

Topology Proceedings



Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>
Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

A NEW SELECTION PRINCIPLE

BOAZ TSABAN

ABSTRACT. Motivated by a recent result of Masami Sakai, we define a new selection operator for covers of topological spaces, inducing new selection hypotheses, and initiate a systematic study of the new hypotheses. Some intriguing problems remain open.

1. SUBCOVERS WITH STRONG COVERING PROPERTIES

We say that \mathcal{U} is a *cover* of a set X if $X \notin \mathcal{U}$ and $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 1. For a family \mathcal{A} of covers of a set X , \mathcal{A}_∞ is the family of all \mathcal{U} such that there exist infinite sets $\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\{\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

For topological spaces X , various special families of covers have been extensively studied in the literature, in a framework called *selection principles*; see the surveys [10], [4], and [13]. The main types of covers are defined as follows. Let \mathcal{U} be a cover of X . \mathcal{U} is an ω -*cover* of X if each finite $F \subseteq X$ is contained in some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. \mathcal{U} is a γ -*cover* of X if \mathcal{U} is infinite and each $x \in X$ belongs to all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let the boldfaced symbols \mathbf{O} , $\mathbf{\Omega}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ denote the families of all covers, ω -covers, and γ -covers, respectively. Then

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbf{O}.$$

Also, let \mathcal{O} , $\mathcal{\Omega}$, $\mathcal{\Gamma}$ denote the corresponding families of *open* covers.

Supported by the Koshland Center for Basic Research.

©2007 Topology Proceedings.

For a space X and collections \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} of covers of X , the following property may or may not hold:

$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$: Every member of \mathcal{A} has a subset which is a member of \mathcal{B} .

Masami Sakai [7] proved that for a Tychonoff space X , a local property called the *Pytkeev property* holds in the function space $C_p(X)$ if and only if X satisfies $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tilde{\Omega} \\ \Omega_\infty \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a certain subclass of Ω . It is open whether $\tilde{\Omega}$ can be replaced by Ω [7]. Motivated by this, Petr Simon and the present author proved that for Lindelöf spaces X , $C_p(X)$ satisfies the Pytkeev property if and only if X is zero-dimensional and satisfies $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} C_\Omega \\ \Omega_\infty \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where C_Ω is the collection of all *clopen* ω -covers of X [12]. This motivates the study of additional properties of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B}_\infty \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Definition 2. A family \mathcal{B} of open covers of X is *surjectively derefinable* if $\{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \mathcal{B}$ for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}$ and each $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow P(X) \setminus \{X\}$ such that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ $f(U)$ is open and contains U . A similar definition applies to families of Borel covers, clopen covers, etc.

Example 3. \mathcal{O} , Ω , and Γ are surjectively derefinable. For the latter we must explain why a (surjective) derefinement of a γ -cover is infinite, and this follows from the fact that it is an ω -cover.

Lemma 4. Assume that \mathcal{B} is a surjectively derefinable family of covers of X . Then

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B}_\infty \end{smallmatrix}\right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{A}$. By the assumption, there are infinite $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$. For each n , choose $f(\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n) \in \mathcal{U}_n$. As \mathcal{B} is surjectively derefinable, $\mathcal{W} = \{f(\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. \square

The converse need not hold. For example, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} C_\Omega \\ \Omega \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ always holds, whereas $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} C_\Omega \\ \Omega_\infty \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ need not, as explained above. More examples will follow in the sequel.

Proposition 5. Every space satisfies $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \Gamma \\ \Gamma_\infty \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{U} \in \Gamma$. We may assume that \mathcal{U} is countable (since an infinite subset of a γ -cover is again a γ -cover). Enumerate

$\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ bijectively, and take $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_k : k \geq n\}$ for each n . Then $\{\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \Gamma$. \square

$\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right)$ is the classical γ -property [2].

Corollary 6. $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma_\infty}\right)$.

Proof: By Proposition 5 and Lemma 4,

$$\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right) \cap \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_\infty}\right) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma_\infty}\right),$$

the last equation being self-evident. \square

What about the other properties? $\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathfrak{O}_\infty}\right)$ never holds, since any T_1 space with more than one element has a finite open cover. Taking the above results into account, only $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathfrak{O}_\infty}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty}\right)$ are potentially new. It turns out that even the formally weaker property $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathfrak{O}_\infty}\right)$ is quite restrictive. According to Borel, a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has *strong measure zero* if for each sequence of positive reals $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exists a cover $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that for each n , the diameter of I_n is smaller than ϵ_n . It was established by Richard Laver that consistently, all strong measure zero sets of reals are countable. The following theorem of Miller is essentially proved in [12].

