

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 42, 2013

Pages 107–119

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

THE CENTER AND EXTENDED CENTER  
OF THE MAXIMAL GROUPS IN  
THE SMALLEST IDEAL OF  $\beta\mathbb{N}$

by

NEIL HINDMAN AND DONA STRAUSS

Electronically published on September 4, 2012

---

**Topology Proceedings**

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



## THE CENTER AND EXTENDED CENTER OF THE MAXIMAL GROUPS IN THE SMALLEST IDEAL OF $\beta\mathbb{N}$

NEIL HINDMAN AND DONA STRAUSS

**ABSTRACT.** A good deal is known about the maximal groups in the smallest ideal  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  of the compact right topological semigroup  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$ . For example they are pairwise isomorphic and highly non-commutative – they contain a copy of the free group on  $2^c$  generators. If  $q$  is an idempotent in  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , then  $\mathbb{Z} + q$  is contained in the center of the maximal group  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$ . We do not know whether that center is equal to  $\mathbb{Z} + q$ . In this paper we investigate the center of  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$  and the *extended center* consisting of all elements of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  that commute with every element of  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$ . This extended center trivially includes all idempotents  $r$  of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  such that  $q \leq r$ , as well as elements of the form  $n + r$  for such  $r$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We show, for example, that if those are the only elements of the extended center, then there are no nontrivial continuous homomorphisms from  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  to  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . This would answer a long standing open question. We include several other open questions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Addition on the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers extends to the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  making  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$  a right topological semigroup (meaning that for each  $p \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ , the function  $\rho_p : \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$  is continuous, where  $\rho_p(q) = q + p$ ) with  $\mathbb{N}$  contained in its topological center (meaning that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $\lambda_n : \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$  is continuous,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54D80, 22A15; Secondary 54H13.

*Key words and phrases.* Stone-Čech compactification, center, extended center, smallest ideal, maximal groups.

The first author acknowledges support received from the National Science Foundation via Grants DMS-0852512 and DMS-1160566.

©2012 Topology Proceedings.

where  $\lambda_n(q) = n + q$ ). As with any compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup,  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$  has a smallest two sided ideal

$$\begin{aligned} K(\beta\mathbb{N}) &= \bigcup\{L : L \text{ is a minimal left ideal of } \beta\mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \bigcup\{R : R \text{ is a minimal right ideal of } \beta\mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Any left ideal contains a minimal left ideal, which is closed, and any right ideal contains a minimal right ideal. If  $L$  is a minimal left ideal and  $R$  is a minimal right ideal, then  $L \cap R$  is a group and  $L \cap R = q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$  where  $q$  is the unique idempotent in  $L \cap R$ . Any two such groups are isomorphic. If  $q$  and  $r$  are idempotents in the same minimal right ideal, then the restriction of  $\rho_r$  to  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$  is an isomorphism and a homeomorphism onto  $r + \beta\mathbb{N} + r$ .

The facts just mentioned about  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  are true in any compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup. Many additional facts are known about  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  that do not hold in all such semigroups. We know, for example, that there are  $2^c$  minimal right ideals and  $2^c$  minimal left ideals and the maximal groups in  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  each contain a copy of the free group on  $2^c$  generators. We also know that the center of  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  so if  $q$  is an idempotent in  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , then  $\mathbb{Z} + q$  is contained in the center of  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$ . We do not know whether the center of  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Z} + q$ . It is this question which is the primary focus of this paper.

We take the points of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  to be the ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{N}$ , identifying the principal ultrafilters with the points of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , the closure  $\overline{A}$  of  $A$  in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  is  $\{p \in \beta\mathbb{N} : A \in p\}$  and  $\{\overline{A} : A \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$  is a basis for the open sets of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . See [4] for an elementary introduction to the topology and the algebraic structure of  $\beta S$  where  $S$  is an infinite discrete semigroup, as well as for proofs of all of the facts mentioned in the paragraphs above. (The original references for these facts are [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], and [7].)

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $q$  be an idempotent in  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ .  $G_q = q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$  and  $D_q = \{u \in \mathbb{N}^* : (\forall v \in G_q)(u + v = v + u)\}$ .

Of course, the center  $Z(G_q) = D_q \cap G_q$ . We call  $D_q$  the *extended center* of  $G_q$ .

**Definition 1.2.** (1)  $I = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{n\mathbb{N}}$ .  
 (2)  $\mathbb{H} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{2^n\mathbb{N}}$ .  
 (3) For  $A \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ ,  $E(A) = \{q \in \beta\mathbb{N} : q + q = q\}$ .

In section 2 of this paper we present some basic results, including the fact that for any  $q \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$ ,  $D_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + I$ .

In section 3 we investigate more deeply the structure of  $D_q$ , establishing the fact that either there is no nontrivial continuous homomorphism from  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}^* = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , or there is a member of  $D_q \cap I$  which is not an

idempotent. We also include in this section a proof that  $D_q$  contains a decreasing sequence of idempotents of order type  $(\omega + 1)^*$ , that is, the reverse of  $\omega + 1$ .

