

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 38, 2011

Pages 29–82

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## ON HOMOTOPICAL AND HOMOLOGICAL $Z_n$ -SETS

by

TARAS BANAKH, ROBERT CAUTY, AND ALEX KARASSEV

Electronically published on August 2, 2010

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics

Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## ON HOMOTOPICAL AND HOMOLOGICAL $Z_n$ -SETS

TARAS BANAKH, ROBERT CAUTY, AND ALEX KARASSEV

**ABSTRACT.** A closed subset  $A \subset X$  is called a *homological (homotopical)  $Z_n$ -set* if for any  $k < n + 1$  and any open set  $U \subset X$  the relative homology (homotopy) group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  ( $\pi_k(U, U \setminus A)$ ) vanishes. A closed subset  $A$  of an  $LC^n$ -space  $X$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set if and only if  $A$  is a  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  in the sense that each map  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow X$  can be uniformly approximated by maps into  $X \setminus A$ . Applying the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, we prove that a homotopical  $Z_2$ -subset of an  $LC^1$ -space is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set if and only if it is a homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ . From the Künneth formula we derive multiplication, division, and  $k$ -root formulas for homological  $Z_n$ -sets. We prove that the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^z(X)$  of homological  $Z_n$ -points of a metrizable separable  $lc^n$ -space  $X$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . We introduce and study the classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n^z$  ( $\overline{\mathcal{Z}_n^z}$ , respectively) of topological spaces  $X$  with  $\mathcal{Z}_n^z(X) = X$  ( $\overline{\mathcal{Z}_n^z(X)} = X$ , respectively) and prove multiplication, division, and  $k$ -root formulas for such classes. We also show that a (locally compact  $lc^n$ -)space  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^z$  has Steinke dimension  $\mathfrak{t}(X) \geq n + 1$  (has cohomological dimension  $\dim_G(X) \geq n + 1$  for any coefficient group  $G$ ). A locally compact ANR-space  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty^z$  is not a  $C$ -space and has extension dimension  $\text{e-dim} X \not\leq L$  for any non-contractible CW-complex  $L$ .

In this paper we focus on applications of homological methods to studying  $Z_n$ -sets in topological spaces. Being higher-dimensional

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57N20; Secondary 54C50; 54C55; 54F35; 54F45; 55M10; 55M15; 55N10.

*Key words and phrases.* homological  $Z_n$ -set,  $Z_n$ -set.

The substantial part of this paper was written during the stay of the first author at Nipissing University (North Bay, Ontario, Canada).

©2010 Topology Proceedings.

counterparts of closed nowhere dense subsets,  $Z_n$ -sets are of crucial importance in infinite-dimensional and geometric topologies [6], [10], [16], [17], [31], [9] and play a role also in dimension theory [3] and theory of selections [39].  $Z_n$ -sets were introduced by H. Toruńczyk in [35]. He defined a closed subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  to be a  $Z_n$ -set if any map  $f : I^n \rightarrow X$  from the  $n$ -dimensional cube  $I^n = [0, 1]^n$  can be uniformly approximated by maps into  $X \setminus A$ . Actually,  $Z_n$ -sets work properly only in  $LC^n$ -spaces where they coincide with so-called homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets. By definition, a closed subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is a *homotopical  $Z_n$ -set* if for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , every map  $f : I^n \rightarrow X$  can be approximated by a map  $f' : I^n \rightarrow X \setminus A$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic to  $f$ . In fact, homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets are nothing else but closed locally  $n$ -negligible sets in the sense of Toruńczyk [35].

In section 3, we apply the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem to characterize homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets  $A$  in Tychonoff  $LC^1$ -spaces  $X$  as homotopical  $Z_{\min\{n,2\}}$ -sets such that the relative homology groups  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  vanish for all  $k < n + 1$  and all open sets  $U \subset X$ . Having in mind this characterization of homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets, we define a closed subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  to be a *homological  $Z_n$ -set* (more generally, a  *$G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set* for a coefficient group  $G$ ) if  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) = 0$  ( $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$ , respectively) for all  $k < n + 1$  and all open sets  $U \subset X$ . Therefore, a homotopical  $Z_2$ -set in a Tychonoff  $LC^1$ -space  $X$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set if and only if it is a homological  $Z_n$ -set. It should be mentioned that under some restrictions on the space  $X$  this characterization of  $Z_n$ -sets has been exploited in mathematical literature [17, Proposition 4.2], [18], [28]. The homological characterization of  $Z_n$ -sets makes it possible to apply powerful tools of algebraic topology for studying  $Z_n$ -sets. Homological  $Z_n$ -sets behave like usual  $Z_n$ -sets: The union of two  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set and so is each closed subset of a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set.

In section 4, applying the technique of irreducible homological barriers, we prove that a closed subset  $A \subset X$  is a homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if each point  $a \in A$  is a homological  $Z_n$ -point in  $X$  and each closed subset  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| > 1$  can be separated by a homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set. This characterization makes it possible to apply Steinke's separation dimension  $t(\cdot)$  and its transfinite extension  $\text{trt}(\cdot)$  to studying homological  $Z_n$ -sets. In particular, we

prove that a closed subset  $A \subset X$  with finite separation dimension  $d = \mathfrak{t}(A)$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if each point  $a \in A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+d}$ -point in  $X$ . An infinite version of this result asserts that a closed subset  $A \subset X$  having transfinite separation dimension  $\text{trt}(A)$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $X$  if and only if each point  $a \in A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_\infty$ -point in  $X$ .

In section 5, we develop the Bockstein theory for  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets. The main result is Theorem 5.5 asserting that a subset  $A \subset X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is an  $H$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set for all groups  $H \in \sigma(G)$ , where  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p, R_p : p \text{ is prime}\}$  is the Bockstein family of  $G$ .

The main result of section 6 is Theorem 6.1: For a homological (homotopical)  $Z_n$ -set  $A$  in a space  $X$  and a homological (homotopical)  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$  the product  $A \times B$  is a homological (homotopical)  $Z_{n+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Y$ . For ANR's the homotopical version of this result has been proved by T. O. Banach and Kh. R. Trushchak in [8]. It is interesting that the multiplicative theorem for homological  $Z_n$ -sets can be partly reversed, which leads to division and  $k$ -root theorems proved in section 8.

In section 9, we apply the obtained results on  $Z_n$ -sets to study  $Z_n$ -points. We show that the set of  $Z_n$ -points in a metrizable separable space  $X$  always is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Moreover, if  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^n$ -space, then the sets of homological and homotopical points also are  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ .

In sections 10 and 11, we introduce and study the classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of spaces, all of whose points are homotopical (homological, respectively),  $Z_n$ -points, and classes of spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n$  ( $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , respectively) containing dense sets of homotopical (homological, respectively)  $Z_n$ -points. Applying the results from the preceding sections, we prove multiplication, division, and  $k$ -root formulas for these classes.

In sections 12 and 13, we study dimension properties of spaces from the class of  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$  spaces (i.e., all of whose points are homological  $Z_n$ -points). We show that for any space  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the transfinite separation dimension  $\text{trt}(X) \geq 1 + n$ . If, in addition,  $X$  is a locally compact  $\text{LC}^n$ -space, then  $X$  has cohomological dimension  $\dim_G(X) \geq n + 1$  for any group  $G$ . Also, the inequality  $e\text{-dim}(X) \leq L$  for a CW-complex  $L$  implies that  $\pi_k(L) = 0$  for all  $k \leq n$ . Each locally compact locally contractible space  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is

infinite-dimensional in a rather strong sense:  $X$  fails to be a  $C$ -space and has extension dimension  $\text{e-dim} X \not\leq L$  for any non-contractible CW-complex  $L$ ; see Theorem 13.1.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

All topological spaces considered in this paper are Tychonoff;  $I$  stands for the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  and  $n$  will denote a non-negative integer or infinity. In the paper we use singular homology  $H_*(X; G)$  with coefficients in a non-trivial abelian group  $G$ . If  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  is the group of integers, we omit the symbol  $\mathbb{Z}$  and write  $H_*(X)$  instead of  $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . By  $\tilde{H}_*(X; G)$ , we denote the singular homology of  $X$ , reduced in dimension zero.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cover of a space  $X$ . Two maps  $f, g : Z \rightarrow X$  are called

- $\mathcal{U}$ -near (denoted by  $(f, g) \prec \mathcal{U}$ ) if for any  $z \in Z$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\{f(z), g(z)\} \subset U$ ;
- $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic (denoted by  $f \underset{\mathcal{U}}{\sim} g$ ) if there is a homotopy  $h : Z \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $h(z, 0) = f(z)$ ,  $h(z, 1) = g(z)$ , and  $h(\{z\} \times [0, 1]) \subset U \in \mathcal{U}$  for all  $z \in Z$ .

There is also a (pseudo)metric counterpart of these notions. Let  $\rho$  be a continuous pseudometric on a space  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a real number. Two maps  $f, g : Z \rightarrow X$  are called

- $\varepsilon$ -near if  $\text{dist}(f, g) < \varepsilon$  where  $\text{dist}(f, g) = \sup_{z \in Z} \rho(f(z), g(z))$ ;
- $\varepsilon$ -homotopic if there is a homotopy  $h : Z \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $h(z, 0) = f(z)$ ,  $h(z, 1) = g(z)$ , and  $\text{diam}_\rho h(\{z\} \times [0, 1]) < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in Z$ .

The following easy lemma helps to reduce the ‘‘cover’’ version of (homotopical) nearness to the ‘‘pseudometric’’ one.

**Lemma 1.1.** *For any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a Tychonoff space  $X$  and any compact set  $K \subset X$  there is a continuous pseudometric  $\rho$  on  $X$  such that each 1-ball  $B(x, 1) = \{x' \in X : \rho(x, x') < 1\}$  centered at a point  $x \in K$  lies in some set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof:* Embed the Tychonoff space  $X$  into a Tychonoff cube  $I^\kappa$  for a suitable cardinal  $\kappa$ . For each  $x \in K$ , find a finite index set  $F(x) \subset \kappa$  and an open subset  $W_x \subset I^{F(x)}$  whose preimage

$V_x = \text{pr}_{F(x)}^{-1}(W_x)$  under the projection  $\text{pr}_{F(x)} : X \rightarrow I^{F(x)}$  contains the point  $x$  and lies in some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . By the compactness of  $K$ , the open cover  $\{V_x : x \in K\}$  of  $K$  contains a finite subcover  $\{V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_m}\}$ . Now consider the finite set  $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F(x_i)$  and note that each set  $V_{x_i}$  is the preimage of the some open set  $W_i \subset I^F$  under the projection  $\text{pr}_F : X \rightarrow I^F$ . Let  $d$  be any metric on the finite-dimensional cube  $I^F$ . By the compactness of  $C = \text{pr}_F(K) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m W_i$ , there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that each  $\varepsilon$ -ball centered at a point  $z \in C$  lies in some  $W_i$ . Finally, define the pseudometric  $\rho$  on  $X$  letting  $\rho(x, x') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot d(\text{pr}_F(x), \text{pr}_F(x'))$  for  $x, x' \in X$ . It is easy to see that each 1-ball centered at any point  $x \in K$  lies in some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

Let us recall that a space  $X$  is called an  $\text{LC}^n$ -space if for each point  $x \in X$ , each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , and each  $k < n + 1$  there is a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x$  such that each map  $f : \partial I^k \rightarrow V$  from the boundary of the  $k$ -dimensional cube extends to a map  $f : I^k \rightarrow U$ .

The following homotopy approximation theorem for  $\text{LC}^n$ -spaces is well known; see [26, p. 159] or [9].

**Lemma 1.2.** *For any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a paracompact  $\text{LC}^n$ -space  $X$  and any  $k < n + 1$ , there is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  such that any two  $\mathcal{V}$ -near maps  $f, g : K \rightarrow X$  from a simplicial complex  $K$  of dimension  $\dim K \leq k$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic.*

This lemma has a homological counterpart. A space  $X$  is defined to be an  $lc^n$ -space if for each point  $x \in X$ , each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , and each  $k < n + 1$ , there is a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x$  such that the homomorphism  $i_* : \tilde{H}_k(V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(U)$  of singular homologies induced by the inclusion map  $i : V \rightarrow U$  is trivial.

It is known that each  $\text{LC}^n$ -space is an  $lc^n$ -space; see [38]. The converse is true for  $\text{LC}^1$ -spaces; see [38]. The proof of the following lemma can be found in [11, Lemma 5.4]

**Lemma 1.3.** *For any paracompact  $lc^n$ -space  $X$  and any  $k < n + 1$  there is an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that any two  $\mathcal{U}$ -near maps  $f, g : K \rightarrow X$  defined on a simplicial complex  $K$  of dimension  $\dim K \leq k$  induce the same homomorphisms  $f_*, g_* : H_*(K) \rightarrow H_*(X)$  on homologies.*

In the sequel, we shall need another three homological properties of  $lc^n$ -spaces. First, we recall one well-known fact from homological algebra; see [12, Lemma 16.3].

If the commutative diagram in the category of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A_2 & \longrightarrow & A_3 \\
 & & i_2 \downarrow & & i_3 \downarrow \\
 B_1 & \longrightarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & B_3 \\
 i_1 \downarrow & & i_4 \downarrow & & \\
 C_1 & \longrightarrow & C_2 & & 
 \end{array}$$

has exact middle row, then the finite generacy (or triviality) of the groups  $i_1(B_1)$  and  $i_3(A_3)$  implies the finite generacy (triviality) of the group  $i_4 \circ i_2(A_2)$ .

A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is called *precompact* if  $A$  has compact closure in  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *Any precompact set  $C$  in a Tychonoff  $lc^n$ -space  $X$  with  $n < \infty$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  such that the inclusion homomorphisms  $H_k(U) \rightarrow H_k(X)$  have finitely-generated image for all  $k \leq n$ .*

*Proof:* We shall prove this lemma by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ , the assertion of the lemma follows from the local connectedness of  $lc^0$ -spaces. Assume that for some  $n$  the lemma has been proved for all  $k < n$ . Consider the family  $\mathcal{K}_n$  of compact subsets  $K$  of  $X$  having an open neighborhood  $U$  such that the inclusion homomorphism  $H_n(U) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  has finitely generated range. We claim that for any compact subsets  $A, B \in \mathcal{K}_n$ , the union  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . To show this, fix open neighborhoods  $O_A$  and  $O_B$  of the compacta  $A$  and  $B$  such that the inclusion homomorphisms  $H_n(O_A) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  and  $H_n(O_B) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  have finitely generated ranges. By the inductive assumption, the compact set  $A \cap B$  has a neighborhood  $O_{A \cap B} \subset O_A \cap O_B$  such that the inclusion homomorphism  $H_{n-1}(O_{A \cap B}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(O_A \cap O_B)$  has finitely generated range. Using the complete regularity of  $X$ , find two open neighborhoods  $U_A \subset O_A$  and  $U_B \subset O_B$  of the compacta  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $U_A \cap U_B \subset O_{A \cap B}$ . The proof will be completed as soon as we check that the inclusion homomorphism  $H_n(U_A \cup U_B) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  has

finitely-generated range. This follows from the diagram whose rows are Mayer-Vietoris sequences of the pairs  $\{U_A, U_B\}$ ,  $\{O_A, O_B\}$ , and  $\{X, X\}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_n(U_A) \oplus H_n(U_B) & \longrightarrow & H_n(U_A \cup U_B) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(U_A \cap U_B) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow i_3 \\
H_n(O_A) \oplus H_n(O_B) & \longrightarrow & H_n(O_A \cup O_B) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(O_A \cap O_B) \\
\downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_4 & & \downarrow \\
H_n(X) \oplus H_n(X) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X \cup X) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(X \cap X)
\end{array}$$

Since the homomorphisms  $i_1$  and  $i_3$  have finitely generated ranges, so does the homomorphism  $i_4 \circ i_2 : H_n(U_A \cup U_B) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ . This proves the additivity of the family  $\mathcal{K}_n$ .