Theorem 7 (Miller, see [12]). *If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and X satisfies $\left(\frac{C_\Omega}{\mathfrak{O}_\infty}\right)$, then X has strong measure zero.*

Proof: By standard arguments [15], we may assume that $X \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$. It suffices to prove that for each increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers, there are for each n elements $s_m^n \in \{0, 1\}^{k_n}$, $m \leq n$, such that $X = \bigcup_n ([s_1^n] \cup \dots \cup [s_n^n])$. (One can allow n sets of diameter ϵ_n in the original definition of strong measure zero by moving to an appropriate subsequence of the original sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.)

For each n , let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{[s_1] \cup \dots \cup [s_n] : s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{0, 1\}^{k_n}\},$$

and take $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{U}_n$. \mathcal{U} is a clopen ω -cover of X . By $\left(\frac{C_\Omega}{\mathfrak{O}_\infty}\right)$, there are infinite subsets $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ of \mathcal{U} , such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of X . As each \mathcal{V}_n is infinite and each \mathcal{U}_n is finite, we can find m_1 and $V_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_{m_1}$, $m_2 > m_1$ and $V_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_{m_2}$, etc. Then

$\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of X , and the sets V_n are as required in the first paragraph of this proof. \square

However, we have the following.

Conjecture 8. *The Continuum Hypothesis implies*

- (1) *there is a set of reals X satisfying (Ω_∞^Ω) but not (Ω_Γ^Ω) , and*
- (2) *there is a set of reals X satisfying (Ω_∞^Ω) but not (Ω_∞^Ω) .*

Conjecture 8(1) implies, if true, a negative answer to Sakai's Question 4.7 in [8]. We will show that critical cardinalities (defined below) cannot be used to prove the consistency of items (1) and (2) of Conjecture 8.

X is an Ω -Lindelöf space if each open ω -cover of X contains a countable ω -cover of X . For Tychonoff spaces this is equivalent to: All finite powers of X are Lindelöf [2]. Separable zero-dimensional metrizable spaces are homeomorphic to subsets of \mathbb{R} and are thus Ω -Lindelöf. Recall that a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ is *centered* if the intersection of each finite subset of \mathcal{F} is infinite. \mathcal{F} is *free* if $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a *pseudo-intersection* of \mathcal{F} if A is infinite and for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \subseteq^* B$ (that is, $A \setminus B$ is finite). $[\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ inherits its topology from $P(\mathbb{N})$, whose topology is defined by identifying $P(\mathbb{N})$ with $\{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 9. *For Ω -Lindelöf spaces X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *X satisfies $(\mathcal{C}_\infty^\Omega)$;*
- (2) *For each continuous free centered image \mathcal{F} of X in $[\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$, $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ where each \mathcal{F}_n has a pseudo-intersection.*

Proof: (1 \Rightarrow 2) Assume that $\Psi : X \rightarrow [\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ is continuous and that its image \mathcal{F} is free and centered. For each n , let $U_n = \{x : n \in \Psi(x)\}$. $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a clopen ω -cover of X . Choose infinite $\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of X , and set $A_n = \{m : U_m \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$, and $\mathcal{F}_n = \{I \in \mathcal{F} : A_n \subseteq I\}$. For each $I \in \mathcal{F}$, let $x \in X$ be such that $I = \Psi(x)$. Choose n such that $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}_n$. Then for each $m \in A_n$, $x \in U_m$ and therefore $m \in \Psi(x) = I$, that is, $I \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Assume that \mathcal{U} is a clopen ω -cover of X . Since X is Ω -Lindelöf, we may assume that \mathcal{U} is countable. Fix a bijective enumeration $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. As the sets U_n are clopen, the Marczewski function $\mu : X \rightarrow P(\mathbb{N})$, defined by $\mu(x) = \{n : x \in$

$U_n\}$, is continuous. Since \mathcal{U} is an ω -cover of X , the image \mathcal{F} of μ is a free centered subset of $[\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ [13]. Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ be as in (2). For each n , let A_n be a pseudo-intersection of \mathcal{F}_n . Take $\mathcal{U}_{n,m} = \{U_k : m \leq k \in A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then $\{\bigcap \mathcal{U}_{n,m} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of X . \square

The minimal cardinality of a centered $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ such that there is no partition $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ where each \mathcal{F}_n has a pseudo-intersection is equal to \mathfrak{p} [12].