Section 4 consists primarily of a derivation of the fact that if the center of  $G_q$  is not trivial, then  $G_q$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2. BASIC FACTS ABOUT THE EXTENDED CENTER

We begin by observing that the only elements in the extended center that are not in the center lie outside of the smallest ideal.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Then  $Z(G_q) = D_q \cap K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ .*

*Proof.* Trivially  $Z(G_q) \subseteq D_q \cap K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $x \in D_q \cap K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . Since  $q \in K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\beta\mathbb{N} + q$  is a minimal left ideal and  $q + \beta\mathbb{N}$  is a minimal right ideal, so  $G_q = (\beta\mathbb{N} + q) \cap (q + \beta\mathbb{N})$ . Thus, if  $x \notin G_q$ , then either  $x \notin \beta\mathbb{N} + q$  or  $x \notin q + \beta\mathbb{N}$ . So either  $x$  and  $q$  are in different minimal left ideals of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  or  $x$  and  $q$  are in different minimal right ideals of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . In either case,  $x + q \neq q + x$ .  $\square$

The idempotents of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  are partially ordered by the relation  $\leq$ , defined by  $e \leq f$  if and only if  $e = e + f = f + e$ . By [4, Theorem 2.9],  $e$  is minimal with respect to this order if and only if  $e \in K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . Further, given any non minimal idempotent  $e$  in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , by [4, Theorem 1.60], there is a minimal idempotent  $q \in K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  with  $q \leq e$ . So the following lemma shows that for at least some  $q \in K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ ,  $D_q \neq Z(G_q)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Then  $\{e \in E(\beta\mathbb{N}) : q \leq e\} = E(D_q)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$  such that  $q \leq e$  and let  $x \in G_q$ . Then  $e + x = e + q + x = q + x = x + q = x + q + e = x + e$  and so  $e \in D_q$ .

Conversely, let  $e \in E(D_q)$ . Since  $e + q = q + e$ ,  $e + q$  is an idempotent in the same minimal right ideal and the same minimal left ideal as  $q$ . So  $e + q = q + e = q$  and  $q \leq e$ .  $\square$

The remainder of this section will be devoted to a proof, as a consequence of a more general theorem, that  $D_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + I$ .

It is well known, and routine to verify, that each member of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a unique factorial representation, that is, a representation of the form  $\sum_{n \in H} a_n \cdot n!$  where  $H$  is a finite nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and for each  $n \in H$ ,  $a_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Define  $d : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  by  $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(y)(n) \cdot n!$  for each  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\text{supp}_f(y) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(y)(n) \neq 0\}$  and let  $c(y) = |\text{supp}_f(y)|$ . Let  $\tilde{d} : \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\tilde{c} : \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$  be the continuous extensions of  $d$  and  $c$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $x \notin \mathbb{Z} + I$ , then*

- (1)  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) \neq 0\}$  is infinite,
- (2)  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) < n\}$  is infinite, and
- (3)  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{either } 0 < \tilde{d}(x)(n) < n \text{ or both } \tilde{d}(x)(n) = n \text{ and } \tilde{d}(x)(n+1) = 0\}$  is infinite.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) \neq 0\}$  is finite and let  $k = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) \neq 0\}$ . Let  $m = \sum_{n=1}^k \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n!$ . We claim that  $x \in m + I$ . To see this, let  $l > k$ . To see that  $x \in -m + \mathbb{N}l!$ , pick  $A \in x$  such that  $\tilde{d}(x)[\bar{A}] \subseteq \times_{n=1}^l \pi_n^{-1}[\{\tilde{d}(x)(n)\}]$  and let  $y \in A$ . Pick  $j > l$  such that  $j! > y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y - m &= \sum_{n=l+1}^j d(y)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^l \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! - \sum_{n=1}^k \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! \\ &= \sum_{n=l+1}^j d(y)(n) \cdot n! \end{aligned}$$

since  $\tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! = 0$  for  $n > k$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) < n\}$  is finite and pick  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > k$ ,  $\tilde{d}(x)(n) = n$ . Let  $m = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^k (n - \tilde{d}(x)(n)) \cdot n!$ . We claim that  $x \in -m + I$ . To see this, let  $l > k$ . To see that  $x \in m + \mathbb{N}l!$ , pick  $A \in x$  such that  $\tilde{d}(x)[\bar{A}] \subseteq \times_{n=1}^l \pi_n^{-1}[\{\tilde{d}(x)(n)\}]$  and let  $y \in A$ . Pick  $j > l$  such that  $j! > y$ . Then  $m + y - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^k (n - \tilde{d}(x)(n)) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^l \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=l+1}^j d(y)(n) \cdot n! = \sum_{n=l+1}^j d(y)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^l n \cdot n!$  and so  $(l+1)!$  divides  $m + y$ .

