each uniform frame has a unique completion.*

Proof Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a uniform frame. Let $(CL, C\mathbf{U})$ be the quasi-uniform completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) . Then $(CL, (C\mathbf{U})^*)$ is a

complete uniform frame [10, Proposition 3.3]. Let $\phi_L : (CL, \mathbf{CU}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U})$ be a dense quasi-uniform surjection. Then, by [10, Proposition 2.3], $\phi_L^* : (CL, (\mathbf{CU})^*) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U})$ is a dense uniform surjection. It follows that $(CL, (\mathbf{CU})^*)$ is a completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) . But the quasi-uniform completion is unique and consequently (CL, \mathbf{CU}) is isomorphic to $(CL, (\mathbf{CU})^*)$. It follows that \mathbf{CU} is a frame uniformity. Furthermore, any uniform completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) is a quasi-uniform completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) . Therefore the uniform completion is unique. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame and let (CL, \mathbf{CU}) be the completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) . Then $(\mathbf{CU})^* = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.*

Proof. $(CL, (\mathbf{CU})^*)$ is a complete uniform frame. If $h : (CL, \mathbf{CU}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U})$ is a dense quasi-uniform surjection, then $h : (CL, (\mathbf{CU})^*) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U}^*)$ is a dense uniform surjection. By definition, (CL, \mathbf{CU}^*) is the completion of (L, \mathbf{U}^*) which implies that $\mathbf{CU}^* = (\mathbf{CU})^*$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a uniform frame. Then the quasi-uniform completion, (CL, \mathbf{CU}) , is the uniform completion of (L, \mathbf{U}) .*

4. The Totally Bounded Coreflection

Recall [5] that a quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) is totally bounded provided that for each $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there is a finite cover of L by u -small elements. The following theorem is taken from [5, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 4.1. *Let L be a frame and let \triangleleft be a quasi-proximity inclusion on L . For $a, b \in L$ define*

$$u_{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ b & \text{if } x \leq a, \quad x \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $\{u_{a,b} : a \triangleleft b\}$ is a subbase for the unique totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft on L that determines \triangleleft . Furthermore \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft is the coarsest frame quasi-uniformity that determines \triangleleft .

Proposition 4.2. *The category of totally bounded quasi-uniform frames forms a coreflective subcategory of the category of quasi-uniform frames.*

Proof. Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame. By [5, Proposition 2.1] there is an associated quasi-proximity inclusion \triangleleft on L defined by $a \triangleleft b$ if and only if $u(a) \leq b$ for some $u \in \mathbf{U}$. We show that $(L, \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft)$ gives the totally bounded coreflection. Clearly the identity map $(L, \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$ is a quasi-uniform frame homomorphism. Let $f : (M, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$ be a quasi-uniform frame homomorphism where \mathbf{V} is totally bounded. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (L, \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft) & \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow i \\ (M, \mathbf{V}) & \xrightarrow{f} & (L, \mathbf{U}) \end{array}$$

where $h(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in M$. Clearly h is a frame homomorphism. So it remains to show that h is a quasi-uniform frame homomorphism. This follows from the fact that given $a, b \in M$ with $v(a) \leq b$ for some $v \in V$, we have that $f(a) \triangleleft f(b)$ and

$$u_{f(a), f(b)} \circ h \leq h \circ u_{a,b}.$$

Obviously h is unique. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.3. *A quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) is compact if and only if it is totally bounded and complete.*

Proof. Suppose that (L, \mathbf{U}) is totally bounded and complete. Then (L, \mathbf{U}^*) is totally bounded, and by [10, Proposition 3.3], (L, \mathbf{U}^*) is complete. Hence $L = CL$, and by [1, Proposition 3], L is compact.

Suppose that (L, \mathbf{U}) is a quasi-uniform frame and that L is compact. Then if $u \in \mathbf{U}$, S_u has a finite subcover and therefore

\mathbf{U} is totally bounded. Since L is compact, it is paracompact, and consequently the fine uniformity is complete [2]. Since L is compact, the fine uniformity is the unique admissible uniformity. Since \mathbf{U}^* is admissible, it is the fine uniformity. Hence (L, \mathbf{U}^*) is complete. By [10, Proposition 3.3], (L, \mathbf{U}) is complete. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a totally bounded quasi-uniform frame. Then (L, \mathbf{U}) is complete if and only if L is compact.*

Theorem 4.5. *The completion of a totally bounded quasi-uniform frame is compact.*

Proof. Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a totally bounded quasi-uniform frame. Then \mathbf{U}^* is totally bounded. By [1, Proposition 3], $(CL, C\mathbf{U}^*)$ is compact. The result now follows from $(CL, (C\mathbf{U})^*) = (CL, C\mathbf{U}^*)$.

Since the completion of a totally bounded quasi-uniform frame is compact [10, Corollary 4.9], it is natural to extend the notion of the Samuel compactification of a uniform frame to quasi-uniform frames. The Samuel compactification of a quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) is defined to be the completion of the totally bounded coreflection of (L, \mathbf{U}) .

References

- [1] Banaschewski, B. and Pultr, A., *Samuel Compactification and Completion of Uniform Frames*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **108** (1) (1990), 63–78.
- [2] Banaschewski, B. and Pultr, A., *Paracompactness Revisited*, Appl. Categ. Structures, **1** (2) (1993), 181–190.
- [3] Banaschewski, B. and Pultr, A., *Cauchy Points of Uniform and Nearness Frames*, Quaestiones Math., **19** (1996), 101–127.
- [4] Fletcher, P. and Hunsaker, W., *Entourage Uniformities for Frames*, Mh. Math., **112** (1991), 271–279.
- [5] Fletcher, P., Hunsaker, W., and Lindgren, W., *Totally Bounded Frame Quasi-Uniformities*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **34**(3) (1993), 529–537.

- [6] Fletcher, P. Hunsaker, W. and Lindgren, W., *Characterizations of Frame Quasi-Uniformities*, Quaestiones Math; **16** (1993), 371–383.
- [7] Fletcher, P., Hunsaker, W., and Lindgren, W., *Frame Quasi-Uniformities*, Mh. Math., **117** (1994), 223–236.
- [8] Fletcher, P. and Lindgren, W., *Quasi-Uniform Spaces*, New York: Marcel Dekker, (1982).
- [9] Frith, J.L., *The Category of Uniform Frames*, Cahiers Topologie et Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques, **31**(4) (1990), 305–313.
- [10] Frith, J. and Hunsaker, W., *Completion of Quasi-Uniform Frames*, *Appl. Categ. Structures* **7** (3) (1999), 261–270.
- [11] Johnstone, P., *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1982).
- [12] Vickers, S., *Topology Via Logic*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1989).

Department of Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7700, Republic of South Africa

E-mail address: `jfrith@maths.uct.ac.za`

Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901–4408

E-mail address: `hunsaker@math.siu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Denver, Denver, CO 80208

E-mail address: `joanne@waylands.com`