To finish the proof of the lemma, it remains to check that each compact subset  $C$  of  $X$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . By the  $lc^n$ -property of  $X$ , each point  $x \in X$  has an open neighborhood  $O_x \subset X$  such that the inclusion homomorphism  $H_n(O_x) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  has finitely generated range. Take any closed neighborhood  $C_x \subset O_x$  of  $x$  in the compact subset  $C$ . It is clear that  $C_x \in \mathcal{K}_n$  for all  $x \in C$ . By the compactness of  $C$ , the cover  $\{C_x : x \in C\}$  has finite subcover  $C_{x_1}, \dots, C_{x_m}$ . Now the additivity of the family  $\mathcal{K}_n$  implies that  $C = \bigcup_{i \leq m} C_{x_i} \in \mathcal{K}_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact  $lc^n$ -space and  $V \subset U$  be open subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bar{V} \subset U$  and  $\bar{U}$  is compact. Then for any  $k \leq n$  the inclusion homomorphism  $H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{V})$  has finitely generated range.*

*Proof:* Take open sets  $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset W_3 \subset X$  such that  $W_3$  has compact closure in  $X$  and  $\bar{U} \subset W_1 \subset \bar{W}_1 \subset W_2 \subset \bar{W}_2 \subset W_3$ . The excision property for singular homology (see [25, Theorem 2.20]) implies that the inclusion homomorphisms  $H_k(W_1, W_1 \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{U})$  and  $H_k(W_3, W_3 \setminus \bar{V}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{V})$  are isomorphisms. Thus, it suffices to check that the inclusion homomorphism  $H_k(W_1, W_1 \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(W_3, W_3 \setminus \bar{V})$  has finitely generated range. This will be done with the help of the commutative diagram whose rows are the exact sequences of the pairs  $(W_1, W_1 \setminus \bar{U})$ ,  $(W_2, W_2 \setminus \bar{V})$ , and  $(W_3, W_3 \setminus \bar{V})$  and whose columns are inclusion homomorphisms in homologies:



$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_k(W_1, W_1 \setminus \bar{U}) & \longrightarrow & H_{k-1}(W_1 \setminus \bar{U}) & & \\
& & i_2 \downarrow & & i_3 \downarrow \\
H_k(W_2) & \longrightarrow & H_k(W_2, W_2 \setminus \bar{V}) & \longrightarrow & H_{k-1}(W_2 \setminus \bar{V}) \\
& & i_1 \downarrow & & i_4 \downarrow \\
H_k(W_3) & \longrightarrow & H_k(W_3, W_3 \setminus \bar{V}) & & 
\end{array}$$

Lemma 1.4 implies that the homomorphisms  $i_1$  and  $i_3$  have finitely generated ranges (because  $W_2$  and  $W_1 \setminus \bar{U}$  have compact closures in  $W_3$  and  $W_2 \setminus \bar{V}$ , respectively). Consequently, the inclusion homomorphism  $i_4 \circ i_2 : H_k(W_1, W_1 \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(W_3, W_3 \setminus \bar{V})$  also has finitely generated range.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact  $lc^n$ -space and  $x$  be a point with  $H_k(X, X \setminus \{x\}) = 0$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Then for any neighborhood  $U \subset X$  of  $x$  there is a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x$  such that the inclusion homomorphism  $H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{V})$  is trivial.*

*Proof:* Without loss of generality, the neighborhood  $U$  has compact closure in  $X$ . By Lemma 1.5, there is a neighborhood  $W \subset U$  of  $x$  such that the inclusion homomorphism  $i_{UW} : H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{W})$  has finitely generated range  $\text{Im}(i_{UW})$ . Pick up finitely many generators  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  of the group  $\text{Im}(i_{UW})$ . The triviality of the homology group  $H_k(X, X \setminus \{x\})$  implies the triviality of the inclusion homomorphism  $j : H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{W}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \{x\})$ . Then for every  $i \leq m$ , the image  $j(g_i) = 0$  and we can find a neighborhood  $V_i \subset W$  of  $x$  such that the image of  $g_i$  under the inclusion homomorphism  $H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{W}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{V}_i)$  is trivial. For the neighborhood  $V = \bigcap_{i \leq m} V_i$  of  $x$ , the elements  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  have trivial images under the homomorphism  $i_{WV} : H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{W}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{V})$ . Since these elements generate the group  $\text{Im}(i_{UW})$ , the inclusion homomorphism  $i_{UV} = i_{WV} \circ i_{UW} : H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{U}) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \bar{V})$  is trivial.  $\square$

## 2. CHARACTERIZING LOCALLY $n$ -NEGLIGIBLE SETS

In this section we present a homological characterization of locally  $n$ -negligible sets. Following Toruńczyk [35], we define a subset

$A$  of a space  $X$  to be *locally  $n$ -negligible* if, given  $x \in X$ ,  $k < n + 1$ , and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there is a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x$  such that for each  $f : (I^k, \partial I^k) \rightarrow (V, V \setminus A)$ , there is a homotopy  $(h_t) : (I^k, \partial I^k) \rightarrow (U, U \setminus A)$  with  $h_0 = f$  and  $h_1(I^k) \subset U \setminus A$ . Following [33], we shall say that a pair  $(X, A)$  is  *$n$ -connected* if  $\pi_i(X, A) = 0$  for all  $i \leq n$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *For a subset  $A$  of a Tychonoff space  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $A$  is locally  $n$ -negligible;
- (2) given a simplicial pair  $(K, L)$  with  $\dim(K) \leq n$ , a continuous pseudometric  $\rho$  on  $X$  and maps  $\varepsilon : |K| \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $f : |K| \times \{0\} \cup |L| \times I \rightarrow X$  with  $\rho(f(x, 0), f(x, t)) < \varepsilon(x)$  and  $f(x, 1) \notin A$  for all  $(x, t) \in |L| \times I$ , there is  $\bar{f} : |K| \times I \rightarrow X$  which extends  $f$  and satisfies  $\rho(\bar{f}(x, t), f(x, 0)) < \varepsilon(x)$  and  $\bar{f}(x, 1) \notin A$  for all  $(x, t) \in |K| \times I$ ;
- (3) for each open set  $U \subset X$  and  $k < n + 1$ , the relative homotopy group  $\pi_k(U, U \setminus A)$  vanishes;
- (4) each  $x \in X$  has a basis  $\mathfrak{U}_x$  of open neighborhoods with  $\pi_k(U, U \setminus A) = 0$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}_x$  and  $k < n + 1$ .

If, in addition,  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^1$ -space and  $A$  is locally 2-negligible in  $X$ , then conditions (1)–(4) are equivalent to

- (5) for each open  $U \subset X$  and  $k < n + 1$ , the relative homology group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  vanishes;
- (6) each  $x \in X$  has a basis  $\mathfrak{U}_x$  of open neighborhoods with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) = 0$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}_x$  and  $k < n + 1$ .

*Proof:* The equivalences (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) have been proved by Toruńczyk [35, Theorem 2.3] for normal spaces  $X$ . But because of Lemma 1.1, his proof also works for any Tychonoff  $X$ .

Now assume that  $A$  is a locally 2-negligible set in an  $\text{LC}^1$ -space  $X$ .

The implication (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) is trivial while (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) easily follows from the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem [33, Ch. 7, Sec. 5, Theorem 4] (see also [25, Theorem 4.37]) because  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^0$ -space and  $A$  is locally 1-negligible in  $X$ .

It remains to prove the implication (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Take any point  $x \in X$  and a neighborhood  $U \subset X$  of  $x$ . We lose no generality assuming that  $U$  is connected.

The  $LC^1$ -property of  $X$  yields a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x$  such that any map  $f : \partial I^2 \rightarrow V$  extends to a map  $\bar{f} : I^2 \rightarrow U$ . Moreover, by (6) we can choose the neighborhood  $V$  so that  $H_k(V, V \setminus A) = 0$  for all  $k < n+1$ . Replacing  $V$  by a connected component containing the point  $x$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $V$  is connected. Then the  $LC^0$ -property of  $X$  implies that  $V$  is path connected and the local 1-negligibility of  $A$  in  $X$  implies that  $V \setminus A$  is path-connected too.

We claim that every map  $f : (I^k, \partial I^k) \rightarrow (V, V \setminus A)$  with  $k < n+1$  is homotopic in  $U$  to a map  $\bar{f} : (I^k, \partial I^k) \rightarrow (U \setminus A, U \setminus A)$  which will imply the local  $n$ -negligibility of  $A$  in  $X$ . This will be done by induction. For  $k \leq 2$ , the local  $k$ -negligibility of  $A$  in  $X$  follows from the local 2-negligibility and there is nothing to prove. So we assume that the local  $(k-1)$ -negligibility of  $A$  has been proved for some  $k > 2$ . Then the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) yields  $\pi_i(V, V \setminus A) = 0$  for all  $i < k$ . This means that the pair  $(V, V \setminus A)$  is  $(k-1)$ -connected, which makes legal applying the relative Hurewicz isomorphism theorem [33, Ch. 7, Sec. 5, Theorem 4] to this pair.

Fix any point  $* \in \partial I^k$  and let  $x_0 = f(*)$ . Then the map  $f$  can be considered as an element of the relative homotopy group  $\pi_k(V, V \setminus A, *)$ . The relative Hurewicz isomorphism theorem applied to the pair  $(V, V \setminus A)$  implies that  $\pi'_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0) = 0$ , where  $\pi'_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$  is the quotient group of the homotopy group  $\pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$  by the normal subgroup  $G$  generated by the elements  $[\gamma] \cdot [\alpha] - [\alpha]$ ,  $[\alpha] \in \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$ , and  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(V \setminus A, x_0)$ , where  $\cdot : \pi_1(V \setminus A, x_0) \times \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$  is the left action of the fundamental group on the relative  $k$ -th homotopy group, see [33, Ch. 7, Sec. 2] or [25]. It follows from

$$0 = \pi'_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0) = \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)/G$$

that the subgroup  $G$  coincides with  $\pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$ . Now consider the homomorphism  $i_* : \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(U, U \setminus A, x_0)$  induced by the inclusion of pairs  $i : (V, V \setminus A) \rightarrow (U, U \setminus A)$ . We claim that  $i_*$  is a null-homomorphism. Since the group  $\pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$  is generated by the elements  $[\gamma] \cdot [\alpha] - [\alpha]$ ,  $[\alpha] \in \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0)$ , and  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(V \setminus A, x_0)$ , it suffices to check that  $i_*([\gamma] \cdot [\alpha] - [\alpha]) = 0$  for any such  $[\alpha]$  and  $[\gamma]$ . Let  $j_* : \pi_1(V \setminus A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(U \setminus A, x_0)$  be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion  $j : (V, x_0) \rightarrow (U, x_0)$ . The local 2-negligibility of  $A$  in  $U$  and the choice of the set  $V$  implies that

$j_* = 0$  and, thus, the action of the element  $j_*([\gamma]) \in \pi_1(U \setminus A, x_0)$  on  $\pi_k(U, U \setminus A, x_0)$  is trivial. The naturality of the action of the fundamental group on the homotopy groups (see [33, Ch. 7, Sec. 3, Lemma 1]) implies that

$$i_*([\gamma] \cdot [\alpha] - [\alpha]) = j_*([\gamma]) \cdot i_*([\alpha]) - i_*([\alpha]) = i_*([\alpha]) - i_*([\alpha]) = 0.$$

Thus, the homomorphism  $i_* : \pi_k(V, V \setminus A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(U, U \setminus A, x_0)$  is trivial, which means that the map  $f : (I^k, \partial I^k, *) \rightarrow (V, V \setminus A, x_0)$  is null homotopic in  $(U, U \setminus A, x_0)$ , and this completes the proof of the local  $k$ -negligibility of  $A$  in  $X$ .  $\square$

### 3. HOMOTOPICAL AND HOMOLOGICAL $Z_n$ -SETS

In this section, in order to study  $Z_n$ -sets, we apply the characterization of locally  $n$ -negligible sets established in the preceding section. We recall the definition of a  $Z_n$ -set and its homotopical and homological versions.

**Definition 3.1.** A closed subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is defined to be

- a  $Z_n$ -set if, for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and a map  $f : I^n \rightarrow X$ , there is a map  $f' : I^n \rightarrow X \setminus A$  which is  $\mathcal{U}$ -near to  $f$ ;
- a *homotopical  $Z_n$ -set* in  $X$  if, for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and any map  $f : I^n \rightarrow X$ , there is a map  $f' : I^n \rightarrow X \setminus A$  which is  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic to  $f$ ;
- a  *$G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set* in  $X$ , where  $G$  is a coefficient group, if, for any open set  $U \subset X$  and any  $k < n + 1$ , the relative homology group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$ ;
- a *homological  $Z_n$ -set* in  $X$  if it is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .

A point  $x$  in a space  $X$  is called a  $Z_n$ -point if the singleton  $\{x\}$  is a  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ . By analogy we define homotopical and homological  $Z_n$ -points.

The following theorem reveals interplay between various versions of  $Z_n$ -sets.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial Abelian group and  $X$  be a Tychonoff space.*

- (1) *A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is closed and locally  $n$ -negligible set in  $X$ .*

- (2) *Each homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  is a  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*
- (3) *If  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^n$ -space, then each  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set.*
- (4) *Each homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set.*
- (5) *Each  $G$ -homological  $Z_0$ -set in  $X$  is a homotopical  $Z_0$ -set.*
- (6) *Each  $G$ -homological  $Z_1$ -set in  $X$  is a  $Z_1$ -set.*

*Proof:* The first item follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 while the second is trivial. The third item was proved in [35, Corollary 3.3] and follows from Lemma 1.2. The fourth item can be easily derived from [13, Corollary 10.6] (of the relative Hurewicz theorem). The fifth item follows from the fact that a relative homology group  $H_0(U, U \setminus A; G)$  vanishes if and only if each path-connected component of  $U \setminus A$  meets the set  $U \setminus A$ .

To prove the sixth item, assume that  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_1$ -set in  $X$ . Being a  $G$ -homological  $Z_0$ -set,  $A$  is a homotopical  $Z_0$ -set in  $X$ . To show that  $A$  is a  $Z_1$ -set in  $X$ , fix an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and a map  $f : I \rightarrow X$ . Consider the open cover  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  of the interval  $I = [0, 1]$  and find a sequence  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = 1$  such that for each  $i \leq m$ , the interval  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  lies in  $f^{-1}(U_i)$  for some set  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $W_m = U_m$  and  $W_i = U_i \cap U_{i+1}$  for  $i < m$ .

Since  $H_0(W_i, W_i \setminus A; G) = 0$ , the path-connected component of  $W_i$  containing the point  $f(t_i)$  meets the set  $W_i \setminus A$  at some point  $x_i$ . We claim that the points  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  lie in the same path-connected component of  $U_i \setminus A$ . Assuming the converse, we would get a nontrivial cycle  $\alpha = g \cdot x_{i-1} - g \cdot x_i$  in  $H_0(U_i \setminus A; G)$  with  $g \in G$  being any non-zero element. On the other hand, this cycle is the boundary of an obvious 1-chain  $\beta$  in  $U_i$  and thus vanishes in the homology group  $H_0(U_i; G)$ . But this contradicts the exact sequence  $0 = H_1(U_i, U_i \setminus A; G) \rightarrow H_0(U_i \setminus A; G) \rightarrow H_0(U_i; G)$  for the pair  $(U_i, U_i \setminus A)$ .

Therefore  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  lie in the same path-connected component of  $U_i \setminus A$ , ensuring the existence of a continuous map  $g_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \rightarrow U_i \setminus A$  with  $g_i(t_{i-1}) = x_{i-1}$  and  $g_i(t_i) = x_i$ . The maps  $g_i$  and  $i \leq m$  compose a single continuous map  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \setminus A$  which is  $\mathcal{U}$ -near to  $f$ , witnessing the  $Z_1$ -set property of  $A$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.2(1) with Theorem 2.1, we get the following important characterization of homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets.

**Theorem 3.3.** *A homotopical  $Z_2$ -set  $A$  in a Tychonoff  $LC^1$ -space  $X$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is a homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 3.3 has been known as folklore, and its particular cases have appeared in literature; see e.g., [28], [18], [17]. It should also be mentioned that a substantial part of [17] is devoted to closed sets of infinite codimension (coinciding with our homological  $Z_\infty$ -sets).

Next, we establish some elementary properties of  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets. From now on,  $G$  is a non-trivial abelian group.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets in a topological space  $X$ .*

- (1) *Any closed subset  $F \subset A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*
- (2) *The union  $A \cup B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*

*Proof:* (1) We should check that  $H_k(U, U \setminus F; G) = 0$  for all open sets  $U \subset X$  and all  $k < n+1$ . The  $G$ -homology  $Z_n$ -set property of  $A$  yields  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$ . Since  $(U \setminus F, U \setminus A) = (U \setminus F, (U \setminus F) \setminus A)$ , we get also  $H_{k-1}(U \setminus F, U \setminus A; G) = 0$ . Writing the exact sequence of the triple  $(U, U \setminus F, U \setminus A)$  gives us  $H_k(U, U \setminus F; G) = 0$ .

(2) Given any  $k < n+1$  and any open set  $U \subset X$  consider the exact sequence of the triple  $(U, U \setminus A, U \setminus (A \cup B))$ :

$$0 = H_k(U \setminus A, U \setminus (A \cup B); G) \rightarrow H_k(U, U \setminus (A \cup B); G) \rightarrow H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$$

and conclude that  $H_k(U, U \setminus (A \cup B); G) = 0$ .  $\square$

Next, we show that in the definition of a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set we can require that  $U$  runs over some base for  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base of the topology of a topological space  $X$ . A closed set  $A \subset X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$  for all  $k < n+1$  and  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof:* The “only if” part of the theorem is trivial. To prove the “if” part, assume that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$  for all  $k < n+1$  and all sets  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_k$  be the family of all open subsets  $U \subset X$  such that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$ . By induction on  $k < n+1$ , we shall show that  $\mathcal{U}_k$  consists of all open sets in  $X$ .

First, we verify the case  $k = 0$ . Take any non-empty open set  $U \subset X$ . The equality  $H_0(U, U \setminus A; G) = 0$  will follow as soon as we

show that each path-connected component  $C$  of  $U$  intersects the set  $U \setminus A$ . Fix any point  $c \in C$  and find a neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  of  $c$  lying in  $U$ . The equality  $H_0(V, V \setminus A; G) = 0$  implies that  $V \setminus A$  meets each path-connected component of the set  $V$ , in particular, the path-connected component  $C'$  of the point  $c$  in  $V$ . Since  $C' \subset C$ , we conclude that  $U \setminus A \supset V \setminus A$  meets the component  $C$ , which completes the proof of the case  $k = 0$ .

