

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 54, 2018

Pages 1–5

<http://topology.nipissingu.ca/tp/>

HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF INFINITE WEDGE

by

LEONARD MDZINARISHVILI

Electronically published on June 21, 2018

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: (Online) 2331-1290, (Print) 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF INFINITE WEDGE

LEONARD MDZINARISHVILI

ABSTRACT. In *Homotopy Theory* (Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII, Academic Press, New York–London, 1959), Sze-tsen Hu proved for $X \vee Y$, the wedge sum of pointed spaces (X, x_0) , and (Y, y_0) that for $n \geq 2$ there is an isomorphism

$$(1) \pi_n(X \vee Y, u_0) \approx \pi_n(X, x_0) \oplus \pi_n(Y, y_0) \oplus \pi_{n+1}(X \times Y, X \vee Y, u_0),$$

where $u_0 = (x_0, y_0)$.

This result was not generalized for an infinite wedge $\vee Y_\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega$, of pointed spaces (Y_ω, y_ω^0) in view of the fact that an infinite wedge $\vee Y_\omega$ is not a subspace of the direct product $\prod Y_\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

In the present work we prove that for $n \geq 2$ there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_n(\vee Y_\omega, y^0) \approx \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0) \oplus \pi_{n+1}(LY_\omega, \vee Y_\omega, y^0),$$

where LY_ω is the weak product of pointed topological spaces (Y_ω, y_ω^0) , $\omega \in \Omega$ (see C. J. Knight, *Weak products of spaces and complexes*, Fund. Math. **53** (1963), 1–12.)

Let Top_* be the category of pointed topological spaces and continuous maps preserving base point [4].

If $\Omega = \{\omega\}$ is an infinite set and $\{(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is a family of objects from Top_* indexed by Ω , their infinite wedge is denoted by $\vee Y_\omega$ and is defined by $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} Y_\omega / \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} y_\omega^0$ the quotient space of $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} Y_\omega$ obtained by identifying all of $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} y_\omega^0$ to a single point u^0 . We define a topology by declaring a subset $U \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} Y_\omega$ to be open if and only if the intersection $U \cap Y_\omega$ is open in Y_ω for all $\omega \in \Omega$ [1, Definition 2.2.8].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F35.

Key words and phrases. homotopy group, infinite wedge.

©2018 Topology Proceedings.

In [3], C. J. Knight defined the weak product LY_ω of pointed topological spaces (Y_ω, y_ω^0) , $\omega \in \Omega$, to consist of all elements $y \in \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} Y_\omega$ of the product such that all but a finite number of coordinates y_ω of y are the base points. However, its topology is not the relative topology, but the topology of the union of the finite products $\prod_{i=1}^k Y_i \subset \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} Y_\omega$.

Consider in the weak product LY_ω the subset $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} L_\omega$ where $L_\omega = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} X_\alpha$, $X_\omega = Y_\omega$ if $\alpha = \omega$, and $X_\alpha = \{y_\alpha^0\}$ if $\alpha \neq \omega$. We define a topology by declaring a subset $V \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} L_\omega$ to be open if and only if the intersection $U \cap L_\omega$ is open in L_ω for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and denote it by ML_ω .

Lemma 1. *The infinite wedge $\vee Y_\omega$ and the space ML_ω are homeomorphic.*

Proof. The space $ML_\omega = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} L_\omega$ has only one point $y^0 = \{y_\omega^0\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ such that $y^0 \in L_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$ the space L_ω is homeomorphic to Y_ω . The maps $i : \vee Y_\omega \rightarrow ML_\omega$ and $p : ML_\omega \rightarrow \vee Y_\omega$, defined by

$$i(u) = \begin{cases} i_\omega(u) & \text{if } u \in Y_\omega, \\ y^0 & \text{if } u = u^0, \end{cases} \quad p(y) = \begin{cases} p_\omega(y) & \text{if } y \in L_\omega, \\ u^0 & \text{if } y = y^0, \end{cases}$$

