

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 40, 2012

Pages 297–301

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

A NOTE ON FUNCTIONS IN  $C(X)$  WITH  
SUPPORT LYING ON AN IDEAL  
OF CLOSED SUBSETS OF  $X$

by

SUDIP KUMAR ACHARYYA AND SWAPAN KUMAR GHOSH

Electronically published on February 6, 2012

---

**Topology Proceedings**

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## A NOTE ON FUNCTIONS IN $C(X)$ WITH SUPPORT LYING ON AN IDEAL OF CLOSED SUBSETS OF $X$

SUDIP KUMAR ACHARYYA AND SWAPAN KUMAR GHOSH

**ABSTRACT.** For any ideal  $\mathcal{P}$  of closed subsets of a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ , we introduced  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact spaces in an earlier paper. In this note we show that for any infinite cardinal number  $\theta$ , if  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$  is the ideal of closed finally  $\theta$ -compact subsets of a realcompact space  $X$ , then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -compact if and only if it is finally  $\theta$ -compact. This improves our earlier result, where  $X$  was a discrete space with  $\theta = \omega_1$ . Using this new result, we establish further that if  $\theta$  is a regular cardinal number, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the ideal of all closed  $\theta$ -compact subsets of a realcompact space  $X$ , then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact if and only if it is  $\theta$ -compact.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout,  $X$  will stand for a completely regular Hausdorff topological space. In an earlier paper of ours [1], a family  $\mathcal{P}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  is called an *ideal of closed sets* if it satisfies two conditions: (i) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{P}$ . (ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $B \subseteq A$  with  $B$  closed in  $X$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{P}$ .

For any such ideal  $\mathcal{P}$  of closed subsets of a space  $X$ , let  $C_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = \{f \in C(X) : cl_X(X - Z(f)) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ , where  $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  stands for the zero-set of  $f$ .

Also we called  $X$  a  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact space if for any point  $p \in \beta X - X$ , there is an  $f \in C_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$  such that  $f^*(p) = \infty$ , where  $f^* : \beta X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is the uniquely determined continuous extension of  $f$ , and  $\beta X$  denotes the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54C40, Secondary: 46E25 .

*Key words and phrases.* finally  $\theta$ -compact spaces, locally  $\theta$ -compact spaces,  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact spaces,  $\mathcal{P}$ -stable family, realcompact spaces, regular cardinal number, supports of continuous functions,  $\theta$ -compact spaces.

©2012 Topology Proceedings.

It is clear that every  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact space is realcompact. In addition, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is the family of all closed subsets of a space  $X$ , then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact if and only if it is realcompact. On the other hand if  $\mathcal{P}$  is the family of all compact subsets of  $X$ , then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact if and only if it is compact. So it is a fascinating question to ask how far the known special classes of realcompact spaces could be realized as  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact for suitable natural choices of the family  $\mathcal{P}$ . It may be mentioned in this context that in paper [1], we established the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *A discrete space  $X$  is Lindelöf if and only if it is  $\mathcal{L}$ -compact where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the ideal of all closed Lindelöf subsets of  $X$ .*

In the present note we prove that the same conclusion holds without the discreteness hypothesis. We achieve this as a special case of a more general result (see section 3) which implies that a realcompact space  $X$  is finally  $\theta$ -compact if and only if it is  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -compact, where  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$  is the ideal of all closed finally  $\theta$ -compact subsets of  $X$  and  $\theta$  is an infinite cardinal number. Using this result in the same section, we further prove that if  $\theta$  is a regular cardinal number and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the ideal of all closed  $\theta$ -compact subsets of a realcompact space  $X$ , then  $X$  is  $\theta$ -compact if and only if it is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact. A particularly interesting case of this fact obtained by putting  $\theta = \omega_1$  is that a space  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact when and only when it is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact, where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the ideal of all closed  $\sigma$ -compact subsets of  $X$ . It may be noted that for  $\theta > \omega_1$ , a  $\theta$ -compact space may fail to be realcompact. For example, the space of all countable ordinals  $[0, \omega_1)$  is  $\omega_2$ -compact without being realcompact.