Assuming that for some positive  $k < n + 1$  the family  $\mathcal{U}_{k-1}$  consists of all open subsets of  $X$ , we first show that the family  $\mathcal{U}_k$  is closed under finite unions. Indeed, given any two sets  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_k$  we can write down the piece of the relative Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) \oplus H_k(V, V \setminus A; G) &\rightarrow \\ H_k(U \cup V, (U \cup V) \setminus A; G) &\rightarrow \\ H_{k-1}(U \cap V, U \cap V \setminus A; G) &\rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

and conclude that  $U \cup V \in \mathcal{U}_k$  because  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}_{k-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_k$  contains the base  $\mathcal{B}$ , it contains all possible finite unions of sets of the base. Because the singular homology theory has compact support,  $\mathcal{U}$  contains all possible unions of sets from the base  $\mathcal{B}$  and consequently,  $\mathcal{U}_k$  consists of all open sets in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if there is an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  the intersection  $U \cap A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $U$ .*

#### 4. DETECTING $G$ -HOMOLOGICAL $Z_n$ -SETS WITH HELP OF PARTITIONS

In this section we apply the technique of irreducible homological barriers to detect  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets with help of their partitions.

A closed subset  $B$  of a topological space  $X$  is called an *irreducible barrier* for a non-zero homology element  $\alpha \in H_n(X, X \setminus B; G)$  if for every closed subset  $A \subset B$  with  $A \neq B$  the image  $i_A^B(\alpha)$  under the inclusion homomorphism  $i_A^B : H_n(X, X \setminus B; G) \rightarrow H_n(X, X \setminus A; G)$  is trivial. We shall say that a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is *separated* by a subset  $B \subset X$  if the complement  $A \setminus B$  is

disconnected. In dimension theory, closed separating sets are also referred to as *partitions*; see [23, 1.1.3].

We shall need two elementary properties of irreducible barriers, which were also exploited in [14] and [4].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $G$  be a non-trivial abelian group.*

- (1) *Each closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  with  $H_n(X, X \setminus A; G) \neq 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$  contains an irreducible barrier  $B \subset A$  for some element  $\alpha \in H_n(X, X \setminus B; G)$ .*
- (2) *If  $A$  is an irreducible barrier for some element  $\alpha \in H_n(X, X \setminus A; G)$ , then  $H_{n+1}(X, X \setminus B; G) \neq 0$  for any closed subset  $B \subset A$  separating  $A$ .*

*Proof:* (1) Given a closed subset  $A \subset X$  and a non-zero element  $\alpha \in H_n(X, X \setminus A; G) \neq 0$ , consider the family  $\mathcal{B}$  of closed subsets of  $A$  such that for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the image  $i_B^A(\alpha)$  under the inclusion homomorphism  $i_B^A : H_n(X, X \setminus A; G) \rightarrow H_n(X, X \setminus B; G)$  is not trivial. We claim that  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a minimal element. This will follow from Zorn's Lemma as soon as we prove that the intersection  $\cap \mathcal{C}$  of any linearly ordered subfamily  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since singular homology has compact support, the homology group  $H_n(X, X \setminus \cap \mathcal{C}; G)$  is the direct limit of the groups  $H_n(X, X \setminus C; G)$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ; see [33, Ch. 4, Sec. 8, Theorem 13]. Since all the elements  $i_C^A(\alpha)$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , are not trivial, so neither is the element  $i_{\cap \mathcal{C}}^A(\alpha)$ , which means that  $\cap \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Now, Zorn's Lemma yields a minimal element  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\beta = i_B^A(\alpha) \neq 0$ . The minimality of  $B$  implies that for any closed subset  $C \subset B$  with  $C \neq B$ , we get  $i_C^A(\alpha) = i_C^B(\beta) = 0$ , which means that  $B$  is an irreducible barrier for  $\beta$ .

(2) Assume that  $A$  is an irreducible barrier for some element  $\alpha \in H_n(X, X \setminus A; G)$  and let  $B$  be a closed subset separating  $A$ . Write  $A \setminus B = U \cup V$  as the union of two disjoint non-empty open sets  $U, V \subset A$ . Let  $C = V \cup B = A \setminus U$  and  $D = U \cup B = A \setminus V$ . The irreducibility of  $A$  for  $\alpha$  yields  $i_C^A(\alpha) = i_D^A(\alpha) = 0$ . Now, writing a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for the pair  $(X, X \setminus B) = (X, X \setminus C \cup X \setminus D)$ , we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{n+1}(X, X \setminus B; G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_n(X, X \setminus A; G) \\ & \xrightarrow{f} & H_n(X, X \setminus C; G) \oplus H_n(X, X \setminus D; G). \end{array}$$



Since  $f(\alpha) = (i_C^A(\alpha), -i_D^A(\alpha)) = (0, 0)$ ,  $0 \neq \alpha = \partial(\beta)$  for some nontrivial element  $\beta \in H_{n+1}(X, X \setminus B; G)$ .  $\square$

Irreducible barriers help us to prove the following theorem detecting  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets.

**Theorem 4.2.** *A closed subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if each point of  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -point in  $X$  and each closed subset  $B$  of  $A$  with  $|B| > 1$  can be separated by a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set.*

*Proof:* Assume that each point of a closed subset  $A \subset X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -point and each closed subset  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| > 1$  can be separated by a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set  $B \subset A$  in  $X$ . Assuming that  $A$  fails to be a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set, find an open subset  $U \subset X$  and  $k < n + 1$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 4.1(1), the set  $A \cap U$  contains an irreducible barrier  $B$  for a non-zero element  $\alpha \in H_k(U, U \setminus B; G)$ . The set  $B$  must contain more than one point, since singletons are  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets in  $X$ . By our assumption the closure  $\overline{B}$  in  $X$  can be separated by a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set  $C$ . Then  $C \cap U$  separates  $\overline{B} \cap U = B$  and hence  $H_{k+1}(U, U \setminus C; G) \neq 0$  by Lemma 4.1(2). But this is not possible because  $C$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set in  $X$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4.2 will be applied to show that a subset  $A \subset X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if each point  $a \in A$  is a  $Z_{n+d}$ -point in  $X$  where  $d = \text{trt}(A)$  is the separation dimension of  $A$ . The separation dimension  $t(\cdot)$  was introduced by Günter Steinke [34] and later was extended to the transfinite separation dimension  $\text{trt}(\cdot)$  by F. G. Arenas, V. A. Chatyrko, and M. L. Puertas [2] as follows: given a topological space  $X$ , we write

- $\text{trt}(X) = -1$  if and only if  $X = \emptyset$ ;
- $\text{trt}(X) \leq \alpha$  for an ordinal  $\alpha$  if any closed subset  $B \subset X$  with  $|B| \geq 2$  can be separated by a closed subset  $P \subset B$  with  $\text{trt}(P) < \alpha$ .

A space  $X$  is defined to be *trt-dimensional* if  $\text{trt}(X) \leq \alpha$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . In this case, the ordinal  $\text{trt}(X) = \min\{\alpha : \text{trt}(X) \leq \alpha\}$  is called the (transfinite) separation dimension of  $X$ . If  $X$  is not *trt-dimensional*, then we write  $\text{trt}(X) = \infty$  and assume that  $\alpha < \infty$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ .

By transfinite induction, one can show that  $\text{trt}(X) \leq \text{trind}(X)$ , where  $\text{trind}(X)$  is the transfinite extension of the small inductive dimension  $\text{ind}(X)$ ; see [2, Proposition 2.9]. This implies that each countable-dimensional completely-metrizable space is  $\text{trt}$ -dimensional (because  $\text{trind}(X) < \omega_1$  [23, 7.1.9]). On the other hand, each  $\text{trt}$ -dimensional compact space is a  $C$ -space; see [2, Proposition 4.7]. Observe that  $\text{trt}(X) \leq 0$  if and only if  $X$  is hereditarily disconnected space. The strongly infinite-dimensional totally disconnected Polish space  $X$  constructed in [23, 6.2.4] has  $\text{trt}(X) = 0$  and  $\text{trind}(X) = \infty$  while a compactification  $c(X)$  of  $X$  with strongly countable-dimensional remainder (the famous example of Pol) has  $\text{trt}(X) = \omega$  and  $\text{trind}(X) = \infty$ . Thus, (even on the compact level), the gap between  $\text{trt}(X)$  and  $\text{trind}(X)$  can be huge. However, for finite-dimensional metrizable compacta  $X$ , the separation dimension  $\text{trt}(X)$  coincides with the usual dimension  $\text{dim}(X)$  (and hence with  $\text{trind}(X)$ ); see [34].

**Theorem 4.3.** *A closed subspace  $A$  of a space  $X$  with  $m = \text{trt}(A) < \omega$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  provided each point  $a \in A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -point in  $X$ .*

*Proof:* The proof is by induction of the number  $m = \text{trt}(A)$ . The assertion is trivial if  $m = -1$  (which means that  $A$  is empty). Assume that for some number  $m$  the theorem has been proved for all sets  $A$  with  $\text{trt}(A) < m$ . Take a closed subset  $A \subset X$  with  $\text{trt}(A) = m$  and all points  $a \in A$  being  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -points in  $X$ . Assuming that  $A$  fails to be a  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ , find an open set  $U \subset X$  and a number  $k < n + 1$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 4.1(1), the set  $A \cap U$  contains an irreducible barrier  $B \subset A \cap U$  for some non-zero element  $\beta \in H_k(U, U \setminus B; G)$ . Since singletons are  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets in  $X$ ,  $|B| > 1$ . Since  $\text{trt}(A) \leq m$ , there is a partition  $C \subset B$  of  $B$  with  $d = \text{trt}(C) < m$ . Then all points of the set  $C$  are  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+d+1}$ -points. Now the inductive assumption guarantees that  $C$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set in  $U$ , which contradicts Lemma 4.1(2) because  $C$  separates the irreducible barrier  $B$ .  $\square$

By the same method one can prove an infinite version of this theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** *A closed trt-dimensional subspace  $A$  of a space  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $X$  if and only if each point  $a \in A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_\infty$ -point in  $X$ .*

### 5. BOCKSTEIN THEORY FOR $G$ -HOMOLOGICAL $Z_n$ -SETS

In this section, we study the interplay between  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets for various coefficient groups  $G$ . Our principal instrument here is the Universal Coefficient Formula (UCF) expressing the homology with respect to an arbitrary coefficient group via homology with respect to the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers. The following its form is taken from [25, Ch. 3, Corollary 3A.4].

**Lemma 5.1** (Universal Coefficient Formula). *For each pair  $(X, A)$  and all  $n \geq 1$ , there is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(X, A) \otimes G \rightarrow H_n(X, A; G) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X, A) * G \rightarrow 0$$

*and this sequence splits (though non-naturally).*

Here  $G \otimes H$  and  $G * H$  stand for the tensor and torsion products of the groups  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. We need some information about those products.

First, some notation. By  $\Pi$  we denote the set of prime numbers. We recall that a group  $G$  is *divisible* if it is *divisible by each number*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The latter means that for any  $g \in G$  there is  $x \in G$  with  $n \cdot x = g$ . By  $\text{Tor}(G) = \{x \in G : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } nx = 0\}$ , we denote the *torsion part* of  $G$ . It is well known that  $\text{Tor}(G)$  is the direct sum of  $p$ -torsion parts  $p\text{-Tor}(G) = \{x \in G : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \ p^k x = 0\}$  where  $p$  runs over prime numbers.

The following useful result can be found in [22].

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  be non-trivial abelian groups and  $p$  be a prime number.*

- (1) *The tensor product  $G_0 \otimes G_1$  contains an element of infinite order if and only if both groups  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  contain elements of infinite order.*
- (2) *The torsion product  $G_0 * G_1$  contains an element of order  $p$  if and only if  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  contain elements of order  $p$ .*
- (3) *The tensor product  $G_0 \otimes G_1$  contains an element of order  $p$  if and only if for some  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , either  $G_i$  is not divisible by*

$p$  and  $p\text{-Tor}(G_{1-i})$  is not divisible by  $p$  or else  $G_i/p\text{-Tor}(G_i)$  is not divisible by  $p$  and  $p\text{-Tor}(G_{1-i}) \neq 0$  is divisible by  $p$ .

The following fact follows immediately from the UCF.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Each homological  $Z_n$ -set in a space  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  for any coefficient group  $G$ .*

Next, we show that the study of  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets for an arbitrary coefficient group  $G$  can be reduced to studying  $H$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets for coefficient groups  $H$  from the countable family of so-called Bockstein groups.

There are many (more or less standard) notations for Bockstein groups. We follow those of [30] and [22]:

- $\mathbb{Q}$  is the group of rational numbers;
- $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is the cyclic group of a prime order  $p$ ;
- $R_p$  is the group of rational numbers whose denominator is not divisible by  $p$ .
- $\mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{Q}/R_p$  the quasicyclic  $p$ -group.

By  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , we denote the family of all Bockstein groups.

To each abelian group  $G$ , assign the Bockstein family  $\sigma(G) \subset \mathfrak{B}$  containing the group

- $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if the group  $G/\text{Tor}(G) \neq 0$  is divisible;
- $R_p$  if and only if  $G/p\text{-Tor}(G)$  is not divisible by  $p$ ;
- $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $p\text{-Tor}(G)$  is not divisible by  $p$ ;
- $\mathbb{Q}_p$  if and only if  $p\text{-Tor}(G) \neq 0$  is divisible by  $p$ .

In particular,  $\sigma(G) = \{G\}$  for every Bockstein group  $G \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

The Bockstein families are helpful because of the following fact which can be easily derived from Lemma 5.2.

**Lemma 5.4.** *For abelian groups  $G$  and  $H$ , the tensor product  $G \otimes H$  is trivial if and only if  $G \otimes B$  is trivial for every group  $B \in \sigma(H)$ .*

Combining this lemma with the UCF, we will obtain the principal result of this section.

**Theorem 5.5.** *A closed subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is an  $H$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  for every group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ .*

*Proof:* Assume that a closed subset  $A \subset X$  fails to be a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and find  $k < n + 1$  and an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) \neq 0$ . The UCF implies that either  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes G \neq 0$  or  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * G \neq 0$ .

In the latter case, the group  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * G$  contains an element of a prime order  $p$  and then both the groups  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A)$  and  $G$  contain elements of order  $p$  by Lemma 5.2(2). Consequently,  $\sigma(G)$  contains a (quasi)cyclic  $p$ -group  $H \in \{\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p\}$  and then  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * H \neq 0$ . Applying the UCF, we conclude that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; H) \neq 0$ .

Next, we assume that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes G \neq 0$ . Then Lemma 5.2 implies that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H \neq 0$  for some group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ . Applying the UCF, we conclude that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; H) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  fails to be an  $H$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ . This proves the “if” part of the theorem.

To prove the “only if” part, assume that  $A$  fails to be a  $H$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  for some group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ . Then for some  $k < n + 1$  and an open set  $U \subset X$ , the group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; H)$  is not trivial. The UCF yields that either  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H \neq 0$  or  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * H \neq 0$ .

In the first case, the tensor product  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes G \neq 0$  by Lemma 5.2. In the second case,  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * H$  contains an element of a prime order  $p$  and so do the groups  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A)$  and  $H$ . The inclusion  $H \in \sigma(G)$  implies then that  $G$  contains an element of order  $p$  and hence  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * G \neq 0$ . In both cases, the UCF implies that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; G) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  fails to be a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .  $\square$

Next, we study the interplay between  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -sets for various Bockstein groups  $G$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subset of a space  $X$  and  $p$  be a prime number.*

- (1) *If  $A$  is an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ , then  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*
- (2) *If  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ , then  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*
- (3) *If  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set in  $X$ , then  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .*

- (4)  $A$  is an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ , provided that  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_{n+1}$ -set in  $X$ .

*Proof:* (1) Assuming that  $A$  is not a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ , find a number  $k < n+1$  and an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is torsion free, the UCF implies that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of infinite order and then  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes R_p \neq 0$ . Applying the UCF once more, we obtain that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; R_p) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  is not an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .

Next, assume that  $A$  is not a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and find an integer number  $k \leq n$  and an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ . The UCF implies that either  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$  or  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ . In the latter case, the group  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of order  $p$  and by Lemma 5.2,  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) \otimes R_p \neq 0$ . The UCF implies that  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A; R_p) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  fails to be an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{k-1}$ -set in  $X$ .

So assume that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ . If  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of order  $p$ , then we can proceed as in the preceding case to prove that  $A$  fails to be an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_k$ -set in  $X$ . So we can assume that  $p\text{-Tor}(H_k(U, U \setminus A)) = 0$ , which implies that the torsion part  $\text{Tor}(H_k(U, U \setminus A))$  is divisible by  $p$ . Taking into account that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ , we can apply Lemma 5.2(3) to find an element  $a \in H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  not divisible by  $p$ . This element cannot belong to the torsion part of  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$ . Hence, the group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of infinite order and so does the tensor product  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes R_p = H_k(U, U \setminus A; R_p)$ . This means that  $A$  fails to be an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_k$ -set in  $X$ .

(2) Now assume that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and find  $k \leq n$  and an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p) \neq 0$ . Applying the UCF, we get  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$  or  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$ . In the latter case,  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$  and hence  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ . If  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$ , then, by Lemma 5.2, the group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element not divisible by  $p$  and then  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$  by Lemma 5.2. In both the cases, the UCF implies that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ .

(3) Assume that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and find  $k \leq n$  and an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ . The UCF yields  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$  or  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ . In the latter case,  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of order  $p$  and then  $H_{k-1}(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$ . Applying the UCF once more, we get  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_k$ -set.

Now assume that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ . If  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of order  $p$ , then  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$  and  $H_{k+1}(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p) \neq 0$  by the UCF. This means that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_{k+1}$ -set in  $X$ . So it remains to consider the case when the group  $H = H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  has trivial  $p$ -torsion part  $p\text{-Tor}(H)$ . Since  $H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ , the group  $H = H/p\text{-Tor}(H)$  contains an element  $a$  not divisible by  $p$ ; see Lemma 5.2. Then  $H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = H \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$ , according to Lemma 5.2. Now the UCF implies that  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p) \neq 0$ , which means that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_k$ -set in  $X$ .

(4) Assume that  $A$  fails to be an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ . Then, for some  $k \leq n$  and an open set  $U \subset X$ , the homology group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; R_p) = H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes R_p$  is not trivial. By Lemma 5.2, the group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of infinite order or an element of order  $p$ . In the first case, the group  $H_k(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}) = H_k(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is not trivial, which means that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological  $Z_k$ -set. In the second case, the subgroup

$$H_k(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Q}_p \subset H_{k+1}(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

is not trivial, which means that  $A$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_{k+1}$ -set in  $X$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6, we get the following.

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subset of a topological space  $X$ .*

- (1) *If  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  for some coefficient group  $G$ , then  $A$  is an  $H$ -homological  $Z_n$  in  $X$  for some divisible group  $H \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ .*
- (2)  *$A$  is an  $F$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  for a field  $F$  if and only if  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  for the field  $G \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  with  $\{G\} = \sigma(F)$ .*

6. MULTIPLICATION THEOREM FOR  
HOMOTOPICAL AND HOMOLOGICAL  $Z_n$ -SETS

We discuss so-called multiplication theorems for homotopical and  $R$ -homological  $Z_n$  sets with  $R$  being a *principal ideal domain*. The latter means that  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit and without zero divisors in which each proper ideal is generated by a single element. A typical example of a principal ideal domain is the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers.

According to the Künneth formula [33, Ch. 5, Sec. 3, Theorem 10], for closed subsets  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$  in topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  and a principal ideal domain  $R$ , the relative homology group

$$H_n(X \times Y, X \times Y \setminus A \times B; R)$$

is isomorphic to the direct sum of the  $R$ -modules

$$[H_*(X, X \setminus A; R) \otimes_R H_*(Y, Y \setminus B; R)]_n =$$

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_i(X, X \setminus A; R) \otimes_R H_j(Y, Y \setminus B; R)$$

and

$$[H_*(X, X \setminus A; R) *_R H_*(Y, Y \setminus B; R)]_{n-1} =$$

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=n-1} H_i(X, X \setminus A; R) *_R H_j(Y, Y \setminus B; R).$$

Here  $G \otimes_R H$  and  $G *_R H$  stand for the tensor and torsion products of  $R$ -modules  $G$  and  $H$  over  $R$ . If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , then we omit the subscript and write  $G \otimes H$  and  $G * H$ . It is known that the torsion product over a field  $F$  always is trivial. In this case,

$$H_n(X \times Y, X \times Y \setminus A \times B; F) = [H_*(X, X \setminus A; F) \otimes_F H_*(Y, Y \setminus B; F)]_n.$$

With help of the Künneth formula and Theorem 5.5, we shall prove multiplication formulas for homological and homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$  be closed subsets in Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , and let  $G$  be a coefficient group.*

- (1) *If  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and  $B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$ , then  $A \times B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -set in  $X \times Y$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then  $A \times B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Y$ .*
- (2) *If  $A$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and  $B$  is a homotopical  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$ , then  $A \times B$  is a homotopical  $Z_{n+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Y$ .*



*Proof:* (1) In light of Theorem 5.5, it suffices to prove the first item only for a Bockstein group  $G \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ . Assume that  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and  $B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$ .

First, we prove the second part of the first item, assuming that  $G \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$  is a principal ideal domain. We have to check that  $A \times B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Y$ , which means that  $H_k(W, W \setminus A \times B; G) = 0$  for every  $k \leq n + m + 1$  and every open set  $W \subset X \times Y$ . By Lemma 3.6, it suffices to consider the case  $W = U \times V$  for some open sets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$ .

By the Künneth formula, the homology group  $H_k(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B; G)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of the groups

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H_i(U, U \setminus A; G) \otimes_G H_j(V, V \setminus B; G) \text{ and} \\ & \bigoplus_{i+j=k-1} H_i(U, U \setminus A; G) *_G H_j(V, V \setminus B; G). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for every  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i + j \leq n + m + 1$ , either  $i \leq n$  or  $j \leq m$ . In the first case, the group  $H_i(U, U \setminus A; G)$  is trivial (because  $A$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ ); in the second case, the group  $H_j(V, V \setminus B; G) = 0$ . Hence, the above tensor and torsion products are trivial and so is the group  $H_k(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B; G)$ .

Next, assume that  $G = \mathbb{Q}_p$  for a prime  $p$ . We need to prove that  $A \times B$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -set in  $X \times Y$ . As in the preceding case, this reduces to showing that for every  $k \leq n + m$  and open sets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$ ; the homology group  $H_k(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B; \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is trivial. By the Universal Coefficient Formula (UCF), this group is isomorphic to the direct sum of the groups  $H_k(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $H_{k-1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B) *_G \mathbb{Q}_p$ . So it suffices to prove the triviality of these two groups.

The triviality of the group  $H_{k-1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B) *_G \mathbb{Q}_p$  will follow as soon as we prove that the group  $H_{k-1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B)$  has no  $p$ -torsion. Assuming the converse and using the Künneth formula, we conclude that either the group

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=k-1} H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_j(V, V \setminus B)$$

or the group

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=k-2} H_i(U, U \setminus A) *_G H_j(V, V \setminus B)$$

contains an element of order  $p$ .

In the latter case, there are  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i + j = k - 2$  such that  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) *_G H_j(V, V \setminus B)$  contains an element of order  $p$ . By

Lemma 5.2, the groups  $H_i(U, U \setminus A)$  and  $H_j(V, V \setminus B)$  contain elements of order  $p$  and then  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) * \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $H_j(U, U \setminus B) * \mathbb{Q}_p$  are not trivial, so neither are the homology groups  $H_{i+1}(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $H_{j+1}(V, V \setminus B; \mathbb{Q}_p)$  by the UCF. Since  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and  $B$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$ , the non-triviality of the two latter homology groups implies that  $i \geq n$  and  $j \geq m$  and hence,  $k - 2 = i + j \geq n + m \geq k$ , which is a contradiction.

Next, we consider the case when, for some  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i + j = k - 1$ , the group  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_j(V, V \setminus B)$  contains an element of order  $p$ . To simplify notation, let  $H_i = H_i(U, U \setminus A)$  and  $H_j = H_j(V, V \setminus B)$ . Since  $H_i \otimes H_j$  contains an element of order  $p$ , we can apply Lemma 5.2(3) to conclude that either  $H_i$  or  $H_j$  contains an element of order  $p$ . Without loss of generality,  $H_i$  contains an element of order  $p$ . It follows from the UCF and the fact that  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  that  $i \geq n$ . Then  $j = k - 1 - i \leq n + m - 1 - i < m$ . Since  $B$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$ , the UCF implies that  $H_j = H_j(V, V \setminus B)$  has no  $p$ -torsion. Taking into account that  $H_i$  has  $p$ -torsion and  $H_i \otimes H_j$  contains an element of order  $p$ , we can apply Lemma 5.2(3) to conclude that  $H_j = H_j/p\text{-Tor}(H_j)$  is not divisible by  $p$  and hence  $H_j(V, V \setminus B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = H_j \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$  by Lemma 5.2. The UCF implies now that  $H_j(V, V \setminus B; \mathbb{Q}_p) \neq 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $B$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_m$ -set (because  $j \leq m$ ). This completes the proof of the triviality of the group  $H_{k-1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B) * \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Next, we check the triviality of the group

$$H_k(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

By the Künneth formula, the group  $H_k(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of the groups

$$\oplus_{i+j=k} H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_j(V, V \setminus B)$$

and

$$\oplus_{i+j=k-1} H_i(U, U \setminus A) * H_j(V, V \setminus B).$$

The second sum is a torsion group and hence, its tensor product with  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is trivial. So, it suffices to prove that

$$(H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_j(V, V \setminus B)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$$

for any  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i + j = k$ . Since  $k \leq n + m$ , either  $i \leq n$  or  $j \leq m$ . If  $i \leq n$ , we can use the fact that  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  to conclude that the homology group  $H_i(U, U \setminus A; \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is trivial and so is the tensor product  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  according

to the UCF. The associativity of the tensor product implies that  $(H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_j(V, V \setminus B)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  is trivial as well. If  $j \leq m$ , then the triviality of the above tensor product follows from the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_m$ -set property of  $B$ .

(2) Let  $A$  be a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  and let  $B$  be a homotopical  $Z_m$ -set in  $Y$ . It will be convenient to uniformize the notations and put  $X_0 = X$ ,  $X_1 = Y$ ,  $A_0 = A$ ,  $A_1 = B$ ,  $k_0 = n$ ,  $k_1 = m$ , and  $k = k_0 + k_1 + 1$ . So we need to prove that the product  $A_0 \times A_1$  is a homotopical  $Z_k$ -set in  $X_0 \times X_1$  provided  $A_i$  is a homotopical  $Z_{k_i}$ -set in  $X_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X_0 \times X_1$  and  $f = (f_0, f_1) : I^k \rightarrow X_0 \times X_1$  be a map of the  $k$ -dimensional cube. Then the sets  $f_i(I^k) \subset X_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , are compact and so is their product. A standard compactness argument yields finite covers  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of  $f_i(I^k)$  by open subsets of  $X_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that for any sets  $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$  and  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ , the product  $U_0 \times U_1$  lies in some set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

By Lemma 1.1, each space  $X_i$  has a continuous pseudometric  $\rho_i$  such that any 1-ball  $B(x, 1) = \{x' \in X_i : \rho_i(x, x') < 1\}$  centered at a point  $x \in f_i(I^k)$  lies in some set  $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$ . Then the pseudometric  $\rho = \max\{\rho_0, \rho_1\}$ , i.e.,

$$\rho((x_0, x_1), (x'_0, x'_1)) = \max\{\rho_0(x_0, x'_0), \rho_1(x_1, x'_1)\}$$

on  $X_0 \times X_1$  has a similar feature: any 1-ball  $B(a, 1)$  centered at a point  $a \in f_0(I^k) \times f_1(I^k)$  lies in some set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $T$  be a triangulation of  $I^k$  so fine that the image  $f(\sigma)$  of any simplex  $\sigma \in T$  has  $\rho$ -diameter  $< 1/3$ . Let  $K_0$  be the  $k_0$ -dimensional skeleton of the triangulation  $T$  and  $K_1$  be the dual skeleton consisting of all simplexes of the barycentric subdivision of  $T$  that do not meet the skeleton  $K_0$ . It is well known (and easy to see) that  $K_1$  has dimension  $k_1 = k - k_0 - 1$  and each point  $z \in I^k$  lying in a simplex  $\sigma \in T$  can be written as  $z = (1 - \lambda(z))z_0 + \lambda(z)z_1$  for some points  $z_i \in K_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and some real number  $\lambda(z) \in [0, 1]$ . This number is uniquely determined by the point  $z$  and is equal to zero if and only if  $z \in K_0$  and equal to 1 if and only if  $z \in K_1$ . Moreover, the point  $z_i$  is uniquely determined by  $z$  if and only if  $z \notin K_{1-i}$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . This means that the cube  $I^k$  has the structure of a subset of the join  $K_0 * K_1$ . This structure allows us to write the cube  $I^k$  as  $I^k = K_{\leq 1/2} \cup K_{\geq 1/2}$ , where  $K_{\leq 1/2} = \{z \in I^k : \lambda(z) \leq 1/2\}$  and  $K_{\geq 1/2} = \{z \in I^k : \lambda(z) \geq 1/2\}$ .

Let  $\ell : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the piecewise linear map determined by the conditions  $\ell(0) = 0 = \ell(1/2)$  and  $\ell(1) = 1$ . Combined with the joint structure  $K_0 * K_1$ , the map  $\ell$  induces two piecewise-linear maps  $h_i : I^k \rightarrow I^k$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , assigning to each point  $z = \lambda_0 z_0 + \lambda_1 z_1$  with  $z_i \in K_i$ ,  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 = 1$  the point  $h_i(z) = \lambda'_0 z_0 + \lambda'_1 z_1$  where  $\lambda'_i = \ell(\lambda_i)$  and  $\lambda'_{1-i} = 1 - \lambda'_i$ . The crucial property of the maps  $h_i$  is that  $h_0(K_{\leq 1/2}) \subset K_0$ ,  $h_1(K_{\geq 1/2}) \subset K_1$  and both  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  are  $\mathcal{S}$ -homotopic to the identity map of  $I^k$  with respect to the cover  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $I^k$  by maximal simplexes of the triangulation  $T$ .

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the homotopical  $Z_{k_i}$ -set  $A_i \subset X_i$ , find a map  $g_i : K_i \rightarrow X_i \setminus A_i$ ,  $1/6$ -homotopic to the map  $f_i|_{K_i} : K_i \rightarrow X_i$  with respect to the pseudometric  $\rho_i$ . Since  $K_i$  is a subcomplex of  $I^k$ , we may apply Borsuk's homotopy extension theorem (see [33, Ch. 1, Exercise D.2]) and extend the map  $g_i : K_i \rightarrow X_i$  to a map  $\bar{g}_i : I^k \rightarrow X_i$ ,  $1/6$ -homotopic to  $f_i$ . Finally, consider the map  $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{f}_1) : I^k \rightarrow X_0 \times X_1$ , where  $\tilde{f}_i = \bar{g}_i \circ h_i$ . We claim that  $\tilde{f}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic to  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}(I^k) \cap (A_0 \times A_1) = \emptyset$ .

The  $\mathcal{S}$ -homotopy of the maps  $h_i$  to the identity implies the  $\bar{g}_i(\mathcal{S})$ -homotopy of  $\bar{g}_i \circ h_i$  to  $\bar{g}_i$ . Now observe that for each simplex  $\sigma$  of the triangulation  $T$ , we get

$$\text{diam}(\bar{g}_i(\sigma)) \leq \text{diam}(f_i(\sigma)) + 2\text{dist}(f_i, \bar{g}_i) < \frac{1}{3} + 2\frac{1}{6} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Consequently,  $\tilde{f}_i$  is  $2/3$ -homotopic to  $\bar{g}_i$ . Since  $\bar{g}_i$  is  $1/6$ -homotopic to  $f_i$ , we get that  $\tilde{f}_i$  is  $1$ -homotopic to  $f_i$  and consequently,  $\tilde{f}$  is  $1$ -homotopic to  $f$ . Now the choice of the pseudometric  $\rho$  implies that  $\tilde{f}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic to  $f$ .

So it remains to prove that  $\tilde{f}(z) \notin A_1 \times A_2$  for every point  $z \in I^k$ . Indeed, if  $z \in K_{\leq 1/2}$ , then  $h_0(z) \in K_0$  and  $\tilde{f}_0(z) = \bar{g}_0 \circ h_0(z) \in \bar{g}_0(K_0) \subset X_0 \setminus A_0$ . A similar argument yields  $\tilde{f}_1(z) \notin A_1$ , provided  $z \in K_{\geq 1/2}$ .  $\square$

## 7. FUNCTIONS $z(A, X)$ AND $z^G(A, X)$

It will be convenient to write Theorem 6.1 in terms of the functions

$$z(A, X) = \sup\{n \in \omega : A \text{ is a homotopical } Z_n\text{-set in } X\} \text{ and} \\ z^G(A, X) = \sup\{n \in \omega : A \text{ is a } G\text{-homological } Z_n\text{-set in } X\}$$

defined for a closed subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  and a coefficient group  $G$ . In this definition, we put  $\sup \emptyset = -1$ . So  $z^G(A, X) = -1$  if and

only if  $A$  is not a  $G$ -homotopical  $Z_0$ -set in  $X$ . For a point  $x \in X$  of a topological space  $X$ , we write  $z^G(x, X)$  instead of  $z^G(\{x\}, X)$ .

Rewriting theorems 3.2, 3.3, 4.3, 5.5, and 5.6 in the terms of the functions  $z(A, X)$  and  $z^G(A, X)$ , we obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subset of a topological space  $X$ , and  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1)  $z(A, X) \leq z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, X) \leq z^G(A, X) = \min_{H \in \sigma(G)} z^H(A, X)$ ;
- (2)  $z(A, X) = z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, X)$  if  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^1$ -space and  $z(A, X) \geq 2$ ;
- (3)  $z^G(A, X) + \text{trt}(A) \geq \min_{a \in A} z^G(a, X)$ ;
- (4)  $\min\{z^{\mathbb{Q}}(A, X), z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A, X) - 1\} \leq z^{R_p}(A, X)$  and  $z^{R_p}(A, X) \leq \min\{z^{\mathbb{Q}}(A, X), z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, X)\}$ ;
- (5)  $z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, X) \leq z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A, X) \leq z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, X) + 1$ .

Also, Theorem 6.1 can be rewritten in the form of multiplication formulas.

**Theorem 7.2** (Multiplication Formulas). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be closed subsets in Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , and let  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1)  $z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \geq z^G(A, X) + z^G(B, Y)$ ;
- (2)  $z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \geq z^G(A, X) + z^G(B, Y) + 1$  if  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ ;
- (3)  $z(A \times B, X \times Y) \geq z(A, X) + z(B, Y) + 1$ .

## 8. DIVISION AND $k$ -ROOT FORMULAS FOR HOMOLOGICAL $Z_n$ -SETS

It turns out that inequalities in Theorem 7.2 can be partly reversed, which leads to so-called division and  $k$ -root formulas. We start with division formulas for Bockstein coefficient groups.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $A \subset X$ ,  $B \subset Y$ , and  $C \subset Z$  be closed subsets in topological spaces  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$ , and let  $p$  be a prime number. Then*

- (1)  $z^F(A \times B, X \times Y) = z^F(A, X) + z^F(B, X) + 1$  for a field  $F$ ;
- (2)  $z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A, X) + z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y) + 2$ ;
- (3)  $z^{R_p}(A, X) + 1 \geq \min\{z^{R_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) - z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y), z^{R_p}(A \times C, X \times Z) - z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C, Z)\}$  if  $\max\{z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y), z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C, Z)\} < \infty$ .

*Proof:* (1) Let  $F$  be a field. By Theorem 6.1(1),  $z^F(A \times B, X \times Y) \geq z^F(A, X) + z^F(B, Y) + 1$ . So it remains to prove the reverse inequality, which is trivial if one of the numbers  $n = z^F(A, X)$  or  $m = z^F(B, Y)$  is infinite. So assume that  $n, m < \infty$  and find open sets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  such that the homology groups  $H_{n+1}(U, U \setminus A; F)$  and  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus B; F)$  are not trivial. Their tensor product  $H_{n+1}(U, U \setminus A; F) \otimes_F H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus B; F)$  over the field  $F$  is not trivial as well. Now the Künneth formula implies that the group  $H_{n+m+2}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B; F)$  is not trivial, which means that  $A \times B$  is not an  $F$ -homological  $Z_{n+m+2}$ -set in  $X \times Y$  and hence,  $z^F(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq n + m + 1 = z^F(A, X) + z^F(B, Y) + 1$ .

(2) follows from (1) and Theorem 7.1(5):

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) &\leq z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) + 1 = \\ &z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, X) + z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y) + 2 \leq z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A, X) + z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let  $n = z^{R_p}(A, X)$  and find an open set  $U \subset X$  and  $i \leq n+1$  with  $H_i(U, U \setminus A; R_p) \neq 0$ . The Universal Coefficient Formula (UCF) yields  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes R_p \neq 0$ . By Lemma 5.2, the group  $H_i(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of infinite order or of order  $p$ .

In the first case, use the fact that  $B$  is not a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological  $Z_{m+1}$ -set in  $Y$  for  $m = z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y) < \infty$  to find an open set  $V \subset Y$  with  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus B; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ . By the UCF,  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$  and hence,  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus B)$  contains an element of infinite order and so does the tensor product

$$H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus B),$$

which lies in the homology group  $H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B)$  according to the Künneth formula. Then the tensor product

$$H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B) \otimes R_p = H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times B; R_p)$$

is not trivial. This means that  $A \times B$  fails to be an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{i+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Y$  and thus  $z^{R_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq i+m \leq 1+n+m$ . Consequently,  $z^{R_p}(A, X) + 1 = n + 1 \geq z^{R_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) - z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y)$ .

Next, assume that  $H_i(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of order  $p$  and use the fact that the set  $C$  fails to be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -homological  $Z_{m+1}$ -set in  $Z$  for the number  $m = z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C, Z) < \infty$  to find an open set  $V \subset Z$  with  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus C; \mathbb{Q}_p) \neq 0$ . Then either  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus C) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$  or  $H_m(V, V \setminus C) * \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$ . In the latter case,  $H_m(V, V \setminus C)$

contains an element of order  $p$  and so does the torsion product  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) * H_m(V, V \setminus C)$  which lies in  $H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times C)$  by the Künneth formula. Applying Lemma 5.2, we see that

$$H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times C) \otimes R_p = H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times C; R_p)$$

is not trivial. This means that  $A \times C$  is not an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{i+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Z$ .

Finally, assume that  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus C) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq 0$ . By Lemma 5.2, the group  $H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus C)/p\text{-Tor}(H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus C))$  is not divisible by  $p$  and hence, the tensor product  $H_i(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_{m+1}(V, V \setminus C)$  contains an element of order  $p$ . By the Künneth formula, the latter tensor product lies in  $H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times C)$ . Applying Lemma 5.2 again, we obtain that the tensor product

$$H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \times C) \otimes R_p = H_{i+m+1}(U \times V, U \times V \setminus A \otimes C; R_p)$$

is not trivial. In both cases,  $A \times C$  is not an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{i+m+1}$ -set in  $X \times Z$  and hence,  $z^{R_p}(A \times C, X \times Z) \leq i + m \leq n + 1 + z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C, Z)$ . Then  $z^{R_p}(A, X) + 1 = n + 1 \geq z^{R_p}(A \times C, X \times Z) - z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C, Z)$ .  $\square$

Now we can establish division formulas in the general case. First, to each coefficient group  $G$ , assign two families  $d(G), \varphi(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  as follows. Put

- $d(\mathbb{Q}) = \varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$ ,
- $d(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \varphi(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{\mathbb{Z}_p\}$ ,
- $d(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \varphi(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{\mathbb{Z}_p\}$ ,
- $d(R_p) = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p\}$ ,  $\varphi(R_p) = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p\}$

and let

$$d(G) = \bigcup_{H \in \sigma(G)} d(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(G) = \bigcup_{H \in \sigma(G)} \varphi(H).$$

In particular,  $d(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  is the family of divisible Bockstein groups and  $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  is the family of Bockstein fields.

**Theorem 8.2** (Division Formulas). *Let  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$  be closed subsets in topological spaces and let  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1)  $z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq 2 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{F \in \varphi(G)} z^F(B, Y)$ ;
- (2)  $z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq 1 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{H \in d(G)} z^H(B, Y)$   
provided  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ .

*Proof:* (1) The inequality  $z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq 2 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{F \in \varphi(G)} z^F(B, Y)$  is trivial if  $n = z^G(A, X)$  is infinite. So assume that  $n$  is finite and using Theorem 7.1(1), find a Bockstein group  $D \in \sigma(G)$  with  $z^D(A, X) = n$ . Theorem 5.5 implies that  $z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq z^D(A \times B, X \times Y)$ . If  $D$  is a field, then  $\{D\} = \varphi(D) \subset \varphi(G)$  and, by Lemma 8.1,

$$\begin{aligned} z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) &\leq z^D(A \times B, X \times Y) = \\ &1 + z^D(A, X) + z^D(B, Y) \leq 1 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{H \in \varphi(G)} z^H(B, Y). \end{aligned}$$

If  $D = R_p$  for some  $p$ , then  $\varphi(R_p) = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p\} \subset \varphi(G)$  and by Lemma 8.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) &\leq z^{R_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) \\ &\leq 1 + z^{R_p}(A, X) + \max\{z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y), z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y)\} \\ &\leq 1 + z^{R_p}(A, X) + \max\{z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y), z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y) + 1\} \\ &\leq 2 + z^D(A, X) + \max\{z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y), z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y)\} \\ &\leq 2 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{F \in \varphi(G)} z^F(B, Y). \end{aligned}$$

If  $D = \mathbb{Q}_p$  for some  $p$ , then, according to Lemma 8.1(2),

$$\begin{aligned} z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) &\leq z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq \\ &2 + z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A, X) + z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B, Y) \leq 2 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{F \in \varphi(G)} z^F(B, Y). \end{aligned}$$

(2) If  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then the last case in the preceding item is excluded and we can repeat the preceding argument to prove the inequality

$$z^G(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq 1 + z^G(A, X) + \sup_{H \in d(G)} z^H(B, Y). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$  be closed nowhere dense subsets in Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then*

- (1)  $1 + z(A, X) + z(B, Y) \leq z(A \times B, X \times Y) \leq z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A \times B, X \times Y)$ ;
- (2)  $z(A \times B, X \times Y) = z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A \times B, X \times Y)$  provided  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $LC^1$ -spaces.

*Proof:* (1) follows from Theorem 6.1(2) and Theorem 7.1(1).



(2) Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\text{LC}^1$ -spaces and consider three cases.

Case (i):  $z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, X) = z^{\mathbb{Z}}(B, X) = 0$ . In this case,  $z(A, X) = z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, X) = z^{\mathbb{Q}}(A, X)$  and  $z(B, Y) = z^{\mathbb{Z}}(B, Y) = z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y)$ . So by Lemma 8.1(1) and Theorem 6.1(2),

$$\begin{aligned} z(A, X) + z(B, X) + 1 &= z^{\mathbb{Q}}(A, X) + z^{\mathbb{Q}}(B, Y) + 1 = \\ z^{\mathbb{Q}}(A \times B, X \times Y) &\geq z(A \times B, X \times Y) \geq z(A, X) + z(B, Y) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii):  $z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, X) > 0$ . In this case,  $A$  is a homological  $Z_1$ -set in  $X$  and a homotopical  $Z_1$ -set in the  $\text{LC}^1$ -space  $X$ , by Theorem 3.2(6) and (3). Set  $B$ , being nowhere dense in the  $\text{LC}^1$ -space  $Y$ , is a homotopical  $Z_0$ -set in  $Y$ . Then  $A \times B$ , being the product of a homotopical  $Z_1$ -set and a homotopical  $Z_0$ -set, is a homotopical  $Z_2$ -set in  $X \times Y$  by Theorem 6.1(2). By Theorem 3.3,  $A \times B$  is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -set in  $X \times Y$  if and only if it is a homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X \times Y$ , which implies the desired equality  $z(A \times B, X \times Y) = z^{\mathbb{Z}}(A \times B, X \times Y)$ .

Case (iii):  $z^{\mathbb{Z}}(B, Y) > 0$  can be considered by analogy.  $\square$

Theorem 8.3 implies the following.

**Corollary 8.4.** *A subset  $A$  of a Tychonoff  $\text{LC}^1$ -space  $X$  is a homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $A \times \{0\}$  is a homotopical  $Z_{n+1}$ -set in  $X \times [-1, 1]$ .*

Next we turn to the  $k$ -root theorem.

**Theorem 8.5** ( $k$ -Root Theorem). *Let  $A$  be a closed subset in a topological space  $X$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1)  $k \cdot z^G(A, X) \leq z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq k \cdot z^G(A, X) + 2k - 2$ ;
- (2)  $k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k - 1 \leq z^G(A^k, X^k)$  provided  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ ;
- (3)  $z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k$ , provided  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ ;
- (4)  $z^G(A^k, X^k) = k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k - 1$ , provided  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ .

*Proof:* The items of this theorem will be proved in the following order: (2), (4), (3), (1). There is nothing to prove if  $k = 1$ . So we assume that  $k \geq 2$ .

(2) follows by induction from Theorem 7.2(2).

(4) Assume that  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ . By Theorem 7.1(1), there is a Bockstein group  $F \in \sigma(G)$  with  $z^F(A, X) = z^G(A, X)$ . Since  $F$  is a field, we may apply Lemma 8.1(1)  $k-1$  times and get the equality  $z^F(A^k, X^k) = k \cdot z^F(A, X) + k - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k - 1 &= k \cdot z^F(A, X) + k - 1 = \\ &z^F(A^k, X^k) \geq z^G(A^k, X^k) \geq k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k - 1, \end{aligned}$$

(the last inequality follows from the preceding item) which yields the equality from the fourth item of the theorem.

(3) Assume that  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  and, using Theorem 7.1(1), find a Bockstein group  $H \in \sigma(G)$  with  $z^G(A, X) = z^H(A, X)$ . For this group, we also get  $z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq z^H(A^k, X^k)$  according to Theorem 7.1(1). If  $H \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then

$$z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq z^H(A^k, X^k) = k \cdot z^H(A, X) + k - 1 = k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k - 1$$

by the preceding case.

So it remains to consider the case  $H = \mathbb{Q}_p$  for a prime  $p$ . Applying Theorem 7.1(5) and the second item of this theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} z^G(A^k, X^k) &\leq z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A^k, X^k) \leq z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A^k, X^k) + 1 = \\ &k \cdot z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, X) + k \leq k \cdot z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A, X) + k = k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k. \end{aligned}$$

(1) The inequality  $k \cdot z^G(A, X) \leq z^G(A^k, X^k)$  follows by induction from Theorem 7.2(1). To prove the inequality  $z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq k \cdot z^G(A, X) + 2k - 2$ , apply Theorem 7.1(1) to find a group  $H \in \sigma(G)$  such that  $z^H(A, X) = z^G(A, X)$ . If  $H \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} z^G(A^k, X^k) &\leq z^H(A^k, X^k) \leq k \cdot z^H(A, X) + k = \\ &k \cdot z^G(A, X) + k \leq z^G(A, X) + 2k - 2. \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to consider the case of  $H = R_p$  for a prime  $p$  and prove that  $z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq k \cdot z^G(A, X) + 2k - 2$ . Assuming the converse, we conclude that  $A^k$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{kn+k-1}$ -set in  $X^k$  for  $n = z^G(A, X) + 1 = z^{R_p}(A, X) + 1$ . It follows from the definition of  $z^{R_p}(A, X) = n - 1$  that the homology group  $H_n(U, U \setminus A; R_p) = H_n(U, U \setminus A) \otimes R_p$  is not trivial for some open set  $U \subset X$ . Applying

Lemma 5.2, we get that  $H_n(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element  $a$  that has either infinite order or order  $p$ .

If  $a$  has infinite order, then the tensor product  $H_n(U, U \setminus A) \otimes H_n(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of infinite order and so does the group  $H_{2n}(U^2, U^2 \setminus A^2)$  according to the Künneth formula. Now, we can show by induction that the group  $H_{kn}(U^k, U^k \setminus A^k)$  contains an element of infinite order, which implies that  $H_{kn}(U^k, U^k \setminus A^k) \otimes R_p = H_{kn}(U^k, U^k \setminus A^k; R_p) \neq 0$  and hence,  $A^k$  fails to be an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{kn}$ -set in  $X^k$ . Then we get a contradiction:

$$z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq z^{R_p}(A^k, X^k) < kn \leq kn + k - 1 \leq z^G(A^k, X^k).$$

If  $a$  is of order  $p$ , then the torsion product  $H_n(U, U \setminus A) * H_n(U, U \setminus A)$  contains an element of order  $p$  and, according to the Künneth formula, so does the group  $H_{2n+1}(U^2, U^2 \setminus A^2)$ . By induction, we can show that the homology group  $H_{i(n+1)-1}(U^i, U^i \setminus A^i)$  contains an element of order  $p$ . For  $i = k$ , the group  $H_{kn+k-1}(U^k, U^k \setminus A^k)$  contains an element of order  $p$  and hence,  $H_{kn+k-1}(U^k, U^k \setminus A^k) \otimes R_p = H_{kn+k-1}(U^k, U^k \setminus A^k; R_p)$  is not trivial by Lemma 5.2. This means that  $A^k$  is not an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{kn+k-1}$ -set in  $X$  and thus,

$$z^G(A^k, X^k) \leq z^{R_p}(A^k, X^k) \leq kn + k - 2 < z^G(A^k, X^k),$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 9. $Z_n$ -POINTS

A point  $x$  of a space  $X$  is defined to be a  $Z_n$ -point if its singleton  $\{x\}$  is a  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ . By analogy we define homotopical and  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points. It is clear that all results proved in the preceding sections for  $Z_n$ -sets concern also  $Z_n$ -points. For  $Z_n$ -points there are, however, some simplifications. In particular, the excision property for singular homology theory (see in [33, Ch. 4, Sec. 6, Theorem 4]) allows us to characterize homological  $Z_n$ -points as follows.

**Proposition 9.1.** *For a point  $x$  of a regular topological space  $X$  and a coefficient group  $G$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $x$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -point in  $X$ ;
- (2)  $H_k(X, X \setminus \{x\}; G) = 0$  for all  $k < n + 1$ ;
- (3) there is an open neighborhood  $U \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $H_k(U, U \setminus \{x\}; G) = 0$  for all  $k < n + 1$ .

In this section, given a topological space  $X$  and a coefficient group  $G$  we shall study the Borel complexity of the sets

- $\mathcal{Z}_n(X)$  of all homotopical  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$ , and
- $\mathcal{Z}_n^G(X)$  of all  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$ .

**Theorem 9.2.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable separable space and  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1) *the set of  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$ ;*
- (2) *the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n(X)$  of homotopical  $Z_n$ -points is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  provided  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^n$ -space;*
- (3) *the set of  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  if  $|H_k(U; G)| \leq \aleph_0$  for all open sets  $U \subset X$  and all  $k < n + 1$ ;*
- (4) *the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G(X)$  of  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  provided  $X$  is an  $lc^n$ -space;*
- (5) *the set of homotopical  $Z_n$ -points is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  if  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^2$ -space and  $|H_k(U)| \leq \aleph_0$  for all open subsets  $U \subset X$  and all  $k < n + 1$ .*

*Proof:* (1) Let  $\rho$  be a metric on  $X$  generating the topology of  $X$ . Since the space  $X$  is metrizable and separable, so is the function space  $C(I^n, X)$  endowed with the sup-metric

$$\tilde{\rho}(f, g) = \sup_{z \in I^n} \rho(f(z), g(z)).$$

So, we may fix a countable dense set  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $C(I^n, X)$ . Observe that a closed subset  $A \subset X$  fails to be a  $Z_n$ -set if and only if there are a function  $f \in C(I^k, X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each function  $g \in C(I^n, X)$  with  $\tilde{\rho}(f, g) < \varepsilon$  the image  $g(I^n)$  meets the set  $A$ . The density of  $\{f_i\}$  implies that  $\tilde{\rho}(f, f_i) < \varepsilon/2$  for some  $i \in \omega$ . Consequently,  $g(I^k) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for all  $g \in C(I^n, X)$  with  $\tilde{\rho}(g, f_i) < \varepsilon/2$ .

Now for each  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the set

$$F_{i,k} = \{x \in X : \forall g \in C(I^n, X) \quad \tilde{\rho}(g, f_i) < 1/k \Rightarrow x \in g(I^n)\}.$$

It is easy to see that the set  $F_{i,k}$  is closed in  $X$ . Moreover, the preceding discussion implies that  $F = \bigcup_{i,k=1}^\infty F_{i,k}$  is the set of all points of  $X$  that fail to be  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$ . Then its complement is a  $G_\delta$ -set coinciding with the set of all  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$ .

(2) If  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^n$ -space, then each  $Z_n$ -point is a homotopical  $Z_n$ -point in  $X$  and consequently, the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n(X)$  of homotopical  $Z_n$ -points coincides with the  $G_\delta$ -set  $X \setminus F$  of all  $Z_n$ -points.

(3) Assume that the homology groups  $H_k(U; G)$  are countable for all  $k < n + 1$  and all open sets  $U \subset X$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{U_i : i \in \omega\}$  be a countable base of the topology for  $X$  with  $U_0 = \emptyset$ . For every  $i \in \omega$  and  $k < n + 1$ , use the countability of the groups  $H_k(X \setminus \bar{U}_i; G)$  to find a countable sequence  $(\alpha_{i,j})_{j \in \omega}$  of cycles in  $X \setminus \bar{U}_i$  whose representatives  $[\alpha_{i,j}]$  exhaust all non-zero elements of the groups  $H_k(X \setminus \bar{U}_i; G)$ ,  $k < n + 1$ .

For every  $j \in \omega$ , consider the open set  $W_{0,j} = \{x \in X : \alpha_{0,j} \text{ is homologous to some cycle in } X \setminus \{x\}\}$ . Also for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \in \omega$ , consider the open set  $W_{i,j} = \{x \in U_i : \text{if } \alpha_{i,j} \text{ is null-homological in } X, \text{ then it is null-homological in } X \setminus \{x\}\}$ . It remains to prove that the  $G_\delta$ -set  $Z = \bigcap_{i,j \in \omega} W_{i,j}$  coincides with the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G(X)$  of all  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$ . It is clear that  $Z$  contains all  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points of  $X$ . Now take any point  $x \in Z$ . Assuming that  $x$  is not a  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -point, find  $k < n + 1$  such that  $H_k(X, X \setminus \{x\}; G) \neq 0$ . The exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(X \setminus \{x\}; G) \rightarrow H_k(X; G) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \{x\}; G) \rightarrow \\ H_{k-1}(X \setminus \{x\}; G) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(X; G) \end{aligned}$$

of the pair  $(X, X \setminus \{x\})$  now implies that for  $m = k$  or  $m = k - 1$  the inclusion homomorphism  $i : H_m(X \setminus \{x\}; G) \rightarrow H_m(X; G)$  fails to be an isomorphism.

If  $i$  is not onto, then, for some  $j \in \omega$ , the element  $\alpha_{0,j}$  is homologous to no cycle in  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . This means that  $x \notin W_{0,j}$  and thus,  $x \notin Z$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $i$  is not injective, then there is a  $k$ -cycle  $\alpha$  in  $X \setminus \{x\}$  which is homologous to zero in  $X$  but not in  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . Since  $\alpha$  has compact support, there is a basic neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $x$  such that  $\alpha$  is supported by the set  $X \setminus \bar{U}_i$ . Find  $j \in \omega$  such that the cycle  $\alpha_{i,j}$  is homologous to  $\alpha$  in  $X \setminus \bar{U}_i$ . It follows that  $\alpha_{i,j}$  is homologous to zero in  $X$  but not in  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . Then  $x \notin W_{i,j}$  and hence  $x \notin Z$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the third item.

(4) Assuming that  $X$  is an  $lc^n$ -space, we shall show that the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G(X)$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G(X) = \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} \mathcal{Z}_n^H(X)$ , it suffices to check that  $\mathcal{Z}_n^H(X)$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  for every countable group  $H$ . This will follow from the preceding item as soon as we show that for every open set  $U \subset X$ , the homology groups  $H_i(U; H)$ ,  $i \leq n$ , are at most countable. In its turn, this will follow

from the Universal Coefficient Formula as soon as we check that the homology groups  $H_i(U)$ ,  $i \leq n$ , are at most countable.

Fix a countable family  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact polyhedra containing a topological copy of each compact polyhedron. For each polyhedron  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , fix a countable dense set  $\mathcal{F}_K$  in the function space  $C(K, U)$ . Note that for each polyhedron  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , the homology group  $H_*(K)$  is finitely generated and hence at most countable.

For every homology element  $\alpha \in H_i(U)$  with  $i \leq n$ , there is a continuous map  $f : K \rightarrow U$  of a compact polyhedron  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\alpha \in f_*(H_i(K))$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 1.3, we can assume that  $f \in \mathcal{F}_K$ . Then the homology group

$$H_i(U) = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_K} f_*(H_i(K))$$

is countable, being the countable union of finitely generated groups.

(5) Follows from items (2) and (4) and the characterization of homotopical  $Z_n$ -sets given by Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

#### 10. ON SPACES ALL OF WHOSE POINTS ARE $Z_n$ -POINTS

In this section, we introduce three classes of Tychonoff spaces related to  $Z_n$ -points:

- $\mathcal{Z}_n$  the class of spaces  $X$  with  $X = \mathcal{Z}_n(X)$ ,
- $\mathcal{Z}_n^G$  the class of spaces  $X$  with  $X = \mathcal{Z}_n^G(X)$ ,
- $\cup_G \mathcal{Z}_n^G$  the union of classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G$  over all coefficient groups.

For example,  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  belongs to all of these classes, while  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to none of them. The classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  play an important role in studying the general position properties from [9].

By  $\text{LC}^n$  ( $lc^n$ , respectively), we shall denote the class of metrizable  $\text{LC}^n$ -spaces ( $lc^n$ -spaces, respectively).

The following corollary describes the relation between the introduced classes and can be easily derived from theorems 3.2, 3.3, 5.5, and 5.6.

**Corollary 10.1.** *Let  $n \in \omega \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}_n \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^G = \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} \mathcal{Z}_n^H$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{Z}_0^G$ ;
- (3)  $\text{LC}^1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_1^G \subset \mathcal{Z}_1$ ;
- (4)  $\text{LC}^1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n$ ;

- (5)  $\cup_G \mathcal{Z}_n^G = \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Q}} \cup \bigcup_{p \in \Pi} \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ ;  
(6)  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} = \bigcap_{p \in \Pi} \mathcal{Z}_n^{R_p}$ ;  
(7)  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{R_p} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_p} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^{R_p}$  for every prime number  $p$ .

For a better visual presentation of our subsequent results, let us introduce the following operations on subclasses  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \text{Top}$  of the class  $\text{Top}$  of topological spaces:

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} = \{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{B}} = \{X \in \text{Top} : \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } X \times B \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}^k = \{A^k : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \text{ and } \sqrt[k]{\mathcal{A}} = \{A \in \text{Top} : A^k \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Theorem 7.2 implies the following three multiplication formulas for the classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G$ .

**Theorem 10.2** (Multiplication Formulas). *Let  $n, m \in \omega \cup \infty$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces.*

- (1) *If  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{Z}_m$ , then  $X \times Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}$ :*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_m \subset \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}.$$

- (2) *If  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^G$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{Z}_m^G$  for a coefficient group  $G$ , then  $X \times Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^G$ :*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_n^G \times \mathcal{Z}_m^G \subset \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^G.$$

- (3) *If  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^R$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{Z}_m^R$  for a coefficient group  $R$  with  $\sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then  $X \times Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}^R$ :*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_n^R \times \mathcal{Z}_m^R \subset \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}^R.$$

The multiplication formulas for the classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G$  can be reversed.

**Theorem 10.3** (Division Formulas). *Let  $n, m \in \omega \cup \infty$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces.*

- (1) *If  $X \times Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}^G$  for a coefficient group  $G$ , then either  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^G$  or  $Y \in \bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} \mathcal{Z}_m^F$ . This can be written as*

$$\boxed{\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}^G}{\text{Top} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n^G} \subset \bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} \mathcal{Z}_m^F.$$

- (2) If  $X \times Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^R$  for a coefficient group  $R$  with  $\sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then either  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^R$  or  $Y \in \bigcup_{H \in d(R)} \mathcal{Z}_m^H$ . This can be written as

$$\boxed{\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^R}{\text{Top} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n^R} \subset \bigcup_{H \in d(R)} \mathcal{Z}_m^H.}$$

*Proof:* (1) Assume that  $X \notin \mathcal{Z}_n^G$  and  $Y \notin \bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} \mathcal{Z}_m^F$ . Then there is a point  $x \in X$  with  $z^G(x, X) < n$ , and for every field  $F \in \varphi(G)$ , there is a point  $y_F \in Y$  with  $z^F(y_F, Y) < m$ . By Theorem 5.5,  $z^G(x, X) = z^H(x, X)$  for some group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ . If  $H$  is a field, then  $H \in \varphi(H) \subset \varphi(G)$ , and by Lemma 8.1,

$$\begin{aligned} z^H((x, y_H), X \times Y) &= z^H(x, X) + z^H(y_H, Y) + 1 \\ &\leq (n-1) + (m-1) + 1 = n+m-1, \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $(x, y_H)$  is not an  $H$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -set in  $X \times Y$  and thus,  $X \times Y \notin \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^H \supset \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^G$ .

If  $H = R_p$  for some  $p$ , then  $\{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p\} = d(R_p)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p \in \varphi(G)$ . By Lemma 8.1(3),

$$\begin{aligned} z^H(x, X) + 1 &\geq \\ &\min\{z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Q}}), X \times Y) - z^{\mathbb{Q}}(y_{\mathbb{Q}}, Y), \\ &\quad z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}), X \times Y) - z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, either

$$\begin{aligned} z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Q}}), X \times Y) &\leq 1 + z^H(x, X) + z^{\mathbb{Q}}(y_{\mathbb{Q}}, Y) \\ &\leq (n-1) + (m-1) + 1 \text{ or} \\ z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}), X \times Y) &\leq 1 + z^H(x, X) + z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, Y) \\ &\leq 1 + (n-1) + z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, Y) + 1 = n+m. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases,  $X \times Y \notin \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}^H$ . If  $H = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_p \in \varphi(G)$ . By Lemma 8.1,

$$\begin{aligned} z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}), X \times Y) &\leq z^H(x, X) + z^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, Y) + 2 \\ &\leq (n-1) + (m-1) + 2 = n+m, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $X \times Y \notin \mathcal{Z}_{n+m+1}^H$ .

- (2) Assume that  $X \notin \mathcal{Z}_n^R$  and  $Y \notin \bigcup_{F \in d(R)} \mathcal{Z}_m^F$  for a group  $R$  with  $\sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ . Then there is a point  $x \in X$  with  $z^R(x, X) < n$  and for every group  $H \in d(R)$  there is a point  $y_H \in Y$  with  $z^H(y_H, Y) < m$ . By Theorem 5.5,  $z^R(x, X) = z^H(x, X)$  for some group  $H \in \sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ . Theorem 5.5 implies that  $\mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^R \subset \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^H$ .



If  $H$  is a field, then repeating the reasoning from the preceding item, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} z^H((x, y_H), X \times Y) &= z^H(x, X) + z^H(y_H, Y) + 1 \\ &\leq (n-1) + (m-1) + 1 = n + m - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $X \times Y \notin \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^H$ .

If  $H = R_p$  for some  $p$ , then  $\{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p\} = d(R_p) \subset d(G)$ . By Lemma 8.1(3),

$$\begin{aligned} z^H(x, X) + 1 &\geq \\ &\min\{z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Q}}), X \times Y) - z^{\mathbb{Q}}(y_{\mathbb{Q}}, Y), \\ &\quad z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}), X \times Y) - z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(y_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, either

$$\begin{aligned} z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Q}}), X \times Y) &\leq 1 + z^H(x, X) + z^{\mathbb{Q}}(y_{\mathbb{Q}}, Y) \\ &\leq (n-1) + (m-1) + 1 = n + m - 1 \text{ or} \\ z^H((x, y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}), X \times Y) &\leq 1 + z^H(x, X) + z^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(y_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, Y) \\ &\leq 1 + (n-1) + (m-1) = n + m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases,  $X \times Y \notin \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^H \supset \mathcal{Z}_{n+m}^R$ .  $\square$

Finally, we prove  $k$ -root formulas for the classes  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G$ .

**Theorem 10.4** ( $k$ -Root Formulas). *Let  $n \in \omega \cup \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X$  be a topological space, and  $G$  be a coefficient group.*

(1) *If  $X^k \in \mathcal{Z}_{kn+k-1}^G$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^G$ :*

$$\boxed{\sqrt[k]{\mathcal{Z}_{nk+k-1}^G} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^G.}$$

(2) *If  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  and  $X^k \in \mathcal{Z}_{kn+1}^G$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^G$ :*

$$\boxed{\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\} \Rightarrow \sqrt[k]{\mathcal{Z}_{nk+1}^G} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n^G.}$$

(3) *If  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$  and  $X^k \in \mathcal{Z}_{kn}^G$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^G$ :*

$$\boxed{\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\} \Rightarrow \sqrt[k]{\mathcal{Z}_{nk}^G} = \mathcal{Z}_n^G.}$$

*Proof:* Assume that  $X \notin \mathcal{Z}_n^G$  and find a point  $x \in X$  with  $z^G(\{x\}, X) < n$ .

(1) Applying Theorem 8.5(1), we get  $z^G(\{x\}^k, X^k) < k \cdot z^G(x, X) + 2k - 1 \leq k(n-1) + 2k - 1 = kn + k - 1$  and hence  $X^k \notin \mathcal{Z}_{kn+k-1}^G$ .

(2) If  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then we can apply Theorem 8.5(3) to conclude that  $z^G(\{x\}^k, X^k) \leq k \cdot z^G(x, X) + k \leq k(n-1) + k = kn$  and  $X^k \notin \mathcal{Z}_{kn+1}^G$ .

(3) If  $\sigma(G) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then we can apply Theorem 8.5(4) to conclude that  $z^G(\{x\}^k, X^k) = k \cdot z^G(x, X) + k - 1 \leq k(n-1) + k - 1 = kn - 1$  and hence  $X^k \notin \mathcal{Z}_{kn}^G$ .  $\square$

### 11. ON SPACES CONTAINING A DENSE SET OF (HOMOLOGICAL) $Z_n$ -POINTS

In this section we consider classes of Tychonoff spaces containing dense sets of (homological)  $Z_n$ -points. More precisely, let

- $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n$  be the class of spaces  $X$  with dense set  $\mathcal{Z}_n(X)$  of homotopical  $Z_n$ -points in  $X$ ;
- $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^G$  be the class of spaces  $X$  with dense set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^G(X)$  of  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points;
- $\cup_G \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^G$  be the union of classes  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^G$  over all coefficient groups.

The classes  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n$  play an important role in [9], a paper devoted to general position properties.

It is clear that  $\mathcal{Z}_n \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n$ . On the other hand, a dendrite  $D$  with dense set of end-points belongs to  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\infty$  but not to  $\mathcal{Z}_1$ . By Br we shall denote the class of metrizable separable Baire spaces.

The following proposition describes the relation between the introduced classes.

**Proposition 11.1.** *Let  $n \in \omega \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $G$  be a coefficient group. Then*

- (1)  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^G \subset \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^H$ ;
- (2)  $\text{Br} \cap lc^n \cap \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^H \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^G$ ;
- (3)  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0 = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0^G$ ;
- (4)  $\text{LC}^1 \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_1^G \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_1$ ;
- (5)  $\text{LC}^1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2 \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n$ ;
- (6)  $\text{LC}^n \cap \text{Br} \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_2 \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n$ .