The *critical cardinality* of a nontrivial family \mathcal{J} of sets of reals is

$$\text{non}(\mathcal{J}) = \min\{|X| : X \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ and } X \notin \mathcal{J}\}.$$

Corollary 10. $\text{non}((\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty})) = \text{non}((\frac{\Omega}{\mathcal{O}_\infty})) = \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof: $\text{non}((\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma})) = \mathfrak{p}$ [1], and by the implications among the properties, $\mathfrak{p} \leq \text{non}((\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty})) \leq \text{non}((\frac{\Omega}{\mathcal{O}_\infty})) \leq \text{non}((\frac{C_\Omega}{\mathcal{O}_\infty}))$. By Theorem 9 and the above-mentioned result of [12], $\text{non}((\frac{C_\Omega}{\mathcal{O}_\infty})) \leq \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Proposition 11. *If all finite powers of X satisfy $(\frac{\Omega}{\mathcal{O}_\infty})$, then X satisfies $(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty})$.*

Proof: If \mathcal{U} is an open ω -cover of X , then for each k , $\mathcal{U}^k := \{U^k : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open ω -cover of X^k . Take infinite $\mathcal{V}_{k,n} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_{k,n}^k : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of X^k . Then each k -element subset of X is contained in some member of $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_{k,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and therefore $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_{k,n} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an ω -cover of X . \square

A subtle technical problem prevents us from using the methods of [3] to obtain the converse implication.

Problem 12. Is the converse implication in Proposition 11 provable?

Additional results concerning $(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty})$ can be found in [8].

2. A NEW SELECTION PRINCIPLE

Fix a topological space X , and let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} each be a collection of covers of X . The following selection principles, which X may or may not satisfy, were introduced in [9] to generalize a variety of classical properties, and were extensively studied in the literature (see the surveys [10], [4], [13]).

- $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there exist members $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.
- $S_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there exist finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.
- $U_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} which do not contain a finite subcover, there exist finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

We introduce the following new selection principle, which is a selective version of $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_\infty})$.

- $\bigcap_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of \mathcal{A} , there is for each n an infinite set $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Note that if \mathcal{A} contains a finite element, then $\bigcap_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ automatically fails.

Since the sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is allowed to be constant, the following holds.

Lemma 13. $\bigcap_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_\infty})$.

The following is easy to verify.

Proposition 14. Assume that \mathcal{B} is a surjectively derefinable family of covers of X . Then

$$\bigcap_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).$$

As $\bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma) \Rightarrow S_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, and $S_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ is rather restrictive (e.g., every set of reals satisfying it is perfectly meager), it follows that $\bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ is strictly stronger than (Γ_{Γ_∞}) .

Theorem 15. $\bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma) = S_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$.

Proof: Assume that X satisfies $S_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. We will prove that X satisfies $\bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. The trick we use comes from the context of local properties, which we learned from Marion Scheepers.

Assume that \mathcal{U}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are open γ -covers of X . We may assume that they are all countable and that the sets \mathcal{U}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint.

Fix a surjection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n , $f^{-1}(n)$ is infinite. For a countable bijectively enumerated set $\mathcal{F} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\mathcal{F}(m) = \{U_n : n \geq m\}$. Fix a bijective enumeration for each of the covers \mathcal{U}_n , and apply $S_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Gamma})$ to the sequence $\mathcal{U}_{f(n)}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to obtain sets $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_{f(n)}(n)$ such that $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a γ -cover of X .

For each n , take $\mathcal{V}_n = \{U_m : f(m) = n\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$. Each $U_m \in \mathcal{V}_n$ can belong to only finitely many $\mathcal{U}_{f(k)}(k)$ with $f(k) = n$ and cannot belong to any $\mathcal{U}_{f(k)}(k)$ with $f(k) \neq n$ (because $\mathcal{U}_n \cap \mathcal{U}_{f(k)} = \emptyset$). In particular, \mathcal{V}_n is infinite.

$\mathcal{V} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a γ -cover of X : For each $x \in X$, $x \in U_m$ for all large enough m , and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\min f^{-1}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ too. This also shows that \mathcal{V} is an ω -cover of X , and thus \mathcal{V} is infinite. \square

$$S_1(\Omega, \mathbf{\Gamma}) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\right) [2].$$

Corollary 16. $\bigcap_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{\Gamma}) = S_1(\Omega, \mathbf{\Gamma})$.

Proof: By Theorem 15 and easy reasoning,

$$\bigcap_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{\Gamma}) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\right) \cap \bigcap_{\infty}(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Gamma}) = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\right) \cap S_1(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Gamma}) = S_1(\Omega, \mathbf{\Gamma}). \quad \square$$

Exactly the properties in Figure 1 remain to be explored.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigcap_{\infty}(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega}) & \longrightarrow & \bigcap_{\infty}(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\emptyset}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \bigcap_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{\Omega}) & \longrightarrow & \bigcap_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{\emptyset}) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The surviving properties

Problem 17. Are any of the properties in Figure 1 equivalent to a classical selection hypothesis?