## 2. TERMINOLOGY AND A FEW TECHNICALITIES

To make our note self-contained, we reproduce the following definitions and facts from our earlier paper [1] and also from a paper of R. M. Stephenson, Jr. [4].

- (i) A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called  $\mathcal{P}$ -stable if every function in  $C_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$  is bounded on some set in  $\mathcal{F}$ . [1, Definition 3.5]

To establish the first main result of the present note, we shall use the following characterization of  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact spaces.

- (ii) A space  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact if and only if every  $\mathcal{P}$ -stable family of closed sets in  $X$  with the finite intersection property has nonvoid intersection. [1, Theorem 3.6]
- (iii) For any infinite cardinal number  $\theta$ , a space  $X$  is called *finally  $\theta$ -compact* if each open cover of  $X$  has a subcover with cardinality  $< \theta$ . [4]

Thus, in this terminology, finally  $\omega_0$ -compact spaces and finally  $\omega_1$ -compact spaces are just compact spaces and Lindelöf spaces, respectively.

- (iv) A space  $X$  is called  $\theta$ -compact if there is a family  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of compact subsets of  $X$  with  $\text{card}(\Lambda) < \theta$  and  $X = \cup\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ . [4]
- (v) A space  $X$  is called *locally  $\theta$ -compact* if, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $\theta$ -compact open neighborhood  $W_x$  of  $x$  in  $X$ . [4]

Clearly,  $\omega_1$ -compact spaces are precisely the  $\sigma$ -compact spaces. It is easy to check that the family of all closed finally  $\theta$ -compact subsets of  $X$  and also the family of all closed  $\theta$ -compact subsets of  $X$  are both ideals of closed sets in  $X$ . Since a  $\sigma$ -compact space is Lindelöf, one might ask whether for any infinite cardinal number  $\theta$ , a  $\theta$ -compact space is also finally  $\theta$ -compact. We do not know the answer in general, but a suitable restriction on  $\theta$  entails an affirmative answer to this question. We now need the following notion.

- (vi) A cardinal number  $\theta$  is called *regular* if it is not the sum of fewer smaller cardinal numbers, or equivalently, if there does not exist any co-final subset of  $\theta$  with cardinality  $< \theta$ ; otherwise,  $\theta$  is called a *singular cardinal number*. [4]

It is well known that, for any non-limit ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega_\alpha$  is a regular cardinal number while  $\omega_{\omega_0} = \sum_{n \in \omega_0} \omega_n$  is a singular cardinal number.

Routine arguments yield the following result.

- (vii) Let  $\theta$  be a regular cardinal number. Then every  $\theta$ -compact space  $X$  is finally  $\theta$ -compact. [4]

### 3. THE MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be realcompact and  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$  be the ideal of closed finally  $\theta$ -compact subsets of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -compact if and only if it is finally  $\theta$ -compact.*

*Proof.* First, assume that  $X$  is finally  $\theta$ -compact and then choose  $p \in \beta X - X$ . Since  $X$  is realcompact, there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f^*(p) = \infty$ . Then  $cl_X(X - Z(f))$ , like any closed subset of  $X$ , is finally  $\theta$ -compact. Hence,  $X$  must be  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -compact.

To prove the converse, assume that  $X$  is not finally  $\theta$ -compact. Then there exists a family  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of closed sets in  $X$  with  $\cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$  and with the property that for any subfamily  $\mathcal{B}_0$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\text{card}(\mathcal{B}_0) < \theta$ ,  $\cap \mathcal{B}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda^*\}$  be the family of all sets  $E_\alpha$  such that each  $E_\alpha$  is an intersection of  $< \theta$  sets belonging to the family  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\cap \mathcal{E} = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  has the finite intersection property. To show that