(3) Assume that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : 0 < \tilde{d}(x)(n) < n\}$  is finite. Pick  $k$  such that for all  $n > k$ ,  $\tilde{d}(x)(n) \in \{0, n\}$ . Then by (1) and (2), both  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) = 0\}$  and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) = n\}$  are infinite, and consequently  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{d}(x)(n) = n \text{ and } \tilde{d}(x)(n+1) = 0\}$  is infinite.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and let  $q, r \in I$ . If  $q + x + r \in \mathbb{Z} + I$ , then  $x \in \mathbb{Z} + I$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $z \in I$ , and  $q + x + r = m + z$ . Given any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \equiv m \pmod{n!}\} \in q + x + r$  and  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \equiv 0 \pmod{n!}\} \in q \cap r$ , so  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \equiv m \pmod{n!}\} \in x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  and let  $x \in Z(G_q)$ . Then  $x \in \mathbb{Z} + I$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \notin \mathbb{Z} + I$  and let

$$E = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{either } 0 < \tilde{d}(x)(n) < n \\ \text{or both } \tilde{d}(x)(n) = n \text{ and } \tilde{d}(x)(n+1) = 0\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4(3),  $E$  is infinite, so pick  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $\{n! : n \in E\} \in p$ . We shall show that

- (a)  $\tilde{c}(x + p + q) = \tilde{c}(x + q) + 1$  and
- (b)  $\tilde{c}(q + p + x) = \tilde{c}(q + x)$ .

This will suffice because (using the fact that  $x + q = q + x = x$ ) we will then have that  $\tilde{c}(x + q + p + q) = \tilde{c}(x + p + q) = \tilde{c}(x + q) + 1 = \tilde{c}(x) + 1 \neq \tilde{c}(x) = \tilde{c}(q + x) = \tilde{c}(q + p + x) = \tilde{c}(q + p + q + x)$ .

To verify (a), it suffices that  $\tilde{c} \circ \rho_{p+q}$  and  $\rho_1 \circ \tilde{c} \circ \rho_q$  agree on  $\mathbb{N}$ , so let  $y \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. To see that  $\tilde{c}(y + p + q) = \tilde{c}(y + q) + 1$ , it suffices that  $\tilde{c} \circ \lambda_y \circ \rho_q$  is constantly equal to  $\tilde{c}(y + q) + 1$  on  $\{n! : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n > \max \text{supp}_f(y)\}$ , so let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > \max \text{supp}_f(y)$ . To see that  $\tilde{c}(y + n! + q) = \tilde{c}(y + q) + 1$ , it suffices that  $\tilde{c} \circ \lambda_{y+n!}$  and  $\rho_1 \circ \tilde{c} \circ \lambda_y$  agree on  $\mathbb{N}(n + 1)!$ , so let  $z \in \mathbb{N}(n + 1)!$ . Then  $\text{supp}_f(y + n! + z) = \text{supp}_f(y) \cup \{n\} \cup \text{supp}_f(z)$  and  $\text{supp}_f(y + z) = \text{supp}_f(y) \cup \text{supp}_f(z)$ .

To verify (b), it suffices that  $\tilde{c} \circ \rho_{p+x}$  and  $\tilde{c} \circ \rho_x$  agree on  $\mathbb{N}$ , so let  $y \in \mathbb{N}$  and pick  $a \in E$  such that  $a > \max \text{supp}_f(y)$ . To see that  $\tilde{c}(y + p + x) = \tilde{c}(y + x)$ , it suffices that  $\tilde{c} \circ \lambda_y \circ \rho_x$  is constantly equal to  $\tilde{c}(y + x)$  on  $\{n! : n \in E \text{ and } n > a + 2\}$  so let  $b \in E$  such that  $b > a + 2$ . Pick  $A \in x$  such that  $\tilde{d}[A] \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{b+1} \pi_n^{-1}[\{\tilde{d}(x)(n)\}]$ . To see that  $\tilde{c}(y + b! + x) = \tilde{c}(y + x)$ , it suffices that  $\tilde{c} \circ \lambda_{y+b!}$  agrees with  $\tilde{c} \circ \lambda_y$  on  $A$ , so let  $z \in A$ . We need to show that  $c(y + b! + z) = c(y + z)$ .

Pick  $l > b + 1$  such that  $l! > z$ . Then  $z = \sum_{n=b+2}^l d(z)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^{b+1} \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n!$ . Since  $b > a + 2 > a > \max \text{supp}_f(y)$ , there is no carrying beyond position  $a + 1$  when the factorial representations of  $z$  and  $y$  are added. (Either  $\tilde{d}(x)(a) < n$ , in which case there is no carrying beyond position  $a$ , or  $\tilde{d}(x)(a) = n$  and  $\tilde{d}(x)(a + 1) = 0$ .) Thus,  $z + y = \sum_{n=b+2}^l d(z)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=a+2}^{b+1} \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^{a+1} d(z + y)(n) \cdot n!$ .