are continuous maps and $pi = 1$ and $ip = 1$. \square

Lemma 2. *The space ML_ω has the subspace topology inherited from LY_ω .*

Proof. Let $V \subset LY_\omega$ be an open subset. Then for each $\alpha \in \Omega$, the intersection $V \cap L_\alpha$ is an open subset of L_α and $V \cap ML_\omega$ is an open subset in ML_ω , $(V \cap ML_\omega) \cap L_\alpha = V \cap L_\alpha$. We show that for any open subset $U \subset ML_\omega$, there is an open subset $V \subset LY_\omega$ such that $V \cap ML_\omega = U$. The system $\mathcal{U} = \{U\}$ of all open sets $U \subset ML_\omega$ is the union of two subsystems \mathcal{U}' and \mathcal{U}'' such that $\mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{U}'' = \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : y^0 \notin U\}$ and $\mathcal{U}'' = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : y^0 \in U\}$.

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}'$ and notice that $U = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} (U \cap L_\omega)$. Let $U_\omega^* = U \cap L_\omega$ and $\rho_\omega U_\omega^* = U_\omega \subset Y_\omega$. For each $\alpha \in \Omega$, define an open set $W_\alpha \subset \prod Y_\omega$ such that $y = \{y_\omega\} \in W_\alpha$ if and only if $y_\alpha \in U_\alpha$. The union $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} W_\omega$ is an open subset of $\prod Y_\omega$ under the product topology.

Since the topology of LY_ω is finer than the topology induced as a subset of the product $\prod Y_\omega$, the set $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} W_\omega \cap LY_\omega = V$ is open in LY_ω . For each $\alpha \neq \omega$, the intersection $W_\alpha \cap L_\omega = \emptyset$ since any element $y \in W_\alpha$ does not have α -coordinate $y_\alpha = y_\alpha^0$, but all elements $y \in L_\omega$ have α -coordinate $y_\alpha = y_\alpha^0$. We have the equality $W_\omega \cap L_\omega = U_\omega^*$. Hence,

$V \cap L_\omega = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} W_\alpha \right) \cap L_\omega = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} (W_\alpha \cap L_\omega) = W_\omega \cap L_\omega = U_\omega^*$, and therefore,

$$V \cap ML_\omega = V \cap \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} L_\omega \right) = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} (V \cap L_\omega) = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} U_\omega^* = U.$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}''$ and $U = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} (U \cap L_\omega) = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} U_\omega^*$. For each $\alpha \in \Omega$, we define the open set $W_\alpha \subset \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} Y_\omega$ as in the previous case. Considering the intersection $\bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} W_\omega = W$, we show that $W \cap LY_\omega = V$ is an open

subset in LY_ω . It is clear that $V = W \cap LY_\omega = \left(\bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} W_\omega \right) \cap LY_\omega = \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} (W_\omega \cap LY_\omega) = \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} V_\omega$ is an intersection of open sets V_ω in LY_ω .

We show that $V \cap L_N^*$ is an open set in L_N^* for all L_N^* (where $L_N^* = \{y^*\}$ is the subspace of $\prod Y_\omega$ such that, if $y^* = \{y_\omega\} \in L_N^*$, then $y_\omega = y_\omega^0$ for all $\omega \notin N$, $N \in \Omega f$, Ωf is the system of all finite subsets N of Ω). There is $V \cap L_N^* = [\cap (W_\omega \cap LY_\omega)] \cap L_N^* = \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} (W_\omega \cap L_N^*)$. We have $W_\omega \cap L_N^* = L_N^*$ if $\omega \notin N$, and if $\omega \in N$, we have $W_\omega \cap L_N^* = \{\{y\} \in L_N^* : y_\omega \in U_\omega\}$. Since $N \in \Omega f$, $V \cap L_N^*$ will be a finite intersection of open sets in L_N^* , and therefore open. Hence, V is an open subset in LY_ω .

