

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

HEREDITARILY NON-TOPOLOGIZABLE GROUPS

by

Gábor Lukács

Electronically published on December 3, 2008

Topology Proceedings

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124
COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.	



E-Published on December 3, 2008

HEREDITARILY NON-TOPOLOGIZABLE GROUPS

GÁBOR LUKÁCS

ABSTRACT. A group G is non-topologizable if the only Hausdorff group topology that G admits is the discrete one. Is there an infinite group G such that H/N is non-topologizable for every subgroup $H \leq G$ and every normal subgroup $N \triangleleft H$? We show that an answer to this essentially group theoretic question provides a solution to the problem of c-compactness.

Following Ol'shanskiĭ, we say that a group G is non-topologizable if the only Hausdorff group topology that G admits is the discrete one. In 1944, Markov asked whether infinite non-topologizable groups exist ([12]). Markov's problem was solved in 1979 by Hesse, whose result, however, seems to have remained unpublished ([6]). Without being aware of Hesse's solution, in 1980, Ol'shanskiĭ and Shelah independently constructed infinite non-topologizable groups ([13] and [16]). A noteworthy difference between Shelah's construction and the other two is that the earlier requires CH, while the later are ZFC results. Although Ol'shanskiĭ's example is a countable torsion group, Klyachko and Trofimov showed that a non-topologizable group need not satisfy either of these properties.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F05 22C05; Secondary 22A05 54H11.

Key words and phrases. Non-topologizable, *c*-compact, topological group, hereditarily non-topologizable, totally minimal, small invariant neighborhoods, torsion group.

I gratefully acknowledge the generous financial support received from the Killam Trusts and Dalhousie University that enabled me to do this research. I wish to also thank NSERC for partial financial support.

GÁBOR LUKÁCS

Theorem 1. ([8]) There exists a torsion-free finitely generated nontopologizable group. Thus, there exists a torsion-free non-topologizable group of any cardinality.

(The second statement is obtained from the first one using the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem.) In a subsequent paper, Trofimov proved that every group embeds into a non-topologizable group of the same cardinality ([21, Thm. 3]). This latter result of Trofimov also shows how strongly non-hereditary the property of non-topolog-izability is.

Markov himself obtained a criterion of non-topologizability for countable groups with a strong algebraic geometric flavour (Theorem 2 below), whose most elegant proof was given by Zelenyuk and Protasov, more than half a century later ([12] and [15, 3.2.4]). Given a monomial

$$f(x) = g_0 x^{k_1} g_2 x^{k_2} g_3 \dots g_{n-1} x^{k_n} g_n$$

in a single variable x, with $g_i \in G$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set

$$V(f) = \{g \in G \mid f(g) = e\}$$

is closed in any Hausdorff group topology on G, because multiplication must be continuous. Thus, if $G \setminus \{e\}$ can be represented as $V(f_1) \cup \ldots \cup V(f_n)$, where each f_i is a monomial, then $\{e\}$ is open in any Hausdorff group topology on G, and therefore G is nontopologizable. In this case, one says that e is algebraically isolated in G. The reverse implication also holds if G is countable.

Theorem 2. ([12], [15, 3.2.4]) A countable group G is non-topologizable if and only if e is algebraically isolated in G.

Recently, a generalization of Theorem 2 for products of countable groups was obtained by Dikranjan and Shakhmatov, and by Sipachëva ([2, 5.8] and [17]). Shelah's solution, on the other hand, is uncountable and simple. Thus, his result can be rephrased as follows:

Theorem 3. ([16]) Under the Continuum Hypothesis, there is a group G such that G/N is non-topologizable for every $N \triangleleft G$.

We say that G is hereditarily non-topologizable if H/N is nontopologizable for every subgroup $H \leq G$ and every normal subgroup $N \triangleleft H$. Motivated by Shelah's result, we pose the following problem, and show that it is intimately related to the decade-old problem of c-compactness of topological groups, outlined below.

270

Problem. Is there an infinite hereditarily non-topologizable group?

By the well-known Kuratowski-Mrówka Theorem, a (Hausdorff) topological space X is compact if and only if for every (Hausdorff) topological space Y, the projection $p_Y \colon X \times Y \to Y$ is closed. Inspired by this theorem, Dikranjan and Uspenskij called a Hausdorff topological group G categorically compact (or briefly, c-compact) if for every Hausdorff group H, the image of every closed subgroup of $G \times H$ under the projection $\pi_H \colon G \times H \to H$ is closed in H ([3, 1.1]); they asked whether every c-compact topological group is compact. This question has been an open problem for more than ten years. The most extensive study of c-compact topological groups was done by Dikranjan and Uspenskij in [3], which was a source of inspiration for part of the author's PhD dissertation, as well as his subsequent work ([9], [11], and [10]).

A Hausdorff topological group G is *minimal* if there is no coarser Hausdorff group topology on G ([18] and [4]). So, a discrete group G is non-topologizable if and only if it is minimal. One says that a Hausdorff topological group G is *totally minimal* if every quotient of G by a closed normal subgroup is minimal ([1]), or equivalently, if every continuous surjective homomorphism $f: G \to H$ is open. The following two results of Dikranjan and Uspenskij provide a link between *c*-compactness and total minimality.

Theorem 4. ([3, 3.6]) Every closed separable subgroup of a c-compact group is totally minimal.

Theorem 5. ([3, 5.5]) A countable discrete group G is c-compact if and only if every subgroup of G is totally minimal.

A discrete group G is hereditarily non-topologizable if and only if the discrete topology is totally minimal on every subgroup of G. Thus, Theorem 5 yields:

Corollary 6. A countable discrete group is c-compact if and only if it is hereditarily non-topologizable. \Box

Recall that a topological group G has small invariant neighborhoods (or briefly, G is SIN), if every neighborhood U of $e \in G$ contains an invariant neighborhood V of e, that is, a neighborhood V such that $g^{-1}Vg = V$ for all $g \in G$. Equivalently, G is SIN if its left and right uniformities coincide. In a former paper, the author

GÁBOR LUKÁCS

showed that the problem of c-compactness for locally compact SIN groups can be reduced to the countable discrete case ([10, 4.5]). Therefore, the Problem is equivalent to a special case of the problem of c-compactness.

Theorem 7. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) every locally compact c-compact group admitting small invariant neighborhoods is compact;
- (ii) every countable hereditarily non-topologizable group is finite. $\hfill \Box$

We conclude with an algebraic consequence of hereditary nontopologizability. We denote by $H^{(k)}$ the k-th derived group of a group H, that is, $H^{(1)} = [H, H]$, and $H^{(k)} = [H^{(k-1)}, H^{(k-1)}]$.

Theorem 8. Let G be a hereditarily non-topologizable group. Then:

- (a) $G^{(k)}$ has finite index in G for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) G has a smallest subgroup N of finite index, and N = [N, N];
- (c) there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G^{(n)} = G^{(n+1)}$;
- (d) if G is soluble, then G is finite;
- (e) G is a torsion group.

In light of Corollary 6, Dikranjan and Uspenskij's results imply Theorem 8 (cf. [3, 3.7-3.12]). Nevertheless, for the sake of completeness, we provide here a direct and elementary proof that does not rely on the Prodanov-Stoyanov theorem ([14]).

Proof. (a) Since G is hereditarily non-topologizable, the only Hausdorff group topology on its maximal abelian quotient A = G/[G,G] is the discrete one. Kertész and Szele showed that every infinite abelian group admits a non-discrete metrizable group topology ([7] and [5, I.7.5]). Therefore, A is finite. Hence, the statement follows by an inductive reiteration of this argument for the hereditarily non-topologizable groups $G^{(k)}$.

(b) Since every subgroup of G of finite index contains a normal subgroup of G of finite index (namely, the intersection of the conjugates of the given subgroup), it suffices to show that G has a smallest normal subgroup of finite index. To that end, let $\{N_{\alpha}\}$ be the collection of normal subgroups of finite index in G, and set $N = \bigcap N_{\alpha}$. The discrete topology is the only Hausdorff group topology on G/N, because G is hereditarily non-topologizable.

272

On the other hand, G/N embeds into the product $P = \prod G/N_{\alpha}$, which admits a compact Hausdorff group topology (as each quotient G/N_{α} is finite). So, the image of G/N in P can be discrete only if it is finite, because every discrete subgroup of a topological group is closed. Thus, N has finite index in G. Since G is hereditarily non-topologizable, so is its subgroup N. Therefore, by (a), [N, N] has finite index in N, and consequently in G. Hence, one has $N \subseteq [N, N]$, by the minimality of N.

(c) Let N be the smallest normal subgroup of finite index of G provided by (b). By (a), $G^{(k)}$ has finite index in G for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus $N \subseteq G^{(k)}$. Since N has finite index in G, there are only finitely many subgroups of G that contain N. Therefore, the decreasing sequence of subgroups

$$N \subseteq \dots \lhd G^{(k)} \lhd \dots \lhd G^{(1)} \lhd G^{(0)} = G$$

must stabilize after finitely many steps.

(d) Let N be the smallest normal subgroup of finite index of G provided by (b). As we have seen in (c), $N \subseteq G^{(k)}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, if $G^{(d)} = \{e\}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, then N is trivial. Therefore, G is finite, because N has finite index in G.

(e) Let $a \in G$. The subgroup $H = \langle a \rangle$ generated by a is hereditarily non-topologizable and abelian. Thus, by (d), H is finite. Therefore, every element of G has finite order.

It follows from Theorem 8(e) that neither the example of Shelah nor the example of Klyachko and Trofimov are hereditarily nontopologizable, because they are not torsion groups ([16] and [8]). We do not know whether Ol'shanskii's example is hereditarily nontopologizable ([13]).

Acknowledgments. My deepest thanks go to Walter Tholen, for introducing me to the problem of *c*-compactness, and for his attention to my work. I am grateful to Professor Dikran Dikranjan, who has strongly influenced my approach to topological algebra. I also wish to thank Robert Paré for the valuable discussions that were of great assistance in writing this paper.

References

D. Dikranjan and I. R. Prodanov, *Totally minimal topological groups*, Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Méc., 69:5–11 (1979), 1974/75.

GÁBOR LUKÁCS

- [2] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, Reflection principle characterizing groups in which unconditionally closed sets are algebraic, J. Group Theory, 11(3):421-442, 2008.
- [3] D. Dikranjan and V. V. Uspenskij, Categorically compact topological groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 126(1-3):149–168, 1998.
- [4] D. Doïtchinov, Minimal topological groups, In: Topics in topology (Proc. Colloq., Keszthely, 1972), pages 213–214. Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 8. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [5] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups. Vol. I.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 36. Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [6] G. Hesse, Zur Topologisierbarkeit von Gruppen, PhD thesis, Universität Hannover, 1979.
- [7] A. Kertész and T. Szele, On the existence of nondiscrete topologies in infinite abelian groups, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 3:187–189 (1954), 1953.
- [8] A. A. Klyachko and A. V. Trofimov, The number of non-solutions of an equation in a group, J. Group Theory, 8(6):747–754, 2005.
- [9] G. Lukács, c-Compactnes and Generalized Dualities of Topological Groups, PhD thesis, York University, 2003. http://at.yorku.ca/p/a/a/o/41.htm.
- [10] G. Lukács, Hereditarily h-complete groups, Topology Appl., 145(1-3):177– 189, 2004.
- [11] G. Lukács, On sequentially h-complete groups, In: Galois theory, Hopf algebras, and semiabelian categories, volume 43 of Fields Inst. Commun., pages 353–358. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [12] A. A. Markov, Three papers on topological groups: I. On the existence of periodic connected topological groups; II. On free topological groups; III. On unconditionally closed sets, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, (30):120, 1950.
- [13] A. Ol'shanski, A note on countable non-topologizable groups, Vestnik Mosk. Gos. Univ. Mat. Mekh., 3:103, 1980.
- [14] I. R. Prodanov and L. N. Stojanov, Every minimal abelian group is precompact, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 37(1):23–26, 1984.
- [15] I. Protasov and E. Zelenyuk, *Topologies on groups determined by sequences*, volume 4 of Mathematical Studies Monograph Series. VNTL Publishers, L'viv, 1999.
- [16] S. Shelah, On a problem of Kurosh, Jónsson groups, and applications, In: Word problems, II (Conf. on Decision Problems in Algebra, Oxford, 1976), volume 95 of Stud. Logic Foundations Math., pages 373–394. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [17] O. V. Sipacheva, Unconditionally τ-closed and τ-algebraic sets in groups, Topology Appl., 155(4):335–341, 2008.
- [18] R. M. Stephenson, Jr., Minimal topological groups, Math. Ann., 192:193– 195, 1971.

274

- [19] A. D. Taĭmanov, The topologization of commutative semigroups, Mat. Zametki, 17(5):745–748, 1975.
- [20] A. D. Taĭmanov, Topologizability of countable algebras, In: Mathematical analysis and related mathematical questions (Russian), pages 254–275, 354. "Nauka" Sibirsk. Otdel., Novosibirsk, 1978.
- [21] A. V. Trofimov, A theorem on embedding into a nontopologizable group, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh., 60(3):60–62, 72, 2005.
- [22] A. V. Trofimov, A perfect non-topologizable group, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh., 62(1):5–11, 2007.

Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3T 4X2, Canada

E-mail address: lukacs@cc.umanitoba.ca