Assume first that  $0 < \tilde{d}(x)(b) < n$ . Then

$$y + b! + z = \sum_{n=b+2}^l d(z)(n) \cdot n! + \tilde{d}(x)(b + 1) \cdot (b + 1)! + (\tilde{d}(x)(b) + 1) \cdot b! + \sum_{n=a+2}^{b-1} \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^{a+1} d(z + y)(n) \cdot n!;$$

so  $\text{supp}_f(y + b! + z) = \text{supp}_f(y + z)$ .

Now assume that  $\tilde{d}(x)(b) = n$  and  $\tilde{d}(x)(b + 1) = 0$ . Then  $y + b! + z = \sum_{n=b+2}^l d(z)(n) \cdot n! + (b + 1)! + \sum_{n=a+2}^{b-1} \tilde{d}(x)(n) \cdot n! + \sum_{n=1}^{a+1} d(z + y)(n) \cdot n!;$  so  $\text{supp}_f(y + b! + z) = (\text{supp}_f(y + z) \setminus \{b\}) \cup \{b + 1\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $u, v, x \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ . If  $x$  commutes with every member of  $u + \beta\mathbb{N} + v$ , then either  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $x \in \mathbb{Z} + I$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $x \notin \mathbb{N}$ . Pick a minimal right ideal  $R$  and a minimal left ideal  $L$  of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  such that  $R \subseteq u + \beta\mathbb{N}$  and  $L \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N} + v$ . Let  $q$  be the identity of  $R \cap L$ . Then  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q \subseteq u + \beta\mathbb{N} + v$  and so  $x$  commutes with

every member of  $q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$ , and consequently so does  $q + x + q$ . (Let  $p \in q + \beta\mathbb{N} + q$ . Then  $p + q = q + p = p$  so  $q + x + q + p = q + x + p + q = q + p + x + q = p + q + x + q$ .) By Lemma 2.6,  $q + x + q \in \mathbb{Z} + I$  and so by Lemma 2.5,  $x \in \mathbb{Z} + I$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Then  $D_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + I$ .*

### 3. THE STRUCTURE OF THE EXTENDED CENTER

Section 1.7 of [4] has a large number of results whose hypothesis asserts the existence of a minimal left ideal with an idempotent. The following theorem puts all of those results at our disposal.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Then  $D_q$  is a semigroup and  $D_q \cap G_q$  is both a minimal left ideal of  $D_q$  with an idempotent and a minimal right ideal of  $D_q$ .*

*Proof.* Trivially,  $D_q$  is a semigroup. To see that  $D_q \cap G_q$  is an ideal of  $D_q$ , let  $x \in D_q \cap G_q$  and let  $y \in D_q$ . Then  $y + x = y + q + x = q + y + x = q + y + x + q \in G_q$  and  $x + y = x + q + y = x + y + q = q + x + y + q \in G_q$ . Since  $D_q \cap G_q = Z(G_q)$ ,  $D_q \cap G_q$  is a group and is therefore a minimal left ideal and a minimal right ideal and has an idempotent.  $\square$

Among the consequences of the existence of a minimal left ideal in a semigroup is the fact that the smallest ideal exists.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Then  $D_q \cap G_q = K(D_q)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K(D_q)$  is the union of all of the minimal left ideals of  $D_q$ , by Theorem 3.1, we have that  $D_q \cap G_q \subseteq K(D_q)$ . Since  $D_q \cap G_q$  is a two sided ideal of  $D_q$ , we have that  $K(D_q) \subseteq D_q \cap G_q$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  and let  $x \in D_q$ . There is some  $y \in D_q \cap G_q$  such that  $y + x = x + y = q$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $D_q$  contains a minimal left ideal with an idempotent, by [4, Corollary 1.47 and Theorem 1.56], we have that  $D_q + x$  contains a minimal left ideal of  $D_q$  with an idempotent, and this idempotent is in  $K(D_q) = D_q \cap G_q$ . Since  $q$  is the only idempotent in  $G_q$ ,  $q \in D_q + x$ . Pick  $w \in D_q$  such that  $q = w + x$ . Let  $y = q + w + q$ . Then  $y \in D_q \cap G_q$ . Also,  $y + x = q + w + q + x = q + w + x + q = q + q + q = q$ . Since  $y \in D_q$ , we also have that  $x + y = q$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  and let  $x \in D_q$ . Then  $G_q = x + G_q + x = x + G_q = G_q + x$ .*

*Proof.* Pick, by Lemma 3.3, some  $y \in D_q \cap G_q$  such that  $y+x = x+y = q$ . Since  $x \in D_q$ , we have that  $x + G_q = G_q + x$ . We shall show that  $G_q \subseteq x + G_q + x \subseteq x + G_q \subseteq G_q$ . Let  $w \in G_q$ . Then  $w = q + w + q = x + y + w + y + x \in x + G_q + x$ .

To see that  $x + G_q + x \subseteq x + G_q$ , let  $w \in G_q$  and pick  $z \in G_q$  such that  $z + y = w$ . Then  $x + w + x = x + z + y + x = x + z + q = x + z$ .

To see that  $x + G_q \subseteq G_q$ , let  $w \in G_q$ , and pick  $z \in G_q$  such that  $y + z = w$ . Then  $x + w = x + y + z = q + z = z$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . For any distinct  $x, y \in D_q$ ,  $x \in \beta\mathbb{N} + y$  or  $y \in \beta\mathbb{N} + x$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4,  $q \in (G_q + x) \cap (G_q + y)$ . So our claim follows from [4, Corollary 6.21].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . If  $M$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , then  $D_q \cap M$  is nowhere dense in  $M$ . In particular,  $D_q \cap I$  is nowhere dense in  $I$  and  $D_q \cap \mathbb{H}$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{H}$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe that  $\mathbb{N}^* \setminus (\mathbb{N}^* + \mathbb{N}^*)$  contains a dense open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . This follows from the fact that, if  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $B \in p$ , we can choose a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  contained in  $B$  such that  $x_{n+1} - x_n > n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, if  $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$  and, by [4, Exercise 4.1.7],  $\bar{A} \cap (\mathbb{N}^* + \mathbb{N}^*) = \emptyset$ .

If there exists an element  $x \in D_q \cap (\mathbb{N}^* \setminus (\mathbb{N}^* + \mathbb{N}^*))$ , then, by Corollary 3.5, for any element  $y \in D_q \cap (\mathbb{N}^* \setminus (\mathbb{N}^* + \mathbb{N}^*))$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{N} + y$  or  $y \in \mathbb{N} + x$ . So  $D_q \cap (\mathbb{N}^* \setminus (\mathbb{N}^* + \mathbb{N}^*)) = \mathbb{Z} + x$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z} + x$  is countable,  $\mathbb{Z} + x$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  [4, Corollary 3.37]. Put  $V = U$  if no such element  $x$  exists; otherwise, put  $V = U \setminus cl(\mathbb{Z} + x)$ . Then  $V$  is a dense open subset of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $V \cap D_q = \emptyset$ .

It follows from [4, Theorem 3.36] that  $\text{int}_{\mathbb{N}^*}(M)$  is dense in  $M$ . So  $V \cap M$  is a dense open subset of  $M$  disjoint from  $D_q$ .  $\square$

Given  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , we know  $(\mathbb{Z} + E(D_q)) \subseteq D_q$  and we know, by Lemma 2.2, that  $E(D_q) = \{e \in E(\beta\mathbb{N}) : q \leq e\}$ . So the only things that we know are in  $D_q \cap I$  are the idempotents above  $q$ . It is a longstanding open problem as to whether there are any nontrivial continuous homomorphisms from  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . The following theorem connects our lack of knowledge about these two issues.

**Theorem 3.7.** *If for all  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ ,  $D_q \cap I \subseteq E(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , then there is no nontrivial continuous homomorphism from  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  and suppose that  $D_q \cap I \subseteq E(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and that there is a nontrivial continuous homomorphism from  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . By [4, Corollary 10.20], pick  $e \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $p \neq e$  such that  $p + p = p + e =$



$e + p = e$ . Pick  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  such that  $q \leq e$ . Then  $p + q = p + e + q = e + q = q = q + e = q + e + p = q + p$ . We claim that  $p \in D_q$ , so let  $w \in G_q$ . Then  $p + w = p + q + w = q + w = w = w + q = w + q + p = w + p$ . Thus,  $p \in D_q$ . Since  $p + q = q$ , we also have that  $p \in I$ . But  $p$  is not an idempotent.  $\square$

We do not know whether there are any maximal idempotents in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  or even whether there are maximal idempotents in  $K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , so, as far as we know, it is possible that, for some  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , the extended center  $D_q$  of  $G_q$  is equal to the center of  $G_q$ . We shall show in Theorem 3.9 that for many  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ ,  $D_q \cap \text{cl}K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  contains an infinite decreasing chain of idempotents. As a corollary, we obtain the fact that  $\text{cl}K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  contains a decreasing sequence of idempotents of reverse order type  $\omega + 1$ . (It was previously known that it contains such a sequence of reverse order type  $\omega$ .)

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be a minimal right ideal of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . There is an injective sequence  $\langle q_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  of idempotents in  $R$  such that if  $p$  is an accumulation point of  $\langle q_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ , then  $p \notin \mathbb{Z}^* + \mathbb{Z}^*$ . In particular, any accumulation point of  $\langle q_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  is right cancelable in  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Pick an injective sequence  $\langle v_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  in

$$\{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^* = \overline{\{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \setminus \mathbb{N}.$$