Now we show that $V \cap ML_\omega = U$. Since $V \cap L_\omega = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} (W_\alpha \cap L_\omega) = U_\omega^*$, $\omega \in \Omega$, there is

$$V \cap ML_\omega = V \cap \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} L_\omega \right) = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} (V \cap L_\omega) = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} U_\omega^* = U. \quad \square$$

Theorem. *If Y_ω is a T_1 space for each $\omega \in \Omega$, then, for $n \geq 2$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\pi_n(\vee Y_\omega, u^0) \approx \sum \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0) \oplus \pi_{n+1}(LY_\omega, \vee Y_\omega, y^0).$$

Proof. Using lemmas 1 and 2, we have an exact homotopy sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n+1}(\vee Y_\omega, u^0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{n+1}(LY_\omega, y^0) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(LY_\omega, \vee Y_\omega, y^0) \\ (2) \quad &\xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(\vee Y_\omega, u^0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_n(LY_\omega, y^0) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Define a homomorphism $j_* : \sum \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0) \rightarrow \pi_n(\vee Y_\omega, u^0)$ by $j_*(h) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} j_\omega^*(h_\omega)$, where $j_\omega^* : \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0) \rightarrow \pi_n(\vee Y_\omega, u^0)$ is induced by inclusion.

We show that $\xi_* i_* j_* = 1$, where $\xi_* : \pi_n(LY_\omega, y^0) \rightarrow \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0)$.

Since we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vee Y_\omega & \xrightarrow{i} & LY_\omega \\ & \swarrow j_\omega \quad \searrow i_\omega & \\ & Y_\omega & \end{array}$$

and the isomorphism $\xi_* : \pi_n(LY_\omega, y^0) \approx \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0)$ [3], there is $\xi_* i_* j_* = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \xi_* i_* j_{\omega,*} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \xi_* i_{\omega,*} = 1$. Hence, j_* is a monomorphism and i_* is an epimorphism.

As is known [4], π_n is a covariant functor from the category of pairs of pointed spaces to the category of abelian groups if $n \geq 3$, the category of groups if $n = 2$, and the category of pointed sets if $n = 1$. In particular, π_n is a covariant functor from the category Top_* to the category of abelian groups if $n \geq 2$, the category of groups if $n = 1$, and the category of pointed sets if $n = 0$. Hence, $\pi_n(\vee Y_\omega, u^0) \approx \text{Im } j_* + \text{Ker } i_*$, $n \geq 2$.

Since j_* is a monomorphism, there is $\text{Im } j_* = \sum \pi_n(Y_\omega, y_\omega^0)$. Since i_* is an epimorphism, using the exact sequence (2), there is ∂ – a monomorphism and

$$\text{Ker } i_* = \text{Im } \partial = \pi_{n+1}(LY_\omega, \vee Y_\omega, y^0). \quad \square$$

Since sequence (2) is exact, $i_* j_* = \sum i_{\omega,*}$ and $\sum i_{\omega,*}$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 1$ [3, Theorem 2]; the homomorphism i_* is an epimorphism; and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_2(LY_\omega, \vee Y_\omega, y^0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\vee Y_\omega, u^0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(LY_\omega, y^0) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Acknowledgment. The author is deeply grateful to the referee for an extraordinarily thorough analysis of the manuscript which has resulted in numerous essential improvements of the exposition.

REFERENCES

1. Marcelo Aguilar, Samuel Gitler, and Carlos Prieto, *Algebraic Topology from a Homotopical Viewpoint*. Translated from the Spanish by Stephen Bruce Sontz. Universitext. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2002.
2. Sze-tsen Hu, *Homotopy Theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII Academic Press, New York-London 1959
3. C. J. Knight, *Weak products of spaces and complexes*, Fund. Math. **53** (1963), 1–12.

4. Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*. Corrected reprint of the 1966 original. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; FACULTY OF INFORMATICS AND CONTROL SYSTEMS;
GEORGIAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY; 77, KOSTAVA ST.; TBILISI, GEORGIA
E-mail address: `l.mdzinarishvili@gtu.ge`