

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

REALCOMPACTNESS AND MONOLITHITY ARE  
FINITELY ADDITIVE IN  $C_p(X)$

Fidel Casarrubias-Segura

**Abstract**

In this paper we prove the finite additivity for realcompactness as well as for paracompactness, Dieudonné-completeness and monolithity in the class of the spaces  $C_p(X)$ . It was proved in [Tka83] that  $X$  is discrete in case that  $C_p(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^\tau$  for some cardinal  $\tau$ . We generalize this result showing that  $X$  is discrete also when  $C_p(X)$  is a finite union of subspaces homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\tau_i}$  for some cardinals  $\tau_i$ . We also establish that if  $C_p(X)$  is a countable union of Eberlein-Grothendieck subspaces then  $C_p(X)$  is itself an Eberlein-Grothendieck space.

**0. Introduction**

The well-known Mrówka-Isbell space  $\Psi$  [GJ] is an example of a non-realcompact space which is a union of two discrete and hence realcompact subspaces. Many known topological cardinal invariants and properties have the same behaviour as the realcompactness: they are non-additive.

V. V. Tkachuk proved in [Tka94] that several non-additive topological properties  $\mathcal{P}$  are *countably additive in the class of space  $C_p(X)$*  (that is, if

$$C_p(X) = \bigcup \{Y_n : n < \omega\},$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 54C35, Secondary 54D60; 54H05

*Key words:* Function spaces; realcompactness; monolithity; Eberlein-Grothendieck spaces; discreteness; finite additivity

and each  $Y_n$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $C_p(X)$  itself has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ ). For instance, countable additivity was established for any  $\mathcal{P} \in \{\text{metrizability, Čech-completeness, Fréchet-Uryshon property, } i\text{-weight} \leq \tau, \text{tightness} \leq \tau\}$ . However, in case of realcompactness, the countable additivity was only proved for closed subspaces (see [Tka94], Corollary 1.5). In view this result it is natural to ask: *If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_n : n \in \omega\}$ , where all the  $C_n$  are realcompact, must  $C_p(X)$  be realcompact?* In this paper we study this question. We prove that for the case of finite decomposition the answer is “yes”.

In the paper [Tka83] the discreteness of  $X$  was proved under the assumption that there was a homeomorphism of  $C_p(X)$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^\tau$ . As an application of our result on finite additivity for realcompactness, we show that the same turns out to be true in the case when  $C_p(X)$  is a finite union of subspaces homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\tau_i}$  for some cardinals  $\tau_i$  and that the Dieudonné completeness is also a finitely additive property in  $C_p(X)$ .

We also establish that if  $C_p(X)$  is a countable union of Eberlein-Grothendieck subspaces then  $C_p(X)$  is itself an Eberlein-Grothendieck space.

## 1. Notation and Terminology

We denote respectively by  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{I}$ , the reals, the naturals and the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Throughout this paper “a space” means “a Tychonoff space”. If  $X$  is a space then  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  is its topology and  $\mathcal{T}^*(X) = \mathcal{T}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are spaces, the symbol  $X \simeq Y$  will mean that they are homeomorphic.

For space  $X$  and  $A \subset X$ , we denote by  $\overline{A}$  the closure of  $A$  in  $X$ . If it might not be clear in which space the closure is taken, then we write  $\text{cl}_X(A)$  for the closure of  $A$  in  $X$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, and  $A \subset X$ , then  $f|_A$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $A$ .

A cardinal number  $\tau$  is identified with the smallest ordinal number having power  $\tau$ . We use  $nw(X)$ ,  $s(X)$ ,  $hL(X)$  and  $hd(X)$  to denote the network weight, spread, hereditary Lindelöf number and hereditary density of  $X$ .

The symbol  $C(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all continuous mappings of a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ . We consider the topology of pointwise convergence and uniform convergence on  $C(X, Y)$ . The topological spaces obtained thereby will be denoted by  $C_p(X, Y)$  and  $C_u(X, Y)$ , respectively. The space  $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$  (respectively,  $C_u(X, \mathbb{R})$ ) of all real-valued continuous function on  $X$  will be denoted simply by  $C_p(X)$  (respectively,  $C_u(X)$ ). For  $A \subset X$ , let  $\pi_A : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(A)$  denote the restriction map defined by  $\pi_A(f) = f \upharpoonright_A$  for all  $f \in C_p(X)$ . If  $Z \subset C_p(X)$  then  $W \subset Z$  is a standard open subset of  $Z$  iff  $W = [x_1, \dots, x_n; U_1, \dots, U_n] = \{g \in Z : g(x_i) \in U_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$  for some natural  $n \geq 1$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ,  $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{T}^*(\mathbb{R})$  and each  $U_i$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We are going to make use of some notions and results of  $C_p$ -theory. Let us introduce and formulate them shortly. The reader can find the proofs and detailed discussions in [Arh92]. All other notions are standard and can be found in [Eng].

## 2. Some Properties Finitely Additive in $C_p(X)$

Let us start with the following technical lemma, which will be useful for our further analysis of finite additivity of realcompactness in  $C_p(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $1 \leq n < \omega$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a topological property which is hereditary with respect to any  $F_\sigma$  subspace. If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$ , where all the subspaces  $C_i$  have the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , then there are subspaces  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}$  ( $m \leq n$ ) such that:*

- (1)  $B_i$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\overline{B_i} = C_p(X)$  for every  $i < m$ ;
- (2)  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{B_i : i < m\}$ .

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  our statement is trivially true. Suppose that  $2 \leq n < \omega$  and that the result is true for every decomposition of at most  $n - 1$  subspaces.

Suppose that  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$ , where all the subspaces  $C_i$  have the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Evidently, if  $\overline{C_i} = C_p(X)$  for every  $i < n$  then there is nothing to prove. So, suppose that there is an  $i < n$  such that  $\overline{C_i} \neq C_p(X)$ . Then there is a standard open set  $W$  in  $C_p(X)$  such that  $W \subset C_p(X) \setminus C_i \subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j$ . Define  $D_j = W \cap C_j$  ( $j \neq i$ ). Observe that, being an  $F_\sigma$  subset of  $C_j$ , each  $D_j$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Now, since  $C_p(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $W$ , we can choose a homeomorphism  $h$  from  $W$  onto  $C_p(X)$ . Then, we have that  $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{j \neq i} h(D_j)$ , where each subset  $h(D_j)$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Now we can apply the induction hypothesis to find subspaces  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}$  ( $m \leq n-1$ ) such that properties (1) and (2) hold.  $\square$

Note that the Mrówka-Isbell space  $\Psi$  is a union of two paracompact subspaces but  $\Psi$  is non-paracompact. Therefore, the paracompactness is a non-additive topological property in the class of Tychonoff spaces. Using Lemma 2.1 we can prove that the paracompactness is a finitely additive property in the class of spaces  $C_p(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $1 \leq n < \omega$ . If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$  where  $C_i$  is paracompact for every  $i < n$ , then  $C_p(X)$  is paracompact.*

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.1, we can assume that  $\overline{C_i} = C_p(X)$  for every  $i < n$ . Then each subspace  $C_i$  has the Souslin property (because  $C_p(X)$  has this property). Recall that every paracompact space with the Souslin property is Lindelöf and that the Lindelöf property is countably additive, so  $C_p(X)$  is Lindelöf. Hence,  $C_p(X)$  is paracompact.  $\square$

For the sake of completeness, we present here the following well-known notions and results.

Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal, and let  $Y$  be a subspace of a space  $X$ .  $Y$  is said to be  $\tau$ -placed in  $X$  if for each  $x \in X \setminus Y$  there is a set  $P$  of type  $G_\tau$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in P \subset X \setminus Y$ . The Hewitt-Nachbin number of  $X$  is

$$q(X) = \min\{\tau \geq \omega : X \text{ is } \tau\text{-placed in } \beta X\}.$$

It is well-known that  $q(X) \leq \omega$  if and only if  $X$  is realcompact. On the other hand, a space  $X$  is called  $m_\tau$ -space if for each open set  $U$  and for each  $x \in \overline{U}$ , there is a set  $P$  of type  $G_\tau$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in P \subset \overline{U}$ . The minimal cardinal  $\lambda \geq \omega$  such that  $X$  is an  $m_\lambda$ -space is denote  $m(X)$ . It is well-known that  $m(C_p(X)) \leq \omega$  for any  $X$ . The following result belongs to A. Ch. Chigogidze (see [Arh92], Chapter 2).

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $Y \subset X = \overline{Y}$ ,  $q(Y) \leq \tau$  and  $m(X) \leq \tau$  then  $Y$  is  $\tau$ -placed in  $X$ .*

We recall that a real-valued function  $f$  on  $X$  is said to be *strictly  $\tau$ -continuous* if for any set  $A \subset X$  of cardinality at most  $\tau$  the restriction to  $A$  of  $f$  coincides with the restriction to  $A$  of some real-valued function continuous on the whole space  $X$ . The *minitightness* of a space  $X$  does not exceed  $\tau$  ( $t_m(X) \leq \tau$ ) if each strictly  $\tau$ -continuous function on  $X$  is continuous. A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ [Arh83] had shown that  $q(C_p(X)) = t_m(X)$  for any  $X$ .

The following lemma plays a very important role in the proof that the realcompactness is a finitely additive property in the class of spaces  $C_p(X)$ . Recall that  $[X]^{\leq \tau}$  is the family of all subsets of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \tau$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\tau \geq \omega$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for any space  $X$ :*

- (1)  $q(C_p(X)) \leq \tau$ ;
- (2) *There are  $\phi \in C_p(X)$  and  $Y \in [X]^{\leq \tau}$  such that*

$$q(\{f \in C_p(X) : f|_Y \equiv \phi|_Y\}) \leq \tau.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Choose arbitrarily a  $\phi \in C_p(X)$  and  $Y \in [X]^{\leq \tau}$ . Consider the restriction map  $\pi_Y : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ . Then  $\{f \in C_p(X) : f|_Y \equiv \phi|_Y\} = \pi_Y^{-1}(\phi|_Y)$  is a closed subset in  $C_p(X)$ . Since the Hewitt-Nachbin number is not increased on a closed subset, we have  $q(\{f \in C_p(X) : f|_Y \equiv \phi|_Y\}) \leq \tau$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1). Let us first note that due to the homogeneity of  $C_p(X)$ , we can assume that  $q(\{f \in C_p(X) : f|_Y \equiv 0\}) \leq \tau$ . Note also that

$$G = \pi_Y^{-1}(0) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f|_Y \equiv 0\} = \{f \in C_p(X) : f|_{\overline{Y}} \equiv 0\}.$$

Consider the restriction map  $\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}} : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(X \setminus \overline{Y})$ . It is a routine verification that  $\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}|_G : G \rightarrow \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)$  is a homeomorphism. Therefore, we have  $q(\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)) \leq \tau$ . We claim that  $\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)$  is a dense subset in  $\mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}}$ . Indeed, let  $W = [x_1, \dots, x_k; W_1, \dots, W_k]$  be a standard open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}}$ . Pick  $h \in W$ . Since  $X$  is Tychonoff, there is  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $g|_{\overline{Y}} \equiv 0$  and  $g(x_j) = h(x_j)$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $g \in G$  and  $\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(g) \in W$ . Our claim is thus proved. Now applying 2.3, we can conclude that  $\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)$  is  $\tau$ -placed in  $\mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}}$ .

To finish the proof of the lemma, suppose that  $t_m(X) = q(C_p(X)) > \tau$ . Then there is strictly  $\tau$ -continuous function  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^X \setminus C_p(X)$ . Since  $Y \in [X]^{\leq \tau}$ , there exists  $f \in C_p(X)$  such that  $f|_Y = \psi|_Y$ . Let  $\eta = \psi - f$ . The function  $\eta$  is discontinuous and  $\eta|_Y \equiv 0$ . Observe that  $\eta$  is strictly  $\tau$ -continuous. We claim that

$$\eta|_{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \setminus \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G).$$

Indeed, if it was not so, then a function  $h$  can be chosen in  $G$  such that  $\eta|_{X \setminus \overline{Y}} = h|_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}$ . Therefore  $\eta = h \in C_p(X)$  (note that  $\eta|_{\overline{Y}} \equiv 0$ , because  $\eta$  is strictly  $\tau$ -continuous), which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\eta|_{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \setminus \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)$ .