We claim that  $(\beta\mathbb{N} + v_n) \cap (\beta\mathbb{N} + v_m) = \emptyset$  when  $n \neq m$ . To see this, define  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \omega$  by  $\phi(n) = \max(\text{supp}(n))$ , where  $\text{supp}(n)$  is the binary support of  $n$ , that is,  $n = \sum_{t \in \text{supp}(n)} 2^t$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \beta\omega$  be the continuous extension of  $\phi$ . By [4, Exercise 3.4.1],  $\tilde{\phi}$  is injective on  $\overline{\{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$  and by [4, Lemma 6.8], for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}[\beta\mathbb{N} + v_n] = \{\tilde{\phi}(v_n)\}$ , so the claim is established.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose an idempotent  $q_n \in R \cap (\beta\mathbb{N} + v_n)$  and note that  $q_n \neq q_m$  if  $n \neq m$ . Let  $p$  be an accumulation point of  $\langle q_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  and suppose that  $p = x + y$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ . By [4, Exercise 4.3.5],  $y \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Note that there is at most one  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n + y \in \mathbb{H}$ . (If  $n < m$  and  $2^k > m - n$ , then  $(-n + 2^k\mathbb{N}) \cap (-m + 2^k\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset$ .) Let  $X = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n + y \notin \mathbb{H}\}$ . Then  $X \in x$ . If  $n \neq m$ , we have that  $\tilde{\phi}(q_n) = \tilde{\phi}(v_n) \neq \tilde{\phi}(v_m) = \tilde{\phi}(q_m)$ , so there are at most three values of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\tilde{\phi}(q_n) \in \{\tilde{\phi}(y) - 1, \tilde{\phi}(y), \tilde{\phi}(y) + 1\}.$$

Let  $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{\phi}(q_n) \notin \{\tilde{\phi}(y) - 1, \tilde{\phi}(y), \tilde{\phi}(y) + 1\}\}$ . Then

$$p \in \text{cl}\{q_n : n \in M\} \cap \text{cl}(X + y);$$

so, by [4, Theorem 3.40], either there is some  $n \in X$  such that  $n + y \in \text{cl}\{q_n : n \in M\}$  or there is some  $n \in M$  such that  $q_n \in \text{cl}(X + y) = \overline{X} + y$ .

Suppose first that we have  $n \in X$  such that  $n + y \in \text{cl}\{q_n : n \in M\}$ . By [4, Lemma 6.8],  $\{q_n : n \in M\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ , so  $n + y \in \mathbb{H}$ , contradicting the fact that  $n \in X$ .

Now assume that we have  $n \in M$  such that  $q_n \in \overline{X} + y$  and pick  $z \in \overline{X}$  such that  $q_n = z + y$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(q_n) \notin \{\tilde{\phi}(y) - 1, \tilde{\phi}(y), \tilde{\phi}(y) + 1\}$ , so pick  $A \in \tilde{\phi}(q_n)$  such that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \tilde{\phi}(y) - 1$ ,  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \tilde{\phi}(y)$ , and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \tilde{\phi}(y) + 1$ . Pick  $B \in z$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}[\overline{B} + y] \subseteq \overline{A}$  and pick  $k \in B$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(k + y) \in \overline{A}$ , so pick  $C \in y$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}[k + \overline{C}] \subseteq \overline{A}$ . Since  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \tilde{\phi}(y) - 1$ ,  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \tilde{\phi}(y)$ , and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \tilde{\phi}(y) + 1$ , pick  $D \in y$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}[\overline{D}] - 1 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}[\overline{D}] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}$ , and  $\tilde{\phi}[\overline{D}] + 1 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}$ . Pick  $r \in C \cap D$  such that  $r > k$ . Then  $\phi(k + r) = \phi(r) - 1$ ,  $\phi(k + r) = \phi(r)$ , or  $\phi(k + r) = \phi(r) + 1$ . Since  $\phi(k + r) \in A$ , this says that  $\phi(r) - 1 \in A$ ,  $\phi(r) \in A$ , or  $\phi(r) + 1 \in A$ , a contradiction.

The ‘‘in particular’’ conclusion follows from [4, Theorem 8.18].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be a minimal right ideal of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . There is a decreasing sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  of idempotents in  $\text{cl}K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  such that*

$$|\{q \in E(R) : \{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq D_q\}| = 2^c.$$

*Proof.* Choose a sequence  $\langle q_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  as guaranteed by Lemma 3.8 and pick an accumulation point  $x$  of this sequence. Then  $x$  is right cancelable in  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$ . Since each  $q_n$  is in  $R$  and each idempotent in  $R$  is a right identity for  $R$ , we have that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $p \in E(R)$ ,  $q_n + p = p$ , and consequently, for each  $p \in E(R)$ ,  $x + p = p$ . Let  $M = \bigcap \{C \subseteq \beta\mathbb{Z} : C \text{ is a compact subsemigroup of } \beta\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x \in C\}$ . Note that  $M \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ . For each  $p \in E(R)$ ,  $\{z \in \beta\mathbb{N} : z + p = p\}$  is a compact subsemigroup of  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$  with  $x$  as a member, so we have that for all  $z \in M$  and all  $p \in E(R)$ ,  $z + p = p$ .