Problem 18. Can any implication be added to Figure 1?

For $\bigcap_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{\Omega})$ and $\bigcap_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbf{\emptyset})$, Problem 17 is closely related to Conjecture 8, because these properties are sandwiched between $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathbf{\emptyset}_{\infty}}\right)$.

For the remaining two properties, we have a partial answer for Problem 17. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}$ denote the family of *countable Borel* γ -covers of X .

Theorem 19.

- (1) $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}) = \bigcap_\infty (\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$.
- (2) $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \Omega) = \bigcap_\infty (\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \Omega)$.
- (3) $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \bigcap_\infty (\Gamma, \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow S_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$.
- (4) $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \Omega) \Rightarrow \bigcap_\infty (\Gamma, \Omega) \Rightarrow S_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.

Proof: We only prove the implications which do not follow from Proposition 14.

(1) Assume that X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$ and \mathcal{U}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are countable Borel γ -covers of X . Enumerate bijectively, for each n , $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_m^n : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ by

$$\Psi(x)(n) = \min\{m : (\forall k \geq m) x \in U_k^n\}.$$

Since Ψ is Borel and X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$, $\Psi[X]$ is not dominating [11]. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ be a witness for that. Take $\mathcal{V}_n = \{U_m^n : m \geq g(n)\}$. For each $x \in X$, there are infinitely many n such that $\Psi(x)(n) \leq g(n)$, and therefore $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}_n$.

(2) is similar. Here $\Psi[X]$ is not finitely dominating [11], and this is what we need.

(3) and (4) follow from (1) and (2), respectively, because γ -covers may be assumed to be countable. \square

Corollary 20.

- (1) $\text{non}(\bigcap_\infty (\Omega, \Omega)) = \text{non}(\bigcap_\infty (\Omega, \mathcal{O})) = \mathfrak{p}$.
- (2) $\text{non}(\bigcap_\infty (\Gamma, \Omega)) = \text{non}(\bigcap_\infty (\Gamma, \mathcal{O})) = \mathfrak{d}$.

Proof: (1) follows from corollaries 16 and 10 and the implications among the properties, together with $\text{non}(S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)) = \mathfrak{p}$ [1].

(2) follows from Theorem 19 and the fact that the critical cardinalities of the Borel version of the classical principles are the same as in their open version and are both \mathfrak{d} [3], [11]. \square

There are some additional interesting connections between the new and the classical selection principles.

Theorem 21 (Sakai [8]). $S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega) \cap \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty}\right) \Rightarrow S_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.

Proof: For completeness, we give a proof.

Clearly,

$$S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega) \cap \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty}\right) = S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega_\infty).$$

It therefore suffices to show that $S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega_\infty)$ implies $S_1(\Omega, \Omega)$. Indeed, assume that \mathcal{U}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are open ω -covers of X . Choose finite $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n \in \Omega_\infty$ for X .

Take infinite $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an ω -cover of X . To each n , assign m_n such that m_n is increasing with n and $\mathcal{V}_n \cap \mathcal{F}_{m_n} \neq \emptyset$, and choose any $U_{m_n} \in \mathcal{V}_n \cap \mathcal{F}_{m_n}$. For $k \notin \{m_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, choose any $U_k \in \mathcal{U}_k$. As $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ refines $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an ω -cover of X . \square

Corollary 22. $S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega_\infty) = S_1(\Omega, \Omega_\infty)$.

Proof: By Sakai's Theorem 21,

$$S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega_\infty) = S_{fin}(\Omega, \Omega) \cap \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty}\right) = S_1(\Omega, \Omega) \cap \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\infty}\right) = S_1(\Omega, \Omega_\infty). \quad \square$$

The following result is inspired by results from [17].

Theorem 23. For Lindelöf zero-dimensional spaces, $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma) = U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_\infty)$.

Proof: Note that $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\infty$. We therefore prove that $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_\infty)$ implies $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma)$. Assume that X is Lindelöf zero-dimensional, and satisfies $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_\infty)$. It suffices to prove that every continuous image of X in $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ is bounded [6].

Assume that Y is a continuous image of X in $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$. We may assume that all elements of Y are increasing functions. If there is an infinite $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{f \upharpoonright I : f \in Y\}$ is bounded, then Y is bounded. We therefore assume that there is k such that for each $n \geq k$, $\{f(n) : f \in Y\}$ is infinite.