Now, since  $\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)$  is  $\tau$ -placed in  $\mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}}$ , there is  $Z \in [X \setminus \overline{Y}]^{\leq \tau}$  such that

$$\pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(\eta)|_Z \in \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}} : f|_Z \equiv \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(\eta)|_Z\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \setminus \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G).$$

But  $\eta$  is strictly  $\tau$ -continuous and  $Z \cup Y \in [X]^{\leq \tau}$ , so that there exists an  $h \in C_p(X)$  such that  $h|_{Z \cup Y} = \eta|_{Z \cup Y}$ . Since  $\eta|_Y \equiv 0$ , we have  $h|_Y \equiv 0$  and  $h|_Z = \eta|_Z$ . Now  $h|_{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \in \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G)$  and

$$h|_{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \in \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}} : f|_Z \equiv \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(\eta)|_Z\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{X \setminus \overline{Y}} \setminus \pi_{X \setminus \overline{Y}}(G),$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove one of our main results.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $1 \leq n < \omega \leq \tau$ . If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$  and  $q(C_i) \leq \tau$  for every  $i < n$ , then  $q(C_p(X)) \leq \tau$ . In particular, the realcompactness is finitely additive in spaces of the type  $C_p(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  our statement is trivially true. Suppose  $2 \leq n < \omega$  and that we are done for  $n - 1$ .

Let  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$ , with  $q(C_i) \leq \tau$  for every  $i < n$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we can assume that  $\overline{C_i} = C_p(X)$  for every  $i$ . Since  $m(C_p(X)) \leq \tau$  and  $q(C_i) \leq \tau$  for every  $i < n$ , we have that each  $C_i$  is  $\tau$ -placed in  $C_p(X)$  (see 2.3).

Now, if  $C_p(X) \setminus \bigcup_{i < n-1} C_i = \emptyset$  then  $q(C_p(X)) \leq \tau$  (by the induction hypothesis). Otherwise, choose arbitrarily a  $\phi \in C_p(X) \setminus \bigcup_{i < n-1} C_i$ . Due to the fact that each  $C_i$  is  $\tau$ -placed in  $C_p(X)$ , there is a subset  $G_i$  of type  $G_\tau$  of  $C_p(X)$  such that  $\phi \in G_i \subset C_p(X) \setminus C_i$ , for every  $i < n - 1$ . A standard argument shows that there exists  $Y_i \in [X]^{\leq \tau}$  such that  $\phi \in \{f \in C_p(X) : f \upharpoonright_{Y_i} \equiv \phi \upharpoonright_{Y_i}\} \subset G_i$ , for each  $i < n - 1$ .

Let  $Y = \bigcup_{i < n-1} Y_i$ . Then  $Y \in [X]^{\leq \tau}$  and

$$\phi \in \{h \in C_p(X) : h \upharpoonright_Y \equiv \phi \upharpoonright_Y\} \subset \bigcap_{i < n-1} G_i \subset C_p(X) \setminus \bigcup_{i < n-1} C_i \subset C_{n-1}.$$

Since  $\{h \in C_p(X) : h \upharpoonright_Y \equiv \phi \upharpoonright_Y\} \subset C_{n-1}$  is closed, we have

$$q(\{h \in C_p(X) : h \upharpoonright_Y \equiv \phi \upharpoonright_Y\}) \leq \tau.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we conclude that  $q(C_p(X)) \leq \tau$ . □

The last theorem has some interesting consequences. Indeed, suppose that  $1 \leq n < \omega$  and  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$  where each  $C_i$  is Dieudonné complete. Using Lemma 2.1, we can assume that  $\overline{C_i} = C_p(X)$  for every  $i < n$ . Then each  $C_i$  has the Souslin property. Since every Dieudonné complete space with



countable Souslin number is realcompact [Eng], each  $C_i$  is realcompact. By the previous theorem,  $C_p(X)$  is realcompact, hence it is Dieudonné complete. Thus, we have

**Theorem 2.6.** *The Dieudonné completeness is a finitely additive property in the spaces  $C_p(X)$ .*

It is shown in [Tka83] that a space  $X$  is discrete in case that  $C_p(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^\tau$  for some cardinal  $\tau$ . Using our result on realcompactness, we can generalize this theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $1 \leq n < \omega$ . Suppose that  $\tau_i$  is a cardinal number for each  $i < n$ . If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$  and  $C_i$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\tau_i}$  for every  $i < n$ , then  $X$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* The space  $C_p(X)$  is realcompact, because each subspace  $C_i$  is realcompact. But also each  $C_i$  is pseudocomplete. Then,  $C_p(X)$  is pseudocomplete (it is easy to see that the pseudocompleteness is a finitely additive topological property). Finally, any  $C_p(X)$  that is realcompact and pseudocomplete coincide with  $\mathbb{R}^X$  (see [Tka85] and [Tka86]) so our theorem is proved.  $\square$