$X$  is not  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -compact, it remains to check, in view of remark (ii), that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -stable family. For that purpose, choose  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $cl_X(X - Z(f)) \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$ . Then, since  $\{X - B_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ , we can write  $cl_X(X - Z(f)) \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1} (X - B_\alpha)$  for some subfamily  $\Lambda_1$  of  $\Lambda$  with  $\text{card}(\Lambda_1) < \theta$ . Consequently, the set  $\cap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1} B_\alpha \subseteq Z(f)$  with  $\cap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1} B_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ . Thus,  $f$  becomes bounded on a set in the family  $\mathcal{E}$ , which is therefore a  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -stable family. And that completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be realcompact and the infinite cardinal number  $\theta$  be regular. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}$  is the ideal of all closed  $\theta$ -compact subsets of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact if and only if it is  $\theta$ -compact.*

*Proof.* If  $\theta = \omega_0$ , the result is trivial. Assume that  $\theta \geq \omega_1$ . We observe that, by a proof analogous to the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.1, if  $X$  is  $\theta$ -compact, then it is obviously  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact.

Conversely, let  $X$  be  $\mathcal{P}$ -compact. Now since  $\theta$  is regular, it follows from remark (vii) that  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\theta$ , where the latter ideal is defined as in Theorem 3.1. Hence,  $X$  is  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ -compact. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1,  $X$  is finally  $\theta$ -compact. If possible let  $X$  be not  $\theta$ -compact. Then  $X$  can't be locally  $\theta$ -compact since, otherwise, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $\theta$ -compact open neighborhood  $W_x$  of  $x$  in  $X$ . But as  $X$  is finally  $\theta$ -compact, the open covering  $\{W_x : x \in X\}$  of  $X$  has a subcover  $\{W_x : x \in X_0\}$  for some subset  $X_0$  of  $X$  with  $\text{card}(X_0) < \theta$ . As  $\theta$  is regular, the union of less than  $\theta$  many  $\theta$ -compact spaces is also  $\theta$ -compact. This implies that  $X = \cup_{x \in X_0} W_x$  is  $\theta$ -compact. Let  $X_1 = \{x \in X : x \text{ has no } \theta\text{-compact open neighborhood in } X\}$ . Since the set  $T$  of points of  $X$  at which  $X$  is locally  $\theta$ -compact satisfies  $X = T \cup X_1$ , and since  $T$  is  $\theta$ -compact,  $X_1$  can't be compact. We can, therefore, choose a point  $p \in \beta X - X$  such that  $p \in cl_{\beta X} X_1$ . Now by using the  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactness of  $X$ , we can select an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f^*(p) = \infty$  and  $cl_X(X - Z(f))$  is  $\theta$ -compact. Let  $A = f^{-1}([0, 1])$ , then  $p \notin cl_{\beta X} A$ . Since  $\theta \geq \omega_1$ , every cozero subset of a  $\theta$ -compact space is  $\theta$ -compact. Therefore,  $X - Z(f)$  is  $\theta$ -compact. Since  $f^{-1}([1, \infty)) \subseteq X - Z(f)$ , it follows that  $X_1$  is disjoint with  $f^{-1}([1, \infty))$ . Hence,  $X_1 \subseteq f^{-1}([0, 1]) = A$ . Consequently,  $p \in cl_{\beta X} A$ . This contradiction proves our theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to Professor Alan Dow for suggesting the technique for the proof of Theorem 3.2. The authors also express their gratitude to the referee for helping a lot in the substantial improvement of the original version of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. K. Acharyya and S. K. Ghosh, *Functions in  $C(X)$  with support lying on a class of subsets of  $X$* , Topology Proc. **35** (2010), 127–148.
- [2] Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*. Reprint of the 1960 edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 43. New York-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [3] Waclaw Sierpiński, *Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers*. Polska Akademia Nauk, Monografie Matematyczne. Tom 34. Warsaw: Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 1958.
- [4] R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *Initially  $\kappa$ -compact and related spaces* in Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology. Ed. Kenneth Kunen and Jerry E. Vaughan. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1984. 603–632.

(Acharyya & Ghosh) DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS; UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA; 35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD; KOLKATA-700019, WEST BENGAL, INDIA  
*E-mail address*, Acharyya: [sdpacharyya@gmail.com](mailto:sdpacharyya@gmail.com)

*E-mail address*, Ghosh: [swapan12345@yahoo.co.in](mailto:swapan12345@yahoo.co.in)