*Proof:* (1) Follows from Theorem 3.2(4), Proposition 5.3, and Theorem 5.5.

(2) If  $X \in \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n^H$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^n$ -space, then for every group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ , the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^H(X)$  of  $H$ -homological

$Z_n$ -points is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  according to Theorem 9.2(4). By Theorem 5.5, the intersection  $\bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} Z_n^H(X)$  coincides with  $Z_n^G(X)$  and is dense in  $X$ , being the countable intersection of dense  $G_\delta$ -sets in the Baire space  $X$ . Hence,  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^G$ .

(3)–(5) Follow immediately from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

(6) Assume that  $X \in \overline{Z}_2 \cap \overline{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $LC^m$ -space. By Theorem 9.2, the sets  $Z_2(X)$  and  $Z_n^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$  are dense  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is Baire, the intersection  $Z_2(X) \cap Z_n^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$  is dense  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . By Theorem 3.3, the latter intersection consists of homotopical  $Z_n$ -points. So  $X \in \overline{Z}_n$ .  $\square$

Multiplication formulas for the classes  $\overline{Z}_n$  and  $\overline{Z}_n^G$  follow immediately from Theorem 6.1 for homotopical and homological  $Z_n$ -sets.

**Theorem 11.2** (Multiplication Formulas). *Let  $n, m \in \omega \cup \infty$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces.*

(1) *If  $X \in \overline{Z}_n$  and  $Y \in \overline{Z}_m$ , then  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m+1}$ :*

$$\overline{Z}_n \times \overline{Z}_m \subset \overline{Z}_{n+m+1}.$$

(2) *If  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^G$  and  $Y \in \overline{Z}_m^G$  for a coefficient group  $G$ , then  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m}^G$ :*

$$\overline{Z}_n^G \times \overline{Z}_m^G \subset \overline{Z}_{n+m}^G.$$

(3) *If  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^R$  and  $Y \in \overline{Z}_m^R$  for a coefficient group  $R$  with  $\sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$ , then  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m+1}^R$ :*

$$\overline{Z}_n^R \times \overline{Z}_m^R \subset \overline{Z}_{n+m+1}^R.$$

Division and  $k$ -root formulas for the classes  $\overline{Z}_n^G$  look as follows. For a class  $C$  of topological spaces, we denote by  $\exists_o C$  the class of topological spaces  $X$  containing a non-empty open subspace  $U \in C$ .

**Theorem 11.3** (Division Formulas). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces.*

(1) *If  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m}^F$  for some field  $F$ , then either  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^F$  or  $Y \in \overline{Z}_m^F$ :*

$$\frac{\overline{Z}_{n+m}^F}{\text{Top} \setminus \overline{Z}_m^F} = \overline{Z}_n^F.$$

- (2) Assume that  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m}^R$  for a coefficient group  $R$  with  $\sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$  and either  $\sigma(R)$  is finite or  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^n$ -space. Then either  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^R$  or else some non-empty open set  $U \subset Y$  belongs to the class  $\bigcup_{H \in d(R)} \overline{Z}_m^H$ :

$$\frac{\overline{Z}_{n+m}^R}{\text{Br} \cap lc^n \setminus \overline{Z}_n^R} \subset \exists_o \bigcup_{H \in d(R)} \overline{Z}_m^H.$$

- (3) Assume that  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m+1}^G$  for a coefficient group  $G$  and either  $\sigma(G)$  is finite or  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^n$ -space. Then either  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^G$  or else some non-empty open set  $U \subset Y$  belongs to the class  $\bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} \overline{Z}_m^F$ :

$$\frac{\overline{Z}_{n+m+1}^G}{\text{Br} \cap lc^n \setminus \overline{Z}_n^G} \subset \exists_o \bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} \overline{Z}_m^F.$$

*Proof:* (1) Assume that  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m}^F$  for some field  $F$ , but  $X \notin \overline{Z}_n^F$  and  $Y \notin \overline{Z}_m^F$ . Let  $U$  be the interior of the set  $X \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n^F(X)$  and  $V$  be the interior of the set  $Y \setminus \mathcal{Z}_m^F(Y)$ . The product  $U \times V$  is a non-empty open subset of  $X \times Y$  and thus contains some  $F$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -point  $(x, y)$  in  $X \times Y$ . By Lemma 8.1(1), either  $x \in \mathcal{Z}_n^F(X)$  or  $y \in \mathcal{Z}_m^F(Y)$ . Both cases are not possible by the choice of  $U$  and  $V$ . This contradiction shows that  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^F$  or  $Y \in \overline{Z}_m^F$ .

(2) Assume that  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m}^R$  for a group  $R$  with  $\sigma(R) \subset \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p, R_p : p \in \Pi\}$  but  $X \notin \overline{Z}_n^R$ . The latter means that  $X$  contains a non-empty open set  $W \subset X$  disjoint with the set  $\mathcal{Z}_n^R(X)$  of  $R$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points of  $X$ . It is necessary to find an open set  $U \in \bigcup_{H \in d(R)} \overline{Z}_m^H$ . Assume conversely that no such set  $U$  exists. This means that for every  $H \in d(G)$ , the set  $\mathcal{Z}_m^H(Y)$  is nowhere dense in  $Y$ .

If  $\sigma(R)$  is finite, then so is the set  $d(R)$  and we can find a non-empty open set  $U \subset X$  disjoint with  $\bigcup_{H \in d(R)} \mathcal{Z}_m^H(Y)$ .

By Theorem 8.2(2), no point  $(x, y) \in W \times U$  is an  $R$ -homological  $Z_{n+m}$ -point in  $X \times Y$ . But this contradicts the density of the set  $Z_{n+m}^R(X \times Y)$  in  $X \times Y$ .

Next, we consider the case when  $\sigma(R)$  is infinite and  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^n$ -space. By Theorem 9.2, for every group  $H \in \sigma(R)$ , the set  $Z_n^H(X)$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$  and by Theorem 5.5,  $Z_n^G(X) = \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(R)} Z_n^H(X)$ . Assuming that  $Z_n^R(X)$  is not dense in the Baire space  $X$ , we conclude that for some group  $H \in \sigma(R)$ , the set  $Z_n^H(X)$  is not dense in  $X$ . Since  $\sigma(H) = \{H\}$  is finite, we may apply the preceding case to conclude that some nonempty open set  $U \subset Y$  belongs to the class

$$\bigcup_{D \in d(H)} \overline{Z}_m^D \subset \bigcup_{D \in d(G)} \overline{Z}_m^D.$$

(3) Assume that  $X \times Y \in \overline{Z}_{n+m+1}^G$  for a coefficient group  $G$ , but  $X \notin \overline{Z}_n^G$ . The latter means that  $X$  contains a non-empty open set  $W \subset X$  disjoint with the set  $Z_n^G(X)$  of  $G$ -homological  $Z_n$ -points of  $X$ . It is necessary to find an open set  $U \in \bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} \overline{Z}_m^F$ . Assume conversely that no such set  $U$  exists. This means that for every  $F \in \varphi(G)$ , the set  $Z_m^F(Y)$  is nowhere dense in  $Y$ .

If  $\sigma(G)$  is finite, then the set  $\varphi(G)$  is also infinite, and we can find a non-empty open set  $U \subset X$  disjoint with  $\bigcup_{F \in \varphi(G)} Z_m^F(Y)$ . By Theorem 8.2(1), no point  $(x, y) \in W \times U$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_{n+m+1}$ -point in  $X \times Y$ . But this contradicts the density of the set  $Z_{n+m+1}^G(X \times Y)$  in  $X \times Y$ .

The case of infinite  $\sigma(G)$  can be reduced to the previous case by the same argument as in (2).  $\square$

**Theorem 11.4** (*k*-Root Formulas). *Let  $n \in \omega \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X$  be a topological space, and  $G$  be a coefficient group.*

(1)  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^F$  for some field  $F$  if and only if  $X^k \in \overline{Z}_{nk}^F$ :

$$\boxed{\sqrt[k]{\overline{Z}_{nk}^F} = \overline{Z}_n^F.}$$

(2) If  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^n$ -space and  $X^k \in \overline{Z}_{nk+k}^G$ , then  $X \in \overline{Z}_n^G$ :

$$\boxed{\text{Br} \cap lc^n \cap \sqrt[k]{\overline{Z_{nk+k}^G}} \subset \overline{Z_n^G}.$$

(3) If  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^{nk+k-1}$ -space and  $X^k \in \overline{Z_{nk+k-1}^G}$ , then  $X \in \overline{Z_n^G}$ :

$$\boxed{\text{Br} \cap lc^{kn+k-1} \cap \sqrt[k]{\overline{Z_{nk+k-1}^G}} = \overline{Z_n^G}.$$

*Proof:* (1) Can be derived by induction from Theorem 11.2(2) and Theorem 11.3(1).

(2) Assume that  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^n$ -space with  $X^k \in \overline{Z_{kn+k}^G}$ . It follows from Theorem 9.2 that for every group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ , the set  $Z_n^H(X)$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . Since  $Z_n^G(X) = \bigcap_{H \in \sigma(G)} Z_n^H(X)$ , the density of  $Z_n^G(X)$  in the Baire space  $X$  will follow as soon as we prove the density of  $Z_n^H(X)$  in  $X$  for each group  $H \in \sigma(G)$ .

If  $H$  is a field, then the inclusion  $X^k \in \overline{Z_{kn+k}^G} \subset \overline{Z_{kn+k}^H}$  combined with the first item implies that  $X \in \overline{Z_{n+1}^H} \subset \overline{Z_n^H}$ , which means that the set  $Z_n^H(X)$  is dense in  $X$ .

If  $H = R_p$  for some  $p$ , then Theorem 5.6(1) implies that  $X^k \in \overline{Z_{nk+k}^{R_p}} \subset \overline{Z_{nk+k}^{\mathbb{Q}}} \cap \overline{Z_{nk+k}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$  and the first item yields  $X \in \overline{Z_{n+1}^{\mathbb{Q}}} \cap \overline{Z_{n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ . Applying Theorem 5.6(2) and (4), we get

$$X \in \overline{Z_{n+1}^{\mathbb{Q}}} \cap \overline{Z_{n+1}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \subset \overline{Z_n^{\mathbb{Q}}} \cap \overline{Z_{n+1}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \subset \overline{Z_n^{R_p}} = \overline{Z_n^H}.$$

If  $H = \mathbb{Q}_p$  for some  $p$ , then Theorem 5.6(3) implies that  $X^k \in \overline{Z_{nk+k}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \subset \overline{Z_{nk+k-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \subset \overline{Z_{nk}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$  and the first item combined with Theorem 5.6(2) implies  $X \in \overline{Z_n^{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \subset \overline{Z_n^{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \overline{Z_n^H}$ .

(3) Assume that  $X$  is a metrizable separable Baire  $lc^{nk+k-1}$ -space and  $X^k \in \overline{Z_{nk+k-1}^G}$ . Arguing as in the preceding case, we can reduce the problem to the case  $G = R_p$  for some prime number  $p$ . By Theorem 9.2,  $Z_{kn+k-1}^{R_p}(X^k)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X^k$ . Assuming that  $Z_n^{R_p}(X)$  is not dense in  $X$ , find a non-empty open set  $U \subset X$  disjoint with  $Z_n^{R_p}(X)$ . Since  $\overline{Z_{nk+k-1}^{R_p}} \subset \overline{Z_{nk+k-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}} \subset \overline{Z_{nk}^{\mathbb{Q}}}$ , the first item implies that  $X \in \overline{Z_n^{\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Then  $D = U \cap \overline{Z_n^{\mathbb{Q}}}(X)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $U$  by Theorem 9.2 and hence,  $D^k$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $U^k$ . Since

$X^k$  is a Baire space, there is a point  $\vec{x} \in D^k \cap \mathcal{Z}_{nk+k-1}^{R_p}(X^k)$  which can be written as  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ .

Each point  $x_i$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological but not an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_n$ -point in  $X$ . This means that for some  $n_i \leq n$  the group  $H_{n_i}(X, X \setminus \{x_i\}; R_p) = H_{n_i}(X, X \setminus \{x_i\}) \otimes R_p$  is not trivial. Since  $x_i$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homological  $Z_n$ -set in  $X$ , the group  $H_{n_i}(X, X \setminus \{x_i\})$  cannot contain an element of infinite order. Since  $H_{n_i}(X, X \setminus \{x_i\}) \otimes R_p \neq 0$ , the group  $H_{n_i}(X, X \setminus \{x_i\})$  contains an element of order  $p$ .

Let  $m_i = i - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^i n_j$  for  $i \leq k$ . The torsion product  $H_{n_1}(X, X \setminus \{x_1\}) * H_{n_2}(X, X \setminus \{x_2\})$  contains an element of order  $p$  and so does the group  $H_{m_2}(X^2, X^2 \setminus \{(x_1, x_2)\})$  by the Künneth formula. Now by induction, we can show that for every  $i \leq k$ , the homology group  $H_{m_i}(X^i, X^i \setminus \{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\})$  contains an element of order  $p$ . For  $i = k$ , we get that the group  $H_{m_k}(X^k, X^k \setminus \{\vec{x}\})$  contains an element of order  $p$  and hence, the tensor product

$$H_{m_k}(X^k, X^k \setminus \{\vec{x}\}) \otimes R_p = H_{m_k}(X^k, X^k \setminus \{\vec{x}\}; R_p)$$

is not trivial, which is not possible because  $m_k \leq nk + k - 1$  and  $\vec{x}$  is an  $R_p$ -homological  $Z_{nk+k-1}$ -point in  $X^k$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.5.** A dendrite  $D$  with a dense set of end-points is an example of a space in which  $Z_\infty$ -points form a dense  $G_\delta$ -set. Being large in the sense of a Baire category, the set of  $Z_\infty$ -points of  $D$  is small in a geometric sense: It is locally  $\infty$ -negligible in  $D$ . On the other hand, Włodzimierz Kuperberg [29] has constructed a finite polyhedron  $P$  in which the set of all  $Z_\infty$ -points fails to be locally  $\infty$ -negligible. Yet, according to I. Namioka [32], the set  $Z$  of  $Z_\infty$ -points in a finite-dimensional  $\text{LC}^\infty$ -space  $X$  is small in a homological sense:  $H_k(U, U \setminus Z) = 0$  for any open set  $U \subset X$  and any  $k \in \omega$ . This result of Namioka is similar in spirit to our Theorem 4.4 asserting that a closed  $\text{trt}$ -dimensional subspace  $A \subset X$  consisting of  $G$ -homological  $Z_\infty$ -points of  $X$  is a  $G$ -homological  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $X$ .

## 12. $Z_n$ -POINTS AND DIMENSION

In this section we study the dimension properties of spaces all of whose points are homological  $Z_n$ -points. First, we note a simple corollary of Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 12.1.** *If a (separable metrizable) topological space  $X \in \cup_G \mathcal{Z}_n^G$ , then  $\text{trind}(X) \geq \text{trt}(X) \geq 1+n$  (and hence  $\dim(X) \geq 1+n$ ).*

A similar lower bound holds also for the cohomological and extension dimensions. Given a space  $X$  and a CW-complex  $L$ , we write  $\text{e-dim} X \leq L$  if any map  $f : A \rightarrow L$  defined on a closed subset  $A \subset X$  extends to a map  $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow L$ . The extension dimension (e-dim) generalizes both the usual covering dimension  $\dim$  and the cohomological dimension  $\dim_G$  because

- $\dim X \leq n$  if and only if  $\text{e-dim} X \leq S^n$  and
- $\dim_G X \leq n$  if and only if  $\text{e-dim} X \leq K(G, n)$ ,

where  $K(G, n)$  is an Eilenberg-MacLane complex, i.e., a CW-complex  $K$  with a unique non-trivial homotopy group  $\pi_n(K) = G$ .

The main (and technically most difficult) result of this section follows.

**Theorem 12.2.** *If  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a locally compact  $lc^n$ -space, then  $\dim_G X \geq n + 1$  for any non-trivial abelian group  $G$ .*

*Proof:* Assume that  $\dim_G X = n < \infty$  for some abelian group  $G$ . By [30, Theorem 2] (or [20, Theorem 1.8]), the space  $X$  contains a point  $x$  having an open neighborhood  $U \subset X$  with compact closure such that for any smaller neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x$ , the homomorphism in the relative Čech cohomology groups

$$i_{V,U} : \check{H}^n(X, X \setminus \bar{V}; G) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, X \setminus \bar{U}; G),$$

induced by the inclusion  $(X, X \setminus \bar{U}) \subset (X, X \setminus \bar{V})$ , is non-trivial. The complete regularity of the locally compact space  $X$  allows us to find a compact  $G_\delta$ -set  $K_1 \subset U$  containing  $x$  in its interior.

It is well known (see [33, Ch. 6, Sec. 9]) that in paracompact  $lc^n$ -spaces, Čech cohomology coincides with singular cohomology. Singular cohomology relates to singular homology via the following exact sequence, see [25, Sec. 3.1]:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X, A); G) \rightarrow H^n(X, A; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(X, A); G) \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence will be applied to the pairs

$$(X, X \setminus K_1) \subset (X, X \setminus K_2) \subset (X, X \setminus K_3),$$

where  $K_3 \subset K_2 \subset K_1$  are compact  $G_\delta$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  so small that the inclusion homomorphisms

$$H_k(X, X \setminus K_1) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus K_2) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus K_3)$$

are trivial for all  $k \leq n$  (the existence of such neighborhoods  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  follows from Lemma 1.6).



These trivial homomorphisms induce trivial homomorphisms

$$e_{2,1} : \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X, X \setminus K_2); G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X, X \setminus K_1); G)$$

and

$$h_{3,2} : \text{Hom}(H_n(X, X \setminus K_3); G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(X, X \setminus K_2); G).$$

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X, X \setminus K_3); G) & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, X \setminus K_3; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_n(X, X \setminus K_3); G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{3,2} & & \downarrow h_{3,2} \\ \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X, X \setminus K_2); G) & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, X \setminus K_2; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_n(X, X \setminus K_2); G) \\ \downarrow e_{2,1} & & \downarrow i_{2,1} & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X, X \setminus K_1); G) & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, X \setminus K_1; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_n(X, X \setminus K_1); G). \end{array}$$

The exactness of rows of the diagram and the triviality of the homomorphisms  $e_{2,1}$  and  $h_{3,2}$  imply the triviality of the homomorphism  $i_{3,1} = i_{2,1} \circ i_{3,2} : H^n(X, X \setminus K_3; G) \rightarrow H^n(X, X \setminus K_1; G)$ . The local compactness of  $X$  allows us to find an open  $\sigma$ -compact subset  $W \subset X$  containing the compact set  $K_1$ . Since the sets  $K_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ , are of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ , the spaces  $W \setminus K_i$  are  $\sigma$ -compact and thus paracompact.

The excision axiom for singular cohomology (see [33, Ch. 5, Sec. 4, 14]) implies that  $H^n(X, X \setminus K_i; G) = H^n(W, W \setminus K_i; G)$  for  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ . This observation implies that the inclusion homomorphism  $i_{3,1} : H^n(W, W \setminus K_3; G) \rightarrow H^n(W, W \setminus K_1; G)$  is trivial. Since  $W$  and  $W \setminus K_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ , are paracompact  $lc^n$ -spaces, the singular cohomology group  $H^n(W, W \setminus K_i; G)$  coincides with the Čech cohomology group  $\check{H}^n(W, W \setminus K_i; G)$ . Consequently, the inclusion homomorphism  $\check{H}^n(W, W \setminus K_3; G) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(W, W \setminus K_1; G)$  is trivial. By the excision axiom for Čech cohomology,  $\check{H}^n(W, W \setminus K_i; G) = \check{H}^n(X, X \setminus K_i; G)$  for  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ . Consequently, the inclusion homomorphism  $\check{H}^n(X, X \setminus K_3; G) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, X \setminus K_1; G)$  is trivial and so is the inclusion homomorphism  $\check{H}^n(X, X \setminus \bar{V}; G) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, X \setminus \bar{U}; G)$ , where  $V$  is the interior of  $K_3$ . But this contradicts the choice of the neighborhood  $U$ .  $\square$

Theorem 12.2 will help us to evaluate the extension dimension of a locally compact  $LC^n$ -space all of whose points are homological  $Z_n$ -points.

**Theorem 12.3.** *If a metrizable locally compact  $LC^n$ -space  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$  has  $e\text{-dim}(X) \leq L$  for some CW-complex  $L$ , then  $\pi_k(L) = 0$  for all  $k \leq n$ .*

*Proof:* Separately we shall consider the cases of  $n = 0$ ,  $n = 1$ , and  $n \geq 2$ .

$n = 0$ : It suffices to check that the CW-complex  $L$  is connected. Since each point of the  $LC^0$ -space  $X$  is a homological  $Z_0$ -point,  $X$  contains no isolated point and thus,  $X$  contains an arc  $J$  connecting two distinct points  $a, b \in X$ . Assuming that the complex  $L$  is disconnected, consider any map  $f : \{a, b\} \rightarrow L$  sending the points  $a$  and  $b$  to different components of  $L$ . Because of the connectedness of  $J \subset X$ , the map  $f$  does not extend to  $X$ , which contradicts  $e\text{-dim}X \leq L$ .

$n = 1$ : We should prove the simple-connectedness of  $L$ . Theorem 12.1 implies that  $\dim(X) > 1$ . Then there is a point  $x \in X$  whose any neighborhood  $U \subset X$  has dimension  $\dim U > 1$ . Since  $X$  is an  $LC^1$ -space, the point  $x$  has a closed neighborhood  $N$  such that any map  $f : \partial I^2 \rightarrow N$  is null-homotopic in  $X$ . Moreover, we can assume that  $N$  is a Peano continuum. Since  $\dim N > 1$ , the continuum  $N$  is not a dendrite and, consequently, contains a simple closed curve  $S \subset N$ . Assuming that the CW-complex  $L$  is not simply-connected, we can find a map  $f : S \rightarrow L$  that is not homotopic to a constant map. Then the map  $f$  cannot be extended over  $X$  since the identity map of  $S$  is null-homotopic in  $X$ . But this contradicts  $e\text{-dim}X \leq L$ .

$n \geq 2$ : Suppose that  $\pi_k(L) \neq 0$  for some  $k \leq n$ . We can assume that  $k$  is the smallest number with  $\pi_k(L) \neq 0$ . The simple connectedness of  $L$  implies that  $k > 1$ . Applying the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, we conclude that  $H_k(L) = \pi_k(L) \neq 0$ . Since  $e\text{-dim}X \leq L$ , we may apply [21, Theorem 7.14] to conclude that  $\dim_{H_n(L)} X \leq n$ . But this contradicts Theorem 12.2.  $\square$

### 13. DIMENSION OF SPACES ALL OF WHOSE POINTS ARE $Z_\infty$ -POINTS

In this section we study the dimension properties of spaces all of whose points are homological  $Z_\infty$ . We shall show that locally compact ANR's with this property are infinite-dimensional in a

rather strong sense: They cannot be  $C$ -spaces and have infinite cohomological dimension.

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is defined to be a  $C$ -space if for any sequence  $\{\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open covers of  $X$  there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  of disjoint families of open sets in  $X$  such that each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  refines  $\mathcal{V}_n$  and  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a cover of  $X$ . By [23, 6.3.8], each metrizable countable-dimensional space is a  $C$ -space.

**Theorem 13.1.** *If  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a locally compact metrizable  $\text{LC}^0$ -space, then*

- (1)  $X$  is neither  $\text{trt}$ -dimensional nor countable-dimensional;
- (2) if  $X$  is an  $\text{lc}^\infty$ -space, then  $\dim_G X = \infty$  for any abelian group  $G$ ;
- (3) if  $X$  is an  $\text{LC}^\infty$ -space, then  $e\text{-dim} X \not\leq L$  for any non-contractible  $CW$ -complex  $L$ ;
- (4) if  $X$  is locally contractible, then  $X$  fails to be a  $C$ -space.

*Proof:* (1)–(3) Follow from Theorem 4.4, Theorem 12.2, and Theorem 12.3, respectively.

(4) First, we prove the fourth item under a stronger assumption that all points of  $X$  are homotopical  $Z_\infty$ -points. Assume that  $X$  is a  $C$ -space. By a theorem of John H. Gresham [24], the  $C$ -space  $X$ , being locally contractible, is an ANR. Then the product  $X \times Q$  is a Hilbert cube manifold according to the ANR theorem of Edwards, see [15, Theorem 44.1]. The product  $X \times Q$ , being a  $Q$ -manifold, contains an open subset  $U \subset X \times Q$  whose closure  $\bar{U}$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $Q$  and whose boundary  $\partial U$  is a  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $\bar{U}$ . Now consider the multivalued map  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \bar{U}$ , assigning to each point  $x \in X$  the set  $\Phi(x) = \bar{U} \setminus (\{x\} \times Q)$ . Our goal is to show that the map  $\Phi$  has a continuous selection  $s : X \rightarrow \bar{U}$ . Assuming that this is done, consider the map  $s \circ \text{pr} : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}$ , where  $\text{pr} : \bar{U} \rightarrow X$  stands for the natural projection of  $\bar{U} \subset X \times Q$  onto the first factor. This map is continuous and has no fixed point, which is a contradiction.

So, it remains to construct the continuous selection  $s$  of the multivalued map  $\Phi$ . The existence of such a selection will follow from selection theorem of V. V. Uspenskij [39] as soon as we verify that

- for each  $x \in X$ , the complement  $\bar{U} \setminus \Phi(x) = \bar{U} \cap (\{x\} \times Q)$  is a  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $\bar{U}$ ;

- for any compact set  $K \subset \bar{U}$ , the set  $\{x \in X : \Phi(x) \supset K\}$  is open in  $K$ .

The first condition holds since each point of  $X$  is a  $Z_\infty$ -point in  $X$  and the boundary  $\partial U$  is a  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $\bar{U}$ . The second condition holds because  $\{x \in X : F(x) \supset K\} = X \setminus \text{pr}(K)$ . This completes the proof of the special case when all points of  $X$  are  $Z_\infty$ -points.

Now assume that all points of  $X$  are merely homological  $Z_\infty$ -points in  $X$ . Then the points of the product  $X \times [0, 1]$  are (homotopical)  $Z_\infty$ -points by Corollary 8.4. Now the preceding discussion implies that  $X \times [0, 1]$  fails to be a  $C$ -space. Taking into account that the product of a metrizable  $C$ -space with the interval is a  $C$ -space [1, Theorem 2.2.3], we conclude that  $X$  is not a  $C$ -space.  $\square$

**Remark 13.2.** The last item of Theorem 13.1 is true in a bit stronger form: Each locally compact locally contractible space  $X \in \cup_G \mathcal{Z}_\infty^G$  fails to be a  $C$ -space; see [5]. However, the proof of this stronger result requires non-elementary tools like a homological version of Uspenskij's selection theorem combined with a homological version of the Brouwer fixed point theorem. On the other hand, this stronger result would follow from Theorem 4.4 if each compact  $C$ -space were  $\text{trt}$ -dimensional. However, we are not sure that this is true.

**Remark 13.3.** In light of Theorem 13.1, it is interesting to mention that a compact AR all of whose points are  $Z_\infty$ -points need not be homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. A suitable counterexample was constructed in [17, Corollary 9.3].

**Problem 13.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact absolute retract all of whose points are  $Z_\infty$ -points. Is  $X$  strongly infinite-dimensional? Is  $X \times I$  homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube?*

In this respect let us mention the following characterization of Hilbert cube manifolds [7] which can be deduced from Theorem 4.3 and the homological characterization of  $Q$ -manifolds due to Robert J. Daverman and John J. Walsh [17]; see also [9].

**Theorem 13.5.** *A locally compact ANR-space  $X$  is a Hilbert cube manifold if and only if*

- (1)  $X$  has the disjoint disks property;
- (2) each point of  $X$  is a homological  $Z_\infty$ -point;

- (3) *each map  $f : K \rightarrow X$  of a compact polyhedron can be approximated by a map with trt-dimensional image.*

We recall that a space  $X$  has the *disjoint disks property* if any two maps  $f, g : I^2 \rightarrow X$  can be approximated by maps with disjoint images.

Theorem 4.4 implies that each closed trt-dimensional subspace of the Hilbert cube  $Q$  is a homological  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $Q$ . By [2, Proposition 4.7], each compact trt-dimensional space is a  $C$ -space.

**Question 13.6.** *Is a closed subset  $A \subset Q$  a homological  $Z_\infty$ -set in  $Q$  if  $A$  is weakly infinite-dimensional or a  $C$ -space?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] David F. Addis and John H. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part I: Dimension theory and Alexandroff's Problem*, *Fund. Math.* **101** (1978), no. 3, 195–205.
- [2] F. G. Arenas, V. A. Chatyrko, and M. L. Puertas, *Transfinite extension of Steinke's dimension*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **88** (2000), no. 1-2, 105–112.
- [3] Taras Banakh, *On absolute  $Z$ -compacta*. In preparation.
- [4] Taras Banakh and Robert Cauty, *On universality of countable and weak products of sigma hereditarily disconnected spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **167** (2001), no. 2, 97–109.
- [5] ———, *A homological selection theorem implying a division theorem for  $Q$ -manifolds*, in *Fixed Point Theory and its Applications*. Ed. Jacek Jachymski and Simeon Reich. Banach Center Publications, 77. Warsaw: Polish Acad. Sci., 2007. 11–22
- [6] Taras Banakh, Taras Radul, and Michael Zarichnyi, *Absorbing Sets in Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds*. Mathematical Studies Monograph Series, 1. L'viv: VNTL Publishers, 1996.
- [7] Taras Banakh and Dušan Repovš, *Division and  $k$ -th root theorems for  $Q$ -manifolds*, *Sci. China Ser. A* **50** (2007), no. 3, 313–324.
- [8] T. O. Banakh and Kh. R. Trushchak,  *$Z_n$ -sets and the disjoint  $n$ -cells property in products of ANR's*, *Mat. Stud.* **13** (2000), no. 1, 74–78.
- [9] Taras Banakh and Vesko Valov. *General Position Properties in Fiberwise Geometric Topology*. In progress.  
Available at [http://arxiv.org/PS\\_cache/arxiv/pdf/1001/1001.2494v1.pdf](http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/1001/1001.2494v1.pdf)
- [10] Czesław Bessaga and Aleksander Pelczyński, *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 58. [Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 58]. Warsaw: PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, 1975.

- [11] Philip L. Bowers, *Homological characterization of boundary set complements*, *Compositio Math.* **62** (1987), no. 1, 63–94.
- [12] Glen E. Bredon, *Sheaf Theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1967
- [13] ———, *Topology and Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 139. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [14] Robert Cauty, *La classe borélienne ne détermine pas le type topologique de  $C_p(X)$* , *Serdica Math. J.* **24** (1998), no. 3-4, 307–318.
- [15] T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds*. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 28. Providence, R. I.: American Mathematical Society, 1976.
- [16] Robert J. Daverman, *Decompositions of Manifolds*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 124. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, Inc., 1986.
- [17] Robert J. Daverman and John J. Walsh, *Čech homology characterizations of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, *Amer. J. Math.* **103** (1981), no. 3, 411–435.
- [18] Tadeusz Dobrowolski, *The compact  $Z$ -set property in convex sets*, *Topology Appl.* **23** (1986), no. 2, 163–172.
- [19] A. N. Dranishnikov, *Extension of mappings into CW-complexes* (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **182** (1991), no. 9, 1300–1310; translation in *Math. USSR-Sb.* **74** (1993), no. 1, 47–56.
- [20] ———, *Cohomological dimension theory of compact metric spaces*, *Topology Atlas Invited Contributions* **6** (2001), no. 1, 7–73. Electronic: available at <http://at.yorku.ca/t/a/i/c/43.htm>.
- [21] Jerzy Dydak, *Cohomological dimension theory*, in *Handbook of Geometric Topology*. Ed. R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2002. 423–470
- [22] E. Dyer, *On the dimension of products*, *Fund. Math.* **47** (1959), 141–160.
- [23] Ryszard Engelking, *Theory of Dimensions Finite and Infinite*. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 10. Lemgo: Heldermann Verlag, 1995.
- [24] John H. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part II: An Extension Theorem and the theory of retracts*. *Fund. Math.* **107** (1980), no. 3, 237–245.
- [25] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [26] Sze-tsen Hu, *Theory of Retracts*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1965.
- [27] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [28] Nelly Kroonenberg, *Characterization of finite-dimensional  $Z$ -sets*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1974), 421–427.

- [29] Włodzimierz Kuperberg, *Unstable sets and the set of unstable points*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), no. 3, 281–285.
- [30] V. I. Kuz'minov, *Homological dimension theory* (Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk **23** (1968), no. 5, 3–49; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **23** (1968), no. 5, 1–45.
- [31] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 43. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1989.
- [32] I. Namioka, *Complements of sets of unstable points*, Fund. Math. **80** (1973), no. 1, 81–89.
- [33] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1966.
- [34] Günter Steinke, *A new dimension by means of separating sets*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **40** (1983), no. 3, 273–282.
- [35] H. Toruńczyk, *Concerning locally homotopy negligible sets and characterization of  $l_2$ -manifolds*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), no. 2, 93–110.
- [36] ———, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of  $Q$ -manifolds*, Fund. Math. **106** (1980), no. 1, 31–40.
- [37] ———, *Finite-to-one restrictions of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), no. 3, 237–249.
- [38] Š. Ungar, *On local homotopy and homology pro-groups*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **14(34)** (1979), no. 1, 151–158.
- [39] V. V. Uspenskij, *A selection theorem for  $C$ -spaces*, Topology Appl. **85** (1998), no. 1-3, 351–374.

(Banakh) IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE),  
UNIWERSYTET HUMANISTYCZNO-PRZYRODNICZY, (KIELCE, POLAND),  
NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, (NORTH BAY, ONTARIO, CANADA)  
*E-mail address:* tbanakh@yahoo.com; t.o.banakh@gmail.com

(Cauty) UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI (FRANCE)  
*E-mail address:* cauty@math.jussieu.fr

(Karassev) NIPISSING UNIVERSITY (NORTH BAY, ONTARIO, CANADA)  
*E-mail address:* alexandr@nipissingu.ca