Recall that a space  $X$  is  $\tau$ -monolithic ( $\tau \geq \omega$ ) [Arh92] if  $nw(\overline{A}) \leq \tau$  for all  $A \subset X$  such that  $|A| \leq \tau$ . A space  $X$  is *monolithic* if  $X$  is  $\tau$ -monolithic for every  $\tau \geq \omega$ . It is well-known that there are spaces  $X$  which are non-monolithic being a finite union of monolithic subspaces (for instance, the Niemytzki plane works to prove this). We finish this section establishing that such examples of  $C_p(X)$  do not exist. To prove this fact we need the following lemma (compare with 2.1).

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $1 \leq n < \omega$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a hereditary topological property. If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$ , where all subspaces  $C_i$  have the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , then there are subspaces  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}$  ( $m \leq n$ ) such that:*

- (1)  $B_i$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $cl_{C_u(X)}(B_i) = C_u(X)$  for every  $i < m$ ;
- (2)  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{B_i : i < m\}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the statement is trivially true. Suppose it is already proved for every  $k < n$ . In case that each subspace  $C_i$  is dense in  $C_u(X)$ , evidently there is nothing to prove. So, suppose that there is an  $i < n$  such that  $\overline{C_i} \neq C_u(X)$ . Then there are  $g \in C(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\epsilon(g) = \{h \in C(X) : |h(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in X\} \subset C_u(X) \setminus C_i \subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j$ . Due to the homogeneity of  $C_u(X)$ , we may assume that  $g \equiv 0$ .

Define  $D_j = B_\epsilon(g) \cap C_j$ , for every  $j \neq i$ . Since  $C_p(X) \simeq C_p(X, (-\epsilon, \epsilon)) = B_\epsilon(g)$ , we have  $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{j \neq i} D_j$  where each  $D_j$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . By induction, there are subspaces  $B_0, \dots, B_{m-1}$  (for some  $m \leq n - 1$ ) such that the properties (1) and (2) hold, and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Following Tkachuk [Tka91], given  $\tau \geq \omega$  and a cardinal function  $\eta$ , we call a space  $X$   $\eta_\tau$ -monolithic if for all  $A \subset X$  such that  $|A| \leq \tau$  we have  $\eta(\overline{A}) \leq \tau$  (note that the  $nw_\tau$ -monolithity is equivalent to  $\tau$ -monolithity).  $X$  is called  $\eta$ -monolithic if it is  $\eta_\tau$ -monolithic for every cardinal  $\tau \geq \omega$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\tau \geq \omega$ . Suppose that  $\eta$  is a finitely additive hereditary cardinal function. If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{D_i : i < n\}$  where all subspaces  $D_i$  are  $\eta_\tau$ -monolithic, then  $C_p(X)$  is  $\eta_\tau$ -monolithic.*

*Proof.* Observe firstly that  $\eta_\tau$ -monolithity is an hereditary property if  $\eta$  is hereditary.

Applying Lemma 2.8, we can assume that every subspace  $D_i$  is a dense subset of  $C_u(X)$ .

Take any  $A \subset C_p(X)$  with  $|A| \leq \tau$ . Define  $A_j = A \cap D_j$  for every  $j < n$ . Then  $\overline{A} = \bigcup_{j < n} \overline{A_j}$ . Since  $\eta$  is finitely additive, to prove that  $\eta(\overline{A}) \leq \tau$  it is sufficient to prove that  $\eta(\overline{A_j}) \leq \tau$  for every  $j < n$ . Fix a  $k < n$ . Now, observe that  $A_k \subset D_k$  and  $\overline{A_k} = \bigcup_{j < n} (\overline{A_k} \cap D_j)$ . Again, due to the fact that  $\eta$  is finitely additive it is sufficient to prove that  $\eta(\overline{A_k} \cap D_j) \leq \tau$  for each  $j < n$ . Since  $|A_k| \leq \tau$  and  $D_k$  is  $\eta_\tau$ -monolithic, we have  $\eta(\overline{A_k} \cap D_k) = \eta(\text{cl}_{D_k}(A_k)) \leq \tau$ . Now, fix  $j < n$  with  $j \neq k$ .

Since  $C_u(X)$  is Fréchet-Uryshon and  $D_j$  is dense in  $C_u(X)$ , for every  $a \in A_k$  there exists  $\{f_n^a\}_{n < \omega} \subset D_j$  such that  $f_n^a$  converges to  $a$ . Let  $B = \{f_n^a : a \in A, n < \omega\}$ . Then  $\overline{A_k} \subset \overline{B}$ . Therefore, we have  $\overline{A_k} \cap D_j \subset \overline{B} \cap D_j$ . Since  $D_j$  is  $\eta_\tau$ -monolithic,  $B \subset D_j$ , and  $|B| \leq \tau$ , we have  $\eta(\overline{B} \cap D_j) = \eta(\text{cl}_{D_j}(B)) \leq \tau$ . Hence  $\eta(\overline{A_k} \cap D_j) \leq \tau$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $\eta \in \{nw, s, hd, hL\}$ . If  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_i : i < n\}$  where all subspaces  $C_i$  are  $\eta$ -monolithic, then  $C_p(X)$  is  $\eta$ -monolithic.*

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal. If  $C_p(X)$  is a finite union of  $\tau$ -monolithic subspaces, then  $C_p(X)$  is  $\tau$ -monolithic.*

### 3. Eberlein-Grothendieck Property is Countably Additive in $C_p(X)$

It is a well-known fact of  $C_p$ -theory that every space with countable base can be embedded into  $C_p(K)$  for some compact space  $K$  (see [Arh92]). In fact, spaces with this property have a special name: a space  $X$  is called an *Eberlein-Grothendieck space* (or EG-space) if it can be embedded into  $C_p(K)$  for some compact space  $K$ . The property of being an EG-space is non-additive in the class of Tychonoff spaces: if  $p \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  then  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{p\}$  cannot be embedded in a  $C_p$  over a compact space [Arh92]. So, it is natural to ask if it is a finitely additive property in the class of spaces of type  $C_p(X)$ . The answer is “yes”. We will prove that this property is even countably additive in the class of spaces  $C_p(X)$ . We need to recall the method used by O. G. Okunev to prove the following result (see [Arh92], Chapter 3).

**Theorem 3.1 [Oku].** *A space  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact if and only if  $C_p(X)$  is an Eberlein-Grothendieck space.*

A set  $\Phi \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$  is called  *$\mathcal{D}$ -separating* if  $0 \in \Phi$ ,  $f(X) \subset [-1, 1]$  for all  $f \in \Phi$ , and the following condition holds:  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,

if  $P \subset X$  is closed and  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset X \setminus P$ , then there exists an  $f \in \Phi$  such that  $|f(x_i)| < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $|f(x)| \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$  for all  $x \in P$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Every dense subspace of  $C_u(X)$  is (homeomorphic to) a  $\mathcal{D}$ -separating family of real-valued continuous functions. Indeed, let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $C_u(X)$ . Due to the homogeneity of  $C_u(X)$ , we can assume that  $0 \in D$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ , and  $P$  a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $P \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is Tychonoff, there is  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $g|_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \equiv 0$  and  $g(P) \subset \{\frac{7}{8}\}$ . Let  $\delta = \min\{\epsilon, \frac{1}{8}\}$ . Since  $D$  is dense in  $C_u(X)$ , there is  $d \in D$  such that  $d \in B_\delta(g) = \{h \in C_u(X) : |h(x) - g(x)| < \delta \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ . It is easy to check that  $|d(x_i)| < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $|d(x)| \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$  for all  $x \in P$ . Thus,  $D$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -separating family.

**Proposition 3.3 [Arh92].** *For a space  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  be the minimal class of spaces containing  $X$  and all compact spaces, which is closed with respect to finite products, free unions of countable families, closed subspaces and continuous images. If some  $\mathcal{D}$ -separating subspace  $\Phi \subset C_p(X)$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $C_p(Y)$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ .*

**Remark 3.4.** It is well-known [Arh92] that if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact (respectively, Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -space,  $K$ -analytic space) then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  consists of all  $\sigma$ -compact spaces (respectively, Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -spaces,  $K$ -analytic spaces). Recall that a space  $X$  is  $K$ -analytic (analytic) if it is a continuous image of a space of type  $K_{\sigma\delta}$  (of the irrational numbers) [RJ].