By [4, Corollary 8.54], pick a decreasing sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $M$  and let  $w$  be a cluster point of  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ . By [4, Lemma 9.22],  $w$  is right cancelable in  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $w \in \beta\mathbb{Z} + p_n$ . By [4, Theorem 6.56],  $\beta\mathbb{N} + w$  contains  $2^c$  pairwise disjoint left ideals. Let  $L$  be one of these and pick an idempotent  $q \in R \cap L$ . To complete the proof, we show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \leq p_n$  (so, by Corollary 2.2,  $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq D_q$ ). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $L \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N} + w \subseteq \beta\mathbb{Z} + p_n$  so  $q + p_n = q$ . Also,  $p_n \in M$  and  $q \in E(R)$ , so  $p_n + q = q$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *There exist decreasing chains of idempotents in  $\text{cl}K(\beta\mathbb{N})$  of reverse order type  $\omega + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Pick a minimal right ideal  $R$  of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , pick a sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  as guaranteed by Theorem 3.9, and pick  $q \in E(R)$  such that

$$\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq D_q.$$

By Corollary 2.2, given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \leq p_n$  and, since  $p_{n+1} < p_n$ ,  $q < p_n$ .  $\square$

#### 4. COPIES OF $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ IN $G_q$

We know, of course, that if  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , then the center of  $G_q$  contains  $\mathbb{Z} + q$ . We show in this section that if it is not equal to  $\mathbb{Z} + q$ , then  $G_q$  contains an algebraic copy of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  be pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , and let  $m, x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a)  $\text{supp}(x)$  is the binary support of  $x$ .
- (b)  $c_{B_1}(x) = |\text{supp}(x) \cap B_1|$ .
- (c)  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_k}(x) = |\{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in (\text{supp}(x))^k : i_1 < \dots < i_k \text{ and each } i_t \in B_t\}|$ .
- (d)  $c_{B_1, m}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $c_{B_1, m}(x) \equiv c_{B_1}(x) \pmod{m}$ .
- (e)  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x) \equiv c_{B_1, \dots, B_k}(x) \pmod{m}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{H}$ , let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  be pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (1)  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, m}(u+v) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, m}(u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, m}(v)$ .
- (2) If  $k > 1$ , then  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u+v) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(v) + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(u) \cdot \tilde{c}_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_k, m}(v)$ .

*Proof.* (1) It suffices that  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, m} \circ \rho_v$  and  $\rho_{\tilde{c}_{B_1, m}(v)} \circ \tilde{c}_{B_1, m}$  agree on  $\mathbb{N}$ , so let  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $k = \max \text{supp}(x) + 1$ . It suffices to observe that  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, m} \circ \lambda_x$  and  $\lambda_{c_{B_1, m}(x)} \circ \tilde{c}_{B_1, m}$  agree on  $\mathbb{N}2^k$ .

(2) Note that singletons are open in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Pick  $C \in u$  such that for all  $x \in C$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x+v) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u+v)$  and for  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(x) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(u)$ . Pick  $x \in C$  and let  $l = \max \text{supp}(x) + 1$ . Pick  $D \in v$  such that for all  $y \in D$ ,  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x+y) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x+v)$  and for  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ ,  $c_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_k, m}(y) = \tilde{c}_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_k, m}(v)$ . Pick  $y \in D \cap \mathbb{N}2^l$ . Then  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x+y) = c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x) + c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(y) + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} c_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(x) \cdot c_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_k, m}(y)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  be pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(q) = 0$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately by induction on  $k$  from Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  be pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $u \in \mathbb{H} \cap D_q$ . Then  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We show first that it suffices to show this under the additional assumption that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  is infinite. Suppose we have done this and let  $B'_k$  and  $B''_k$  be disjoint infinite subsets of  $B_k$  with  $B'_k \cup B''_k = B_k$ . Note that for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(x) = c_{B_1, \dots, B'_k, m}(x) + c_{B_1, \dots, B''_k, m}(x)$  so  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B'_k, m}(u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B''_k, m}(u) = 0 + 0$ .

So assume that  $B_{k+1} \mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  is infinite. Pick  $p \in \{2^n : n \in B_{k+1}\}^*$ . Note that for all  $n \in B_{k+1}$ ,  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(2^n) = 1$ , and if  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then  $c_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(2^n) = c_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(2^n) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{c}_{B_{k+1}, m}(p) = 1$ , and if  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(p) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(p) = 0$ . Since all terms of the expansions given in Lemma 4.2 except one involve  $q$  and are therefore 0, we have that  $\tilde{c}_{B_{k+1}, m}(p+q) = 1$ , and if  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(p+q) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(p+q) = 0$  and  $\tilde{c}_{B_{k+1}, m}(q+p) = 1$ , and if  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(q+p) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p) = 0$ .

Next note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+u+p+q) = \\ & \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u+p+q) + \\ & \sum_{t=1}^k \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(q) \cdot \tilde{c}_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u+p+q) = \\ & \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+u+p+q) \text{ and} \\ & \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+u+q) = \\ & \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q) + \\ & \sum_{t=1}^k \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(q+p+u) \cdot \tilde{c}_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q) = \\ & \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+u). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+u+p+q) &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u+q+p+q) \\ &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+q+u) \\ &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+u+q), \end{aligned}$$

we, therefore, have that  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u+p+q) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+u)$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u+p+q) &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(p+q) \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^k \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(u) \cdot \tilde{c}_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(p+q) \\ &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u) \text{ and} \\ \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p+u) &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(q+p) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u) \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^k \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_t, m}(q+p) \cdot \tilde{c}_{B_{t+1}, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u) \\ &= \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u) + \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u) = \tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}, m}(u)$ , so  $\tilde{c}_{B_1, \dots, B_k, m}(u) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , let  $p \in \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^*$ , and let  $\psi_p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_q$  be the homomorphism such that  $\psi_p(1) = q+p+q$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\psi_p(n) \notin D_q$ .*

*Proof.* Pick infinite  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{2^n : n \in B\} \in p$ . Then for each  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $\widetilde{c_{B,m}}(q + p + 1) = 1$ , so for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $m > n$ ,  $\widetilde{c_{B,m}}(\psi_p(n)) = n$ , and thus  $\psi_p(n) \notin D_q$  by Lemma 4.4. Now  $D_q \cap G_q$  is a group, so if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi_p(-n) \in D_q$ , so is  $\psi_p(n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ , let  $p \in \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^*$ , and let  $\psi_p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_q$  be the homomorphism such that  $\psi_p(1) = q + p + q$ . Assume that  $u \in \mathbb{H} \cap G_q \cap D_q \setminus \{q\}$  and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_q$  be the homomorphism such that  $\varphi(1) = u$ . Define  $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_q$  by  $\tau(m, n) = \varphi(m) + \psi_p(n)$ . Then  $\tau$  is an injective homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Given  $(m, n)$  and  $(k, l)$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , one has that  $\tau((m, n) + (k, l)) = \tau(m, n) + \tau(k, l)$  because  $\varphi(k) \in D_q$ . Now assume that  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau(m, n) = q$ . Then  $\varphi(m) + \psi_p(n) = q$ , so  $\varphi(m) = \psi_p(-n)$ , and thus  $\psi_p(-n) \in D_q$  so that  $n = 0$  by Lemma 4.5. Therefore,  $\varphi(m) = q$ . By Zelenyuk's Theorem [8] (or see [4, Theorem 7.17]),  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  contains no nontrivial finite groups. If one had  $m \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi[\mathbb{Z}]$  would be a nontrivial finite group, so  $m = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . If the center of  $G_q$  is not equal to  $\mathbb{Z} + q$ , then  $G_q$  contains an algebraic copy of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume we have  $x \in Z(G_q) \setminus (\mathbb{Z} + q)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6,  $x \in \mathbb{Z} + I$ , so pick  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in I$  such that  $x = n + u$ . Then  $u \in \mathbb{H} \cap G_q \cap D_q \setminus \{q\}$ . Pick any  $p \in \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^*$ . Define  $\tau$  as in Theorem 4.6. Then  $\tau$  is an injective homomorphism.  $\square$

We conclude by listing some of the tantalizing open questions that have arisen in the study of the center and extended center of  $G_q$ .

- Question 4.8.**
- (1) Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Does  $Z(G_q) = \mathbb{Z} + q$ ?
  - (2) Let  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Is  $D_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + E(\beta\mathbb{N})$ ?
  - (3) Does there exist  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  for which  $E(D_q)$  is finite?
  - (4) Does there exist  $q \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$  for which  $E(D_q)$  is uncountable?
  - (5) Let  $q_1, q_2 \in E(K(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ . Are  $D_{q_1}$  and  $D_{q_2}$  isomorphic?

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Baker and P. Milnes, *The ideal structure of the Stone-Ćech compactification of a group*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **82** (1977), no. 3, 401–409.
- [2] Ching Chou, *On a geometric property of the set of invariant means on a group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 296–302.
- [3] Neil Hindman and John Pym, *Free groups and semigroups in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , Semigroup Forum **30** (1984), no. 2, 177–193.

- [4] Neil Hindman and Dona Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification: Theory and Applications*. 2nd ed. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 27 Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 2012.
- [5] D. Rees, *On semi-groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **36** (1940), 387–400.
- [6] Wolfgang Ruppert, *Rechtstopologische halbgruppen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **261** (1973), 123–133.
- [7] Anton Suschkewitsch, *Über die endlichen gruppen ohne das gesetz der eindeutigen umkehrbarkeit*, Math, Ann. **99** (1928), 30–50.
- [8] E. G. Zelenjuk, *Finite groups in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  are trivial*, Semigroup Forum, **55** (1997), no. 1, 131–132.

(Hindman) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; HOWARD UNIVERSITY; WASHINGTON, DC 20059, USA  
*E-mail address:* `nhindman@aol.com`

(Strauss) DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS; UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS; LEEDS LS2 9J2, UK  
*E-mail address:* `d.strauss@hull.ac.uk`