For each $n \geq k$, let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_m^n : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $U_m^n = \{f \in Y : f(n) \leq m\}$ for each m . \mathcal{U}_n does not contain Y as an element. Thus, there are finite sets $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \geq k$, such that $\mathcal{V} = \{\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$. We may assume that each \mathcal{F}_n is nonempty. For each n , the sets U_m^n are increasing with m , and therefore, there is $g(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n = U_{g(n)}^n$.

Let \mathcal{V}_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, be infinite subsets of $\mathcal{V} = \{U_{g(n)}^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of Y . For each m , let $I_m = \{n \geq k : U_{g(n)}^n \in \mathcal{V}_m\}$. I_m is infinite, and $\{f \upharpoonright I_m : f \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}_m\}$ is bounded by $g \upharpoonright I_m$. Thus, $\bigcap \mathcal{V}_m$ is bounded. It follows that $Y = \bigcup_m \bigcap \mathcal{V}_m$ is bounded. \square

Theorem 23 can be contrasted with the fact that $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma) \neq U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ [16].

Acknowledgments. We thank Nadav Samet, Lyubomyr Zdomskyy, Dominic van der Zypen, and the referee for their useful comments on this paper. After we submitted this paper for publication, we learned of Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac's earlier paper [5], where a result very close to Theorem 15 is proved using essentially the same argument. A complete classification of Kočinac's properties in our context appears in [14].

REFERENCES

- [1] Fred Galvin and Arnold W. Miller, γ -sets and other singular sets of real numbers, *Topology Appl.* **17** (1984), no. 2, 145–155.
- [2] J. Gerlits and Zs. Nagy, *Some properties of $C(X)$, I*, *Topology Appl.* **14** (1982), no. 2, 151–161.
- [3] Winfried Just, Arnold W. Miller, Marion Scheepers, and Paul J. Szeptycki, *The combinatorics of open covers II*, *Topology Appl.* **73** (1996), no. 3, 241–266.
- [4] Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac, *Selected results on selection principles*, in *Proceedings of the 3rd Seminar on Geometry & Topology*. Ed. Sh. Rezapour. Azarbaijan Univ. Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz, Iran, 2004. 71–104.
- [5] ———, *Selection principles related to α_i -properties*. To appear in *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*.
- [6] Ireneusz Reclaw, *Every Lusin set is undetermined in the point-open game*, *Fund. Math.* **144** (1994), no. 1, 43–54.
- [7] Masami Sakai, *The Pytkееv property and the Reznichenko property in function spaces*, *Note Mat.* **22** (2003/04), no. 2, 43–52.
- [8] ———, *Special subsets of reals characterizing local properties of function spaces*, in *Selection Principles and Covering Properties in Topology*. (Ed. L. D.R. Kočinac). To appear in *Quaderni di Matematica*.
- [9] Marion Scheepers, *Combinatorics of open covers I: Ramsey theory*, *Topology Appl.* **69** (1996), no. 1, 31–62.
- [10] ———, *Selection principles and covering properties in topology*, *Note Mat.* **22** (2003/04), no. 2, 3–41.
- [11] Marion Scheepers and Boaz Tsaban, *The combinatorics of Borel covers*, *Topology Appl.* **121** (2002), no. 3, 357–382.
- [12] Petr Simon and Boaz Tsaban, *On the Pytkееv property in spaces of continuous functions*. To appear in *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. (math arXiv: math.GN/0606270)

- [13] Boaz Tsaban, *Some new directions in infinite-combinatorial topology*, in Set Theory: Centre De Recerca Matemàtica Barcelona 2003-2004. Ed. Joan Bargarria and Stevo Todorčević. Trends in Mathematics. New York: Birkhäuser, 2006. 225–255.
- [14] ———, *On the Kočinac α_i properties*. Submitted. (math arXiv: math.GN/0607592)
- [15] Boaz Tsaban and Tomasz Weiss, *Products of special sets of real numbers*, Real Anal. Exchange **30** (2004/05), no. 2, 819–836.
- [16] Boaz Tsaban and Lyubomyr Zdomskyy, *Scales, fields, and a problem of Hurewicz*. To appear in Journal of the European Mathematical Society.
- [17] ———, *On the Pytkeev property in spaces of continuous functions (II)*. Submitted. (math arXiv: math.GN/0701249)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE;
REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL

E-mail address: `boaz.tsaban@weizmann.ac.il`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY; RAMAT-GAN 52900,
ISRAEL

E-mail address: `tsaban@math.biu.ac.il`

URL: `http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban`