

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



## ON COUNTABLY COMPACT SPACES SATISFYING $wD$ HEREDITARILY

TODD EISWORTH

**ABSTRACT.** We show that in the class of countably compact regular spaces, the assumption that our space satisfies Property  $wD$  hereditarily has an influence on the relationship between tightness and hereditary  $\pi$ -character. We close with some comments on countably compact topological groups — among other things, we show that PFA implies that all countably compact  $T_5$  groups are metrizable.

**Definition 1.** A space  $X$  said to satisfy Property  $wD$  (or more succinctly, satisfy  $wD$ ) if for every infinite closed discrete subspace  $C$  of  $X$ , there is a discrete collection  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $U_n \cap U_m = \emptyset$  if  $m \neq n$  and each  $U_n$  meets  $C$  in exactly one point.  $X$  satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily if each subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  satisfies Property  $wD$ .

The terminology “Property  $wD$ ” was introduced by Vaughan in [11]. It is proved there that normal spaces satisfy  $wD$ , and also that first countable countably compact spaces satisfy  $wD$  hereditarily.

---

*Key words and phrases.* countably compact, cardinal invariants, countable tightness, Property  $wD$ ,  $T_5$ .

The author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at Ohio University for their support.

The following definition was introduced by Arhangel'skii and Ponomarev in [1]; more information on tightness and on cardinal functions in general can be found in [7].

**Definition 2.** A space  $X$  is said to be countably tight (written  $t(X) = \aleph_0$ ) if for each  $A \subseteq X$  and each point  $x \in \overline{A}$ , there is a countable subset  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $x$  is in the closure of  $B$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be sequentially closed if  $A$  contains all limit points of convergent sequences from  $A$ . A space  $X$  is said to be sequential if sequentially closed subsets of  $X$  are closed.

In the class of compact Hausdorff spaces, the relationship between having countable tightness and being sequential takes us beyond the realm of ZFC. It is not hard to see that a sequential space is countably tight. Assuming the axiom  $\diamond$ , there is a construction due to Fedorcuk [5] of a compact space of countable tightness that is not sequential. It is a celebrated result of Balogh [2] (who built on work of Fremlin and Nyikos, see [3]) that ZFC is consistent with the assumption that all countably tight compact spaces are sequential. It is an open problem as to whether CH is enough to build a countably tight compact space that is not sequential. The results obtained in this paper (particularly Theorem 1) have some relevance to this problem in the special case where the compact space satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily; this will appear in a forthcoming paper.

In the course of our investigations, we will need another cardinal invariant.

**Definition 3.** If  $X$  is a topological space and  $x \in X$ , then a collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of open sets is said to be a  $\pi$ -base for  $x$  in  $X$  if whenever  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$ , there is a  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $V \subseteq U$ . This differs from the definition of a base in that we do not require that  $x$  is an element of  $V$ . The  $\pi$ -character of  $x$  in  $X$  (denoted  $\pi\chi(x, X)$ ) is the least cardinality of a  $\pi$ -base for  $x$ . The  $\pi$ -character of  $X$  is defined by

$$(0.1) \quad \pi\chi(X) = \sup\{\pi\chi(x, X) : x \in X\} + \omega.$$

We say  $X$  is hereditarily of countable  $\pi$ -character ( $h\pi\chi(X) = \aleph_0$ ) if every subspace of  $X$  has countable  $\pi$ -character.

It is not hard to show that  $t(X) \leq h\pi\chi(X)$ . A remarkable result due to Shapirovskii (see [10] or [7]) is that for compact spaces,  $t(X) = h\pi\chi(X)$ . This result will be used in the sequel.

We begin with an easy observation. (This next lemma is an easy corollary to 2.5 of Vaughan's [11].)

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be a countably compact  $T_3$  space. Then  $X$  satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily if and only if whenever  $D \subseteq X$  is a countably infinite discrete set, there is a family of open sets  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that each  $U_n$  meets  $D$  and the sequence  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $\overline{D} \setminus D$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $X$  satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily, and let  $D \subseteq X$  be a countably infinite discrete set. Note that  $K = \overline{D} \setminus D$  is a non-empty closed subset of  $X$ , and  $D$  is a closed discrete subset of  $X \setminus K$ . If we apply  $wD$  to  $D$  in the space  $X \setminus K$ , we get an infinite subset of  $D$  that expands to a discrete (in  $X \setminus K$ ) family of open sets  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Each  $U_n$  is open in  $X$ , and clearly each  $U_n$  meets  $D$ . Since  $X$  is countably compact and the sequence  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  is discrete in  $X \setminus K$ , it must be the case that every neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$  contains all but finitely many of the  $U_n$ 's.

Conversely, suppose that  $Y$  is a subset of  $X$ , and  $D \subseteq Y$  is closed and discrete in  $Y$ . Since  $D$  is discrete in  $X$ , there is a family of open sets  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  that converges to  $K = \overline{D} \setminus D$  and such that each  $U_n$  meets  $D$ . Since  $D$  is discrete, without loss of generality  $U_n \subseteq X \setminus K$ ,  $U_n$ 's are disjoint, and  $|U_n \cap D| = 1$ .

We claim that the  $U_n$ 's are discrete in  $X \setminus K$ . To see this, suppose  $x \notin K$ , and let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $\overline{V} \cap K = \emptyset$ . Now  $V$  can meet only finitely many of the  $U_n$ 's as they converge to  $K$ , and so we can find a neighborhood of  $x$  that meets at most one of the  $U_n$ 's.

Now if we let  $x_n = D \cap U_n$ , then we have the required subset of  $D$  that expands to a discrete family of open subsets of  $Y$ , as witnessed by the open sets  $U_n \cap Y$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is folklore.

**Corollary 5.** *Suppose  $X$  is a countably compact space satisfying  $wD$  hereditarily. If  $S = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a non-trivial sequence converging to a point  $x$ , then  $S$  has an infinite subsequence that expands to a family  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  that converges to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* By the lemma, there is a family of open sets  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  every member of which meets  $S$  such that  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $\bar{S} \setminus S = \{x\}$ .  $\square$

It is an open problem as to whether “ $X$  is sequential” and “countably compact subsets of  $X$  are closed” are equivalent in compact spaces. The equivalence is known to hold if  $2^t > \mathfrak{c}$ , so in particular it holds if either  $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$  or MA holds. The following corollary tells us that in the class of compact Hausdorff spaces that satisfy  $wD$  hereditarily, the equivalence holds in ZFC. (Note that obviously a sequential space has the property that countably compact subspaces are closed.)

**Corollary 6.** *Assume  $X$  is a countably compact space that satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily. If  $X$  is not sequential, then there is a closed  $A \subseteq X$  and a point  $x$  such that  $x \in \overline{A \setminus \{x\}}$  and  $A \setminus \{x\}$  is countably compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $B \subseteq X$  be sequentially closed but not closed, and let  $A = \overline{B}$ . Choose any point  $x \in A \setminus B$ , and we claim that  $A \setminus \{x\}$  is countably compact.

If this is not the case, then we can choose an infinite closed discrete  $D \subseteq A \setminus \{x\}$ . Since  $A$  is countably compact, this means that  $D$  has to converge to  $x$ , and hence by Corollary 5  $D$  has a subsequence that expands to a sequence of open sets  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  that converges to  $x$ . Each of these open sets must meet  $B$ , and thus we get a sequence of points in  $B$

that converges to  $x$ , contradicting the assumption that  $B$  is sequentially closed.  $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this note. Again, we use Lemma 4 in a crucial fashion.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a countably compact  $T_3$  space that satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be countable, and suppose  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ . If  $x$  has countable  $\pi$ -character in the space  $\overline{A} \setminus A$ , then  $x$  has countable  $\pi$ -character in  $X$ , and furthermore there is a countable  $\pi$ -base for  $x$  in  $X$ , every member of which meets  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is  $T_3$  and  $\pi\chi(x, \overline{A} \setminus A) = \aleph_0$ , there is a countable family  $\{V_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open sets that each meet  $\overline{A} \setminus A$  and such that whenever  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ , there is an  $n$  with  $\overline{V}_n \cap (\overline{A} \setminus A) \subseteq V$ .

For each  $n$ , let  $A_n = \overline{V}_n \cap A$ . Each  $A_n$  is countable, and since  $V_n \cap (\overline{A}_n \setminus A_n)$  is non-empty, we know each  $A_n$  is not closed. Thus we can find an infinite  $D_n \subseteq A_n$  that is closed and discrete as a subset of  $A_n$ . This means that  $D_n$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ , and  $\overline{D}_n \cap A = D_n$ .

Let  $K_n = \overline{D}_n \setminus D_n$ . Since  $\overline{D}_n \cap A = D_n$ , we know  $K_n \subseteq \overline{V}_n \cap (\overline{A} \setminus A)$ . Now apply the preceding lemma to get a countable family  $\mathcal{S}_n$  of open sets, each of which meet  $D_n$ , that converges to  $K_n$ .

Now we define  $\mathcal{B}_{x,A} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{S}_n$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{B}_{x,A}$  is a countable family of open sets, each of which meets  $A$ ; so we need only verify that  $\mathcal{B}_{x,A}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for  $x$ .

Let  $V$  be any neighborhood of  $x$ . There is some  $n$  with  $\overline{V}_n \subseteq V$ , hence  $K_n \subseteq V$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_n$  converges to  $K_n$ , this means that  $V$  contains all but finitely many members of  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Since  $V$  was an arbitrary neighborhood of  $x$ , we have that  $\mathcal{B}_{x,A}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for  $x$  in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $X$  be a countably compact  $T_3$  space satisfying  $wD$  hereditarily such that  $t(X) = h\pi\chi(X) = \aleph_0$ . If  $A \subseteq X$*

and  $x \in \overline{A \setminus \{x\}}$ , then  $x$  has a countable  $\pi$ -base, every member of which meets  $A$ .

*Proof.* Since  $t(X) = \aleph_0$ , there is a countable  $A_0 \subseteq A \setminus \{x\}$  with  $x \in \overline{A_0}$ . By assumption,  $x$  has countable  $\pi$ -character in  $\overline{A_0} \setminus A_0$ , and now we can apply the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $X$  be a countably tight compact space satisfying  $wD$  hereditarily. If  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in \overline{A \setminus \{x\}}$ , then  $x$  has a countable  $\pi$ -base in  $X$ , every member of which meets  $A$ .*

*Proof.* By Shapirovskii's theorem, compact spaces of countable tightness are hereditarily of countable  $\pi$ -character. Now the previous corollary gives us the required  $\pi$ -base.  $\square$

The preceding corollary does not hold for countably tight compact spaces in general. A counterexample that is provided by the Thomas plank. We are indebted to Peter Nyikos for this example:

Let  $W$  be an uncountable discrete space and let  $W + \infty$  denote its one-point compactification. Let  $X = (W + \infty) \times (\omega + 1)$ ;  $X$  is Fréchet hence countably tight. Let  $x_n$  be the point  $\langle \infty, n \rangle$ ; the points  $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$  converge to the point  $x = \langle \infty, \omega \rangle$ . Now no infinite subset of the convergent sequence expands to a  $\pi$ -base for  $x$  in  $X$  — if  $U_n$  is a neighborhood of  $x_n$ , then  $U_n$  contains all but finitely many points of the  $n$ th row. Given an infinite  $I \subseteq \omega$  and open sets  $\{U_n : n \in I\}$  such that  $U_n$  is a neighborhood of  $x_n$ , we can find a point  $z \in W$  such that  $\langle z, n \rangle \in U_n$  for all  $n \in I$ . Now the open set  $Y = X \setminus [\{z\} \times (\omega + 1)]$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  that does not contain any of the  $U_n$ 's.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be an  $\omega$ -bounded  $T_3$  space that satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily. Then  $t(X) = \aleph_0$  if and only if  $h\pi\chi(X) = \aleph_0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $t(X) \leq h\pi\chi(X)$ , we need only prove one direction. Assume  $t(X) = \aleph_0$  and let  $x \in Y \subseteq X$ ; we must prove that  $x$  has countable  $\pi$ -character in  $Y$ .

If  $x$  is isolated in  $Y$  there is nothing to prove, so we can assume that  $x$  is a limit point of  $Y$ . Since  $X$  is countably tight,

there is a countable  $A \subseteq Y \setminus \{x\}$  such that  $x \in \text{cl}_X A$ . Since  $X$  is  $\omega$ -bounded, we know that  $K = \text{cl}_X A$  is compact. Since  $K$  is also countably tight, we can apply Shapirovskii's theorem to conclude that  $h\pi\chi(K) = \aleph_0$  and hence  $\pi\chi(x, K \setminus A) = \aleph_0$ . Now Theorem 1 tells us that there is a countable  $\pi$ -base  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  for  $x$  in  $X$ , every member of which hits  $A$ . The family  $\{U_n \cap Y : n \in \omega\}$  is then a  $\pi$ -base for  $x$  in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *Assume PFA holds and  $X$  is a countably compact  $T_5$  space. Then  $t(X) = \aleph_0$  if and only if  $h\pi\chi(X) = \aleph_0$ .*

*Proof.* By a result of Nyikos [9], if PFA holds then every separable, countably compact  $T_5$  space is compact. This implies that  $X$  is  $\omega$ -bounded. The fact that  $X$  satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily follows from the hereditary normality of  $X$ . Now we can apply the previous theorem.  $\square$

We remark that Hajnal and Juhasz [6] have constructed from CH a countably compact, countably tight non-compact  $T_5$  space in which no point is of countable  $\pi$ -character, and so the conclusion of the previous corollary is independent of ZFC. The space constructed by Hajnal and Juhasz also happens to be a topological group, so we take a moment to point out some consequences of the results of this paper in the area of topological groups. The only facts about topological groups that we use are that a topological group is metrizable if and only if it is first countable, that  $T_0$  topological groups are completely regular Hausdorff spaces, and that for topological groups character and  $\pi$ -character are the same. Proofs of both of these can be found in Comfort's survey paper [4].

**Theorem 3.** *An infinite countably compact  $T_0$  topological group is metrizable if and only if it satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily and it contains an infinite compact subset of countable tightness.*

*Proof.* An infinite first countable, countably compact space satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily and contains convergent sequences, so necessity is established. For the other direction, let  $G$  be a

countably compact topological group that satisfies  $wD$  hereditarily and that contains an infinite compact subset  $K$  such that  $t(K) = \aleph_0$ . Since  $G$  is homogeneous, it suffices to produce a point  $x \in G$  such that  $\pi\chi(x, G) = \aleph_0$ .

Since  $K$  is infinite and countably tight, we can find a point  $x \in K$  and a countable set  $A \subseteq K \setminus \{x\}$  such that  $x \in \overline{A}$ . By Shapirovskii's theorem, we know that  $h\pi\chi(K) = \aleph_0$  and hence  $\pi\chi(x, \overline{A} \setminus A) = \aleph_0$ . Now we can forget about  $K$  and apply Theorem 1 to conclude that  $x$  has countable  $\pi$ -character in  $G$ . Note that we need to assume that  $G$  is  $T_0$ , as this guarantees that  $G$  is  $T_3$  and hence Theorem 1 is applicable.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Assume PFA holds. Then all countably compact  $T_5$  groups are metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be such a topological group. If  $G$  is finite, then it has the discrete topology and hence is trivially metrizable, so we may assume  $G$  is infinite. Under PFA, the closure of a countable subset of a countably compact  $T_5$  space is compact and Fréchet, hence countably tight—this is Theorem 1.4 of Nyikos' paper [9]. Thus  $G$  contains an infinite compact subset of countable tightness. Since hereditarily normal spaces satisfy  $wD$  hereditarily, we can apply Theorem 3 to conclude that  $G$  is metrizable.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. A.V. Arhangel'skii and V.I. Ponomarev, *On dyadic bicomacta*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **9** (1968), 1220–1224.
2. Z. Balogh, *On compact Hausdorff spaces of countable tightness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), 755–764.
3. Z. Balogh, A. Dow, D.H. Fremlin, and P.J. Nyikos, *Countable tightness and proper forcing*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S) **19** (1988), 295–298.
4. W. W. Comfort, *Topological groups*, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1984.
5. V. V. Fedorcuk, *Fully closed mappings and the consistency of some theorems of general topology with the axioms of set theory*, Math. USSR **28** (1976), 1–26.

6. A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *On hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelöf and  $\alpha$ -separable spaces, II*, Fund. Math **81** (1974), 147–158.
7. R. Hodel, *Cardinal functions I*, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1984.
8. Peter Nyikos, *On first countable, countably compact spaces III: The problem of obtaining separable noncompact examples*, Open Problems in Topology (J. van Mill and G.M. Reed, eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1990, pp. 127–161.
9. Peter J. Nyikos, *Hereditary normality versus countable tightness in countably compact spaces*, Top. App. **44** (1992), 271–292.
10. B. Shapirovskii, *On  $\pi$ -character and  $\pi$ -weight of compact Hausdorff spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **16** (1975), 999–1003.
11. J.E. Vaughan, *Discrete sequences of points*, Top. Proc. **3** (1978), 237–265.

OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701

*E-mail address:* [eisworth@math.ohiou.edu](mailto:eisworth@math.ohiou.edu)