Now we are ready to prove our last result.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Suppose that  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_n : n \in \omega\}$ . If each  $C_n$  can be embedded into  $C_p(K_n)$  for some  $\sigma$ -compact space  $K_n$  (respectively, Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -space  $K_n$ ,  $K$ -analytic space  $K_n$ , and analytic space  $K_n$ ) then  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact space (respectively, Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -space,  $K$ -analytic space, and analytic space).*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1 in [Tka94], there exist  $f \in C_p(X)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $m < \omega$  such that  $\Phi = (C_m + f) \cap C(X, (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$  is dense in  $C_u(X, (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$ . Since  $C_u(X, (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$  is homeomorphic to  $C_u(X)$ , the set  $\Phi$  is homeomorphic to a dense subspace  $\Phi_1$  of  $C_u(X)$ . The subspace  $\Phi_1$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -separating family of real-valued continuous functions (see 3.2). Therefore, by 3.3 (evidently,  $\Phi_1$  can be embedded into  $C_p(K_m)$ ), we have  $X \in \mathcal{K}(K_m)$ . So, applying 3.4, the result follows (in case of analytic space recall that every analytic space is  $K$ -analytic, the network weight is a countably additive cardinal invariant, and that a  $K$ -analytic space with countable network is an analytic space).  $\square$

#### 4. Open Questions

Of course the most intriguing unsolved questions are the ones related to countable additivity of non-additive topological properties in the spaces  $C_p(X)$ . We present here the list of questions that we could not solve while working on this paper.

**Question 4.1.** *Suppose that  $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{C_n : n \in \omega\}$ , where all the  $C_n$  are realcompact. Must  $C_p(X)$  be realcompact?*

**Question 4.2.** *Is the paracompactness a countably additive property in the spaces  $C_p(X)$ ?*

**Question 4.3.** *Is the metacompactness a countably additive property in the spaces  $C_p(X)$ ? Is it a finitely additive property?*

**Question 4.4.** *Is the monolithity a countably additive property in the spaces  $C_p(X)$ ?*

**Question 4.5.** *Let  $C_p(X) = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are normal subspaces of  $C_p(X)$ . Must  $C_p(X)$  be normal? What if  $X$  is compact?*

**Question 4.6.** *Let  $C_p(X) = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are perfectly normal subspaces of  $C_p(X)$ . Must  $C_p(X)$  be perfectly normal?*

**Question 4.7.** *Let  $C_p(X) = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are collectionwise normal subspaces of  $C_p(X)$ . Must  $C_p(X)$  be collectionwise normal?*

**Question 4.8.** Let  $C_p(X) = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are hereditary normal subspaces of  $C_p(X)$ . Must  $C_p(X)$  be hereditary normal?

### Acknowledgement

The author feels that it is his pleasant duty to express his deep gratitude to Professor V. V. Tkachuk for encouraging this work and valuable comments on it.

### References

- [Arh83] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Functional tightness,  $\mathcal{Q}$ -spaces and  $\tau$ -embeddings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **24** (1983), 105–120.
- [Arh92] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Topological Functions Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht–Boston–London, 1992.
- [Eng] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Verlag Helderman, 1989.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1960.
- [Oku] O. G. Okunev, *Weak topology of an associated space, and  $t$ -equivalence*, Math. Notes **46** (1990), no. 1–2, 534–538.
- [RJ] A. Rogers and E. Jayne (eds.), *Analytic Sets*, Academic Press, London, (1980).
- [Tka83] V. V. Tkachuk, *On cardinal invariants of Souslin number type*, Soviet. Math. Dokl. **27**, (1983), no. 3, 681–684.
- [Tka85] V. V. Tkachuk, *Duality with respect to the functor  $C_p$  and cardinal invariants of the type of the Souslin number*, Math. Notes **23**, (1985), no. 3, 247–252.
- [Tka86] V. V. Tkachuk, *The spaces  $C_p(X)$ : Decomposition into a countable unions of bounded subspaces and completeness properties*, Topology and its Applications, **22** (1986), 241–253.

- [Tka91] V. V. Tkachuk, *Methods in the theory of cardinal invariants and the theory of mappings in application to function spaces*, Siberian Math. J. **32** (1991), 93–107.
- [Tka94] V. V. Tkachuk, *Decomposition of  $C_p(X)$  into a countable union of subspaces with “good” properties implies “good” properties in  $C_p(X)$* , Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **55** (1994), 239–248.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM,  
Ciudad Universitaria, Circuito Exterior. C.P. 04510. México,  
D.F., México.

*E-mail address:* `guli@servidor.